

1 **Potato tuber greening risk is associated with tuber nitrogen content**

2

3

4 **Sabine Tanios, Robert Tegg, Alieta Eyles, Tamilarasan Thangavel, Calum Wilson\***

5

6 ARC Training Centre for Innovative Horticultural Products, Tasmanian Institute of  
7 Agriculture, University of Tasmania, New Town Research Laboratories, 13 St. Johns Avenue,  
8 New Town, Tasmania 7008, Australia.

9

10 \* Corresponding author: calum.wilson@utas.edu.au; T: (+61 3) 6226 6381

11

12 **Keywords:** chlorophyll, nitrogen fertilisation, potato, tuber greening, tuber nitrogen.

13

14 **Running title:** Tuber N content is a risk factor for greening

15

16

17 **Abstract**

18 Tuber greening is one of the major causes of quality loss in the potato industry, however, the  
19 impact of nitrogen [in-field](#) fertilisation on this visual defect remains unknown. Two field  
20 experiments, one in Tasmania with final N treatment rates of 40, 100 and 190 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> for  
21 Nicola and 35, 95 and 185 Kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> for Maranca, and another in South Australia with N rates  
22 of 100, 200 and 300 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> for Maranca, showed a positive linear relationship ([R<sup>2</sup> = 0.99, 0.86](#)  
23 [and 0.90, respectively](#)) between tuber N content and tuber greening, as determined by  
24 chlorophyll content. However, increased N fertilisation rates did not directly translate to  
25 increased tuber N content, with responses appearing to be variety specific. We conclude that  
26 tuber N content is a risk factor associated with tuber greening, but the manipulation of tuber N  
27 content through N fertilisation rate is not straightforward and may depend on variety [and](#)  
28 [location](#).

29

## 30 Introduction

31 Potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) is the world's third most important food crop for human  
32 consumption and is critical for global food security, providing nutrition for over a billion people  
33 (Devaux et al. 2014). There is a need to improve potato production and minimize the challenges  
34 facing growers and retailers. One of the major reasons for potato wastage is greening of the  
35 tuber skin [and flesh](#), which occurs when potatoes are exposed to light in the field or post-  
36 harvest, through a dynamic process affected by several genetic, environmental and cultural  
37 factors (Bamberg et al. 2015; Tanios et al. 2018). Tuber greening during production has been  
38 linked to varietal genetics, insufficient soil coverage, shallow planting depths, re-hilling  
39 operations, cracks in the soil or following wind and/or irrigation mediated erosion of light  
40 sandy soils (Lewis and Rowberry 1973; Mosley 1975; Stalham et al. 2001; Bohl and Love  
41 2005; Pavek and Thornton 2009; Tanios et al. 2020).

42 Achieving high yields of potato typically requires high nitrogen (N) inputs (Eyles et al. 2018).  
43 Several N fertilisation rates have been advised as optimal for potato production, ranging from  
44 70 to 330 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, depending on soil type and variety, though the most economically efficient  
45 rates vary from 147 to 201 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (Fontes et al. 2010). Excessive N application is not only  
46 costly, but also reduces N use efficiency, delays maturity, decreases tuber quality, and causes  
47 tuber flesh darkening after boiling (Sharifi et al. 2005; Zebarth et al. 2006; Kumar et al. 2007;  
48 Ruza et al. 2013) and potentially may increase tuber greening propensity (Braun et al. 2010).  
49 From an environmental perspective, excessive N use increases the risk of groundwater  
50 [contamination](#)~~pollution~~ via the leaching of nitrate (Arriaga et al. 2009; Neumann et al. 2012).  
51 ~~However, although the negative effects of excessive nitrogen fertilisers rates are well known,~~  
52 ~~overfertilization may be used by growers as insurance against deficit to ensure maximal yield~~  
53 ~~(Sparrow 2012).~~

54 In general, increasing N fertilisation rates has been shown to increase tuber N content  
55 (Leszczyński and Lisińska 1988, Millard 1986; Ahmed et al. 2009; Saeidi et al. 2009; Ruza et  
56 al. 2013), though this relationship can vary with experimental conditions and variety. For  
57 example, increasing N fertilizer rates from 40 to 200 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> increased tuber N content from  
58 1.59% to 2.03% (Leszczyński and Lisińska 1988) and increasing N rates from 0 to 250 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>  
59 increased tuber N from 0.68 to 1.49% (Millard 1986), while increasing N rates from 0 to 120  
60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> increased tuber N from 1.09% to 1.53%, but thereafter, remained constant in the higher  
61 N treatments of 150, 180 and 210 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (Ruza et al. 2013).

62 The impact of nutrition, particularly N, on tuber greening propensity however remains unclear,  
63 with to our knowledge, only one reported study. It found that an increase of N fertiliser rate,  
64 from 0 to 300 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> resulted in an increase in greening of washed tubers of four varieties,  
65 after 25 days of exposure to light (Braun et al. 2010), however tuber N was not quantified.  
66 Where N levels have been measured, such as from leaf tissue, there exists a well-defined  
67 positive relationship between leaf N and chlorophyll content (Evans 1989; Mauromicale et al.  
68 2006; Güler 2009), and it remains to be seen as to whether such relationships occur at a tuber  
69 level, and whether N fertilisation and subsequent changes in tuber N content could influence  
70 tuber greening.

71 The aim of this study was to determine how N fertilisation rates affects tuber N content, and  
72 subsequently, tuber greening. We hypothesise that tuber N content positively correlates with  
73 tuber greening propensity, as evidenced by increases in tuber chlorophyll concentration. To  
74 this end, two field trials in major potato production regions of two Australian states were  
75 conducted.

## 76 **Materials and Methods**

### 77 **Field trial 1**

78 Potato seed tubers of cvs. Nicola and Maranca were planted in a commercial potato field with  
79 a loamy sand soil at Buckland, Tasmania (42° 36'.03.1" S; 147° 42'.08.8" E). [Row spacing was](#)  
80 [at 0.85 m and there was negligible soil N \(0.2%\) prior to planting.](#) Three N treatments were  
81 established in a randomised block design in three separate plots [of 0.1 ha](#) for each variety. As  
82 per standard grower practice, calcium nitrate was applied in the furrow at planting at a rate of  
83 5 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> for Maranca and 10 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> for Nicola across all three treatments. During the  
84 growing season, a topdressing of either 30 (1 application), 90 (3 applications) and 180 (3  
85 applications) kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> was applied to treatment plots in the form of calcium nitrate or urea, to  
86 give a final N treatment rate of 35, 95 and 185 Kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> for Maranca and 40, 100 and 190 kg  
87 N ha<sup>-1</sup> for Nicola. Tubers were harvested on two sampling dates; *c.* two-weeks before ([i.e. 90](#)  
88 [and 100 days after planting for Maranca and Nicola respectively](#)), and 2-4 weeks following  
89 herbicide-induced ([Reglone 2L/ha, a.i. 200g/L diquat, Syngenta Australia](#)) haulm (vine)  
90 destruction of each variety, referred in this study as early-harvest and late-harvest, respectively.  
91 For yield assessment, all tubers from six randomly selected plants, from three replicates plots  
92 from each N treatment were harvested, the excessive soil brushed off, and weighed. Washed  
93 tubers of similar size and free of visible damage, from each treatment and replicate, were stored  
94 in the dark, for further analysis, as detailed below.

### 95 **Field trial 2**

96 Seed tubers of Maranca, were planted in a commercial potato field, with a free-draining deep  
97 sandy soil at Parilla, South Australia (35° 09'.28.7" S; 140° 35'.43.8" E). [Row spacing was at](#)  
98 [0.85m and there was negligible soil N prior to planting preparation.](#) Three N treatments were  
99 established in a randomised block design with three replicates [of 0.02 ha per treatment.](#) As per  
100 standard grower practice, mono ammonium phosphate was broadcast pre-planting at a rate of  
101 25 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> across all three treatments. During the growing season, a fertigation of either 75  
102 (1 application), 175 (two applications) and 275 (3 applications) kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> was applied to  
103 treatment plots in the form of calcium nitrate or urea, to give a final N treatment rate of 100,  
104 200 and 300 Kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>. Tubers were sampled *c.* four-weeks after plant senescence ([~130 days](#)  
105 [after planting](#)). For yield assessment, all tubers from five randomly selected plants were  
106 harvested, the excessive soil brushed off and weighed from each of the three replicate plots of  
107 each N treatment. Tubers were gently washed and those of approximately similar size and free  
108 of visible damage, from each treatment and replicate, were stored in the dark, for further  
109 analysis, as detailed below.

### 110 **Light exposure treatment**

111 Three tubers from each treatment per replicate in each field trial were exposed to a fluorescent  
112 light source (12 μmol/m<sup>2</sup>/s at tuber surface) for 120 hours at room temperature (20 ±3 °C).  
113 Tubers were arranged in rows and their places within the row were repositioned daily to avoid  
114 any possible bias of positioning from variation in light intensity, ensuring that the orientation  
115 of the tuber remained the same.

### 116 **Chlorophyll analysis**

117 Three periderm disks from each tuber (1.5 mm thick and 1 cm diameter) were cut using a cork  
118 borer from the stem, the middle and the bud end of each tuber periderm. The disks were frozen  
119 in liquid N and ground to a fine powder using a mortar and pestle. 5 mL of N, N-  
120 dimethylformamide was added per sample, then incubated at 4 °C in the dark for 24 hours.

121 After centrifugation for 15 min at  $2500 \times g$ , the absorbance was measured with a  
122 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific Spectronic 200E, Madison, Wisconsin, USA) at 647 and  
123 664 nm. Chlorophyll concentrations were determined before and after light exposure  
124 according to Porra et al. 1989, using the equation below and expressed as  $\Delta$ chlorophyll (the  
125 difference between chlorophyll concentrations after and before light exposure) in  $\text{mg kg}^{-1}$  FW:

126 Total chlorophyll =  $17.67 (A_{647}) + 7.12 (A_{664})$

## 127 Tuber N analysis

128 From each separate field trial, three tubers from each individual replicate treatment were  
129 pooled. Sub-samples from sliced tuber tissues were oven-dried at  $60^\circ\text{C}$  overnight, then ground  
130 to a fine powder using a mortar and pestle and sieved to 2 mm, prior to analysis. Total N  
131 analysis was conducted using the Dumas high temperature combustion method (Leco analyser;  
132 CSBP Soil and Plant Analysis Laboratory, Australia). Samples were loaded into a combustion  
133 tube at  $950^\circ\text{C}$  and flushed with oxygen. The gases generated from this process were measured  
134 using a thermal conductivity cell for N. Tuber N was calculated as percentage of tuber dry  
135 weight (DW).

## 136 Statistical analysis

137 For the field trial 1, the effect of fertilisation and maturity and their interaction on tuber N and  
138  $\Delta$ chlorophyll concentration were examined using two-way ANOVA and the effect of  
139 fertilisation on yield was examined using one-way ANOVA. For field trial 2, the effect of  
140 fertilisation on yield, tuber N, and  $\Delta$ chlorophyll concentration was assessed using one-way  
141 ANOVA. Treatment means were separated using Tukey's HSD test ( $p < 0.05$ ). A linear  
142 regression approach was used to assess the influence of N fertilisation on tuber N and tuber N  
143 on  $\Delta$ chlorophyll concentration. All analyses were conducted using R version 3.6.1 (R Core  
144 Team 2019).

145

## 146 Results

### 147 Field trial 1

148 Tuber yields were significantly influenced by N rate with higher yields recorded for both  
149 Nicola and Maranca at  $95\text{-}100$  and  $185\text{-}190 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$  compared to  $35\text{-}40 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$ . Increasing  
150 N rate from  $95\text{-}100$  to  $185\text{-}190 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$  did not significantly improve tuber yield (Table 1).

151 The interaction between N fertilisation and harvest date was not significant for any of the  
152 studied variables (Table 1). The effect of N rate on tuber N and  $\Delta$ chlorophyll concentration  
153 varied between varieties. For Nicola, the highest tuber N and  $\Delta$ chlorophyll concentration were  
154 noted under  $40 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$  compared to  $190 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$ , while for Maranca, tubers fertilised with  
155  $185 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  had the highest N and  $\Delta$ chlorophyll concentration (Table 1).

156 Tuber N was significantly greater from the later harvested tubers compared to those harvested  
157 early for cv. Nicola, but no differences were found for cv. Maranca (Table 2). Both varieties  
158 showed no significant differences in  $\Delta$ chlorophyll concentration between the two harvests.

159 Negative and positive relationships were found between N fertilisation and tuber N for Nicola  
160 ( $R^2 = 0.92$ ) and Maranca ( $R^2 = 0.95$ ), respectively (Fig. 1). Positive relationships were found  
161 between tuber N and  $\Delta$ chlorophyll concentration for both Nicola ( $R^2 = 0.998$ ) and Maranca ( $R^2$   
162  $= 0.862$ ) (Fig. 2+).

## 163 **Field trial 2**

164 The lower N treatment (100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) produced a significantly reduced yield approximately half  
165 that of the higher N treatments (200 and 300 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). There were no significant differences in  
166 tuber N and  $\Delta$ chlorophyll concentration between different N treatments (Table 3). [A negative](#)  
167 [relationship was found between N fertilisation and tuber N \( \$R^2 = 0.95\$ \) \(Fig. 3\); while however,](#)  
168 a positive relationship was found between tuber N and  $\Delta$ chlorophyll concentration ( $R^2 = 0.90$ )  
169 (Fig. ~~42~~).

170

## 171 **Discussion**

172 This study found a positive relationship between tuber N content and greening propensity, the  
173 first such report of this association. Central to identifying this positive relationship was the  
174 measurement of individual tuber N content as the relationship between N fertilisation rate and  
175 individual tuber N content was inconsistent and variety [and location](#) dependant. For field trial  
176 1, the increase in N fertilisation rates from 35 to 185 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> increased tuber N in Maranca,  
177 while the converse was observed for Nicola, leading to a decrease in tuber N. The lack of  
178 consistent association between N fertilisation and tuber N content could potentially be  
179 explained by N mobilisation with potato plants. Excessive N supply is known to increase dry  
180 matter yield in parts of the plant other than the tubers. For example, high N can reduce the  
181 proportion of assimilates supplied to the below ground plant parts (Oparka et al. 1987).  
182 Similarly, N content was shown to be twice as high in potato foliage as in tubers, with these  
183 differences continuously increasing with N fertiliser rate (Ruza et al. 2013). While Kursanov  
184 (1984) showed that shoot and root growth was more rapidly stimulated with excessive N rates,  
185 becoming active consumers of photosynthesis products. Therefore, the application of excessive  
186 N rates could result in reduced N partitioning to tubers, which may be affected by variety.

187 Alternatively, reduced N content in tubers may also simply reflect relative tuber mass.  
188 Although not directly measured, smaller sized tubers for Nicola were observed in the 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>  
189 <sup>1</sup> treatment, and for Nicola, reduced tuber mass may have led to a greater relative concentration  
190 of N per gram of tuber tissue than seen in larger tubers at higher N rates. For field trial 2, where  
191 overall higher N rates were applied, the increase in N fertilisation rates had no significant effect  
192 on tuber N. Similarly, Ruza et al. (2013) showed tuber N remained constant in the higher N  
193 treatments of 150, 180 and 210 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>.

194 Nitrogen fertilisation also affected tuber yield in accordance with previous studies (Fontes et  
195 al. 2010; Srek et al. 2010; Ruza et al. 2013). For field trial 1, yields increased with increasing  
196 N fertiliser from 35-40 to 95-100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, with further increases in N rates not significantly  
197 affecting yield, although data trends suggested improved yield at 185-190 N kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Similarly,  
198 for the field trial 2, with applied N rates at or greater than 100 N kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, no significant effects  
199 of N fertiliser on yield were observed. In similar studies, Ruza et al. (2013) showed that potato  
200 yields improved with an increase in N fertilizer rate up to 120 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, but further increases in  
201 N did not significantly affect yields. A rate of 140 N kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of mineral fertilizers has been  
202 suggested as optimal, resulting in a tuber yield above 30 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (Srek et al. 2010).

203 Nitrogen is an essential component of the chlorophyll molecule and a positive correlation  
204 between N concentration and photosynthetic capacity of leaves has been previously reported  
205 (Evans 1983; Field and Mooney 1986; Uchida et al. 1980; Yoshida and Coronel 1976; Eyles  
206 et al. 2012). In leaves, low foliar N causes damage to the chloroplast structure (Shah et al.,  
207 2017), affects the number of thylakoids per unit leaf area (Terashima and Evans 1988), the  
208 synthesis of Rubisco (Ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase) and several other

209 key enzymes involved in the Calvin cycle (Lu and Zhang 2000; Huang and Jiang 2004). We  
210 therefore, propose that the increase in tuber N concentration facilitates chlorophyll formation,  
211 resulting in higher tuber greening propensity.

212 In summary, the results of our study indicate potato greening propensity is associated with  
213 tuber N content as hypothesised, however, the relationship between N fertilisation rates and N  
214 tuber content was inconsistent and appeared variety specific. Future research with a wider  
215 range of varieties and N treatments, combined with a detailed analysis on N accumulation and  
216 distribution within potato plants could extend our understanding on the relationship between N  
217 fertilisation, tuber N and greening.

218

## 219 **Acknowledgments**

220 **Funding:** This work was supported by the Australian Research Council's Industrial  
221 Transformation Training Centres scheme under Grant IC140100024. ST is the recipient of  
222 a tuition fee scholarship from the University of Tasmania.

223 **Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

224

## 225 **References**

226 Ahmed, A., M. Abd El-Baky, A. Ghoname, G. Riad, and S. El-Abd. 2009. Potato tuber quality  
227 as affected by nitrogen form and rate. *Middle Eastern and Russian Journal of Plant Science*  
228 and *Biotechnology* 3: 47-52.

229 Arriaga, F.J., B. Lowery, and K.A. Kelling. 2009. Surfactant impact on nitrogen utilization and  
230 leaching in potatoes. *American Journal of Potato Research* 86: 383-390.

231 Bamberg, J., R. Moehninsi, Navarre, and J. Suriano. 2015. Variation for tuber greening in the  
232 diploid wild potato *Solanum microdontum*. *American Journal of Potato Research* 92: 435-  
233 443.

234 Bohl, W.H., and S.L. Love. 2005. Effect of planting depth and hilling practices on total, U.S.  
235 no. 1, and field greening tuber yields. *American Journal of Potato Research* 82: 441-450.

236 Braun, H., P.C.R. Fontes, C. Busato, P.R. Cecon, F.S. Coelho, and M.C.C. Silva. 2010. Effect  
237 of nitrogen rates and days of light exposure on greening evaluated by visual scale and  
238 chlorophyll meter of tubers of potato cultivars. *International Journal of Food, Agriculture*  
239 and *Environment* 8: 933-938.

240 Devaux, A., P. Kromann, and O. Ortiz. 2014. Potatoes for sustainable global food security.  
241 *Potato Research* 57: 185-99.

242 Evans, J.R. 1983. Nitrogen and photosynthesis in the flag leaf of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.).  
243 *Plant Physiology* 72: 297-302.

244 Evans J.R. 1989. Photosynthesis and nitrogen relationships in leaves of C3 plants. *Oecologia*  
245 78: 9-19.

246 Eyles, A., D. Worledge, P.J. Sands, M. Ottenschlager, S. Paterson, D. Mendham, and A.P.  
247 O'Grady. 2012. Ecophysiological responses of a young blue gum plantation (*Eucalyptus*  
248 *globulus*) to weed control. *Tree Physiology* 32: 1008-1020.

- 249 Eyles, A., S. Ives, R. Corkrey, M. Hardie, and M. Boersma. 2018. Impact of enhanced-  
250 efficiency fertilisers on potato productivity in a temperate cropping system. *Soil Use and*  
251 *Management*. 34: 439-448.
- 252 Field, C. and H.A. Mooney. 1986. The photosynthesis-nitrogen relationship in wild plants. In  
253 *The Economy of Plant Form and Function.*, ed. Givnish T.J., 25-55. Cambridge University  
254 Press, Cambridge.
- 255 Fontes, P.C.R., H. Braun, C. Bussato, and P.R. Cecon. 2010. Economic optimum Nitrogen  
256 fertilization rates and Nitrogen fertilization rate effects on tuber characteristics of potato  
257 cultivars. *Potato Research* 53: 167-179.
- 258 Güler, S. 2009. Effects of nitrogen on yield and chlorophyll of potato (*Solanum tuberosum*)  
259 cultivars. *Bangladesh Journal of Botany* 38: 163-169.
- 260 Huang, Z.A, D.A. Jiang, Y. Yang, J.W. Sun, and S.H. Jin. 2004. Effects of nitrogen deficiency  
261 on gas exchange, chlorophyll fluorescence, and antioxidant enzymes in leaves of rice plants.  
262 *Photosynthetica* 42: 357-64.
- 263 Kumar, P., S.K. Pandey, B.P. Singh, S.V. Singh, and D. Kumar. 2007. Effect of nitrogen rate  
264 on growth, yield, economics and crisps quality of Indian potato processing cultivars. *Potato*  
265 *Research*: 50: 143-155.
- 266 Kursanov, A.L. 1984. *Assimilate Transport in Plants*. Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- 267 Leszczynski, W., G. Lisinska. 1988. Influence of nitrogen fertilization on chemical  
268 composition of potato tubers. *Food Chemistry* 28: 45-52.
- 269 Lewis, W.C., and R.G. Rowberry 1973. Some effects of planting depth and time and height of  
270 hilling on Kennebec and Sebago potatoes. *American Journal of Potato Research* 12: 8-12.
- 271 Lu, C.M. and J.H. Zhang. 2000. Photosynthetic CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation, chlorophyll fluorescence  
272 and photoinhibition as affected by nitrogen deficiency in maize plants. *Plant Science* 151:  
273 135-143.
- 274 Mauromicale, G. A. Ierna, and M. Marchese. 2006. Chlorophyll fluorescence and chlorophyll  
275 content in field-grown potato as affected by nitrogen supply, genotype, and plant age.  
276 *Photosynthetica* 44: 76-82.
- 277 Millard, P. 1986. The nitrogen content of potato (*Solanum Tuberosum* L.) tubers in relation to  
278 fertilizer nitrogen application – the effect on amino acid composition and yields. *Journal of*  
279 *the Science of Food and Agriculture* 37: 107-114.
- 280 Mosley, A.R. 1975. Effects of planting depth and seed piece treatment on yield and quality of  
281 Kennebec potatoes on muck soil. *Research summary - Ohio Agricultural Research and*  
282 *Development Centre* 81: 31-33.
- 283 Neumann, A. G. Torstensson, and H. Aronsson. 2012. Nitrogen and phosphorus leaching losses  
284 from potatoes with different harvest times and following crops. *Field Crops Research* 133:  
285 130-138.
- 286 Oparka, K.J., H.V. Davies, and D.A.M. Prior. 1987. The influence of applied N on export and  
287 partitioning of current assimilate by field-grown potato plants. *Annals of Botany* 59: 311-  
288 323.
- 289 Pavek, M.J., and R.E. Thornton. 2009. Planting depth influences potato plant morphology and  
290 economic value. *American Journal of Potato Research* 86: 56-67.



- 291 R Core Team (2019). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation  
292 for Statistical Computing, Vienna.
- 293 Ruza, A., I. Skrabule, and A. Vaivode. 2013. Influence of Nitrogen on potato productivity  
294 and nutrient use efficiency. *Proceedings of the Latvian Academy of Sciences* 67: 247-253.
- 295 Saeidi, M., A. Tobeh, Y. Raei, A. Roohi, S.H. Jamaati-e-Somarin, and M. Hassanzadeh. 2009.  
296 Evaluation of tuber size and nitrogen fertilizer on nitrogen uptake and nitrate accumulation  
297 in potato tuber. *Research Journal of Environmental Sciences* 3: 278-284.
- 298 Shah, J.M., S.A.H. Bukhari, J.B. Zeng, X.Y. Quan, E. Ali, N. Muhammad, and G.P. Zhang.  
299 2017. Nitrogen (N) metabolism related enzyme activities, cell ultrastructure and nutrient  
300 contents as affected by N level and barley genotype. *Journal of Integrative Agriculture*  
301 16:190-198.
- 302 Sharifi, M., B.J. Zebarth, M.A. Hajabbasi, and M. Kalbasi. 2005. Dry matter and nitrogen  
303 accumulation and root morphological characteristics of two clonal selections of 'Russet  
304 Norkotah' as affected by nitrogen fertilization. *Journal of Plant Nutrition* 28: 2243-2253.
- 305 [Sparrow, L.A. 2012. Potato nutrient management in Tasmania, Australia. In Z. He, R. P. Larkin  
306 & C. W. Honeycutt \(Eds.\), Sustainable potato production: global case studies. Heidelberg:  
307 Springer.](#)
- 308 Srek, P., M. Hejcman, and E. Kunzova. 2010. Multivariate analysis of relationship between  
309 potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) yield, amount of applied elements, their concentrations in  
310 tubers and uptake in a long-term fertilizer experiment. *Field Crops Research* 118: 183-193.
- 311 Stalham, M.A., J.H. Fowler, and M.J. Pavek. 2001. Effect of planting depth and re-ridging on  
312 crop growth and tuber greening in FL 1953. *Cambridge University Potato Growers Research  
313 Association Annual Report* 16-21.
- 314 Tanios, S., A. Eyles, R. Tegg, and C. Wilson. 2018. Potato tuber greening: a review of  
315 predisposing factors, management and future challenges. *American Journal of Potato  
316 Research* 95: 248-257.
- 317 Tanios, S., T. Thangavel, A. Eyles, R. Tegg, D. Nichols, R. Corkrey, and C. Wilson. 2020.  
318 Suberin deposition in potato periderm: a novel resistance mechanism against tuber greening.  
319 *New Phytologist* 225: 1273-1284.
- 320 Terashima, I. J, and J.R. Evans. 1988. Effects of light and nitrogen nutrition on the organization  
321 of the photosynthetic apparatus in spinach. *Plant and Cell Physiology* 29: 143-155.
- 322 Uchida, N., R. Ito, and Y. Murata. 1980. Studies on the changes in photosynthetic activity of a  
323 crop leaf during its development and senescence I. Changes in the developmental stage of a  
324 rice leaf. *Japanese Journal of Crop Science* 49: 127-134.
- 325 Yoshida, S. and V. Coronel. 1976. Nitrogen nutrition, leaf resistance and leaf photosynthetic  
326 rate of the rice plant. *Soil Science and Plant Nutrition* 22: 207-211
- 327 Zebarth, B.J., W.J. Arsenault, and J.B. Sanderson. 2006. Effect of seed piece spacing and  
328 nitrogen fertilization on tuber yield, yield components and nitrogen use efficiency  
329 parameters of two potato cultivars. *American Journal of Potato Research* 83: 289-296.

330

331 **Figure legends**

332

333 **Fig. 1:** Relationship between N fertilisation rates and tuber N for cvs. Nicola and Maranca. R<sup>2</sup>  
334 values represent regression coefficient. Data was collected from field trial 1 in Buckland,  
335 Tasmania. Each data point represent the mean ± SE of six replications.

336

337 **Fig. 12:** Relationship between tuber N and Δchlorophyll concentration for cvs. Nicola and  
338 Maranca. R<sup>2</sup> values represent regression coefficient. Data was collected from field trial 1 in  
339 Buckland, Tasmania. Each data point represent the mean ± SE of six replications. Chlorophyll  
340 concentrations were calculated before and after 120 hours of light exposure.

341

342 **Fig. 3:** Relationship between N fertilisation rates and tuber N for cv. Maranca. R<sup>2</sup> values  
343 represent regression coefficient. Data was collected from field trial 2 in Parilla, South Australia.  
344 Each data point represent the mean ± SE of three replications.

345

346 **Fig. 24:** Relationship between tuber N and Δchlorophyll concentration for cv. Maranca. R<sup>2</sup>  
347 values represent regression coefficient. Data was collected from field trial 2 in Parilla, South  
348 Australia. Each data point represent the mean ± SE of three replications. Chlorophyll  
349 concentrations were calculated before and after 120 hours of light exposure.

350

351