

10.1. Benthic Regional Classification

Lucinda L. Douglass^{1,2}, Daniel Beaver², Ben Raymond^{3,4}, Andrew J. Constable^{3,4}, Angelika Brandt⁵, Alexandra L. Post⁶, Stefanie Kaiser^{5,7}, Hedley S. Grantham⁸ & Rob A. Nicoll⁹

¹ Centre for Biodiversity and Conservation Science, School of Biological Sciences, The University of Queensland, Brisbane Australia

² Centre for Conservation Geography, Sydney, NSW, Australia

³ Australian Antarctic Division, Department of the Environment, Kingston, Tasmania, Australia

⁴ Antarctic Climate and Ecosystems Cooperative Research Centre, University of Tasmania, Hobart, Tasmania, Australia

⁵ Biocentre Grindel and Zoological Museum, Hamburg, Germany

⁶ Geoscience Australia, Canberra, Australia

⁷ German Centre for Marine Biodiversity Research, Wilhelmshaven, Germany.

⁸ Conservation International, Betty and Gordon Moore Centre for Ecosystem Science and Economics, Arlington, Virginia, USA

⁹ WWF Australia, Sydney, Australia

1. Introduction

Regional classification analyses aim to identify biogeographic patterns, by spatially subdividing an area such that assemblage compositions can be expected to be similar within each region relative to adjacent regions. Here we outline a regional classification of the Southern Ocean benthos, intended for general use in spatial planning and management. Full details are provided by Douglass *et al.* (in press).

Ideally, regional classification analyses would be mostly based on biological data. However, on a circum-Antarctic scale, such data are limited especially within the Amundsen Sea, eastern Antarctica, below 1500 m and under ice shelves (chapter 5.29, Brandt *et al.* 2007, Griffiths 2010, Gutt *et al.* 2013). Where biological data are insufficient, environmental data combined with an appropriate classification method can be used and guided by what is known about the regions ecology and biogeography (Pressey & Bottrill 2009, Delegations of Australia & France 2011). In these cases, the method used should enhance the biological relevance of the results. Where the relationships between the distribution of benthos and abiotic factors can be reasonably inferred, they can be manually incorporated to delineate regions rather than relying on an automated unsupervised (purely data-driven) classification (e.g. clustering, Whiteway *et al.* 2007). For instance, the continental shelf usually supports distinct species assemblages when compared to the deeper ocean (Brandt *et al.* 2007, Kaiser *et al.* 2011, Koubbi *et al.* 2011).

Marine habitat conditions, and thus the abundance and distribution of taxa, are largely driven by physical and chemical processes, including those that affect the connectivity between similar habitats. Two main environmental drivers are depth and geomorphology (Barry *et al.* 2003, Beaman & Harris 2005, Post *et al.* 2010, Kaiser *et al.* 2011, Koubbi *et al.* 2011). Other important drivers include seabed temperature, icebergs and sea ice cover, sea-surface productivity and ocean currents (Gutt 2001, Gili *et al.* 2006, Gutt 2007, Hall & Thatje 2011). Refer to chapter 4 for more detail of these environmental drivers and their influence on Southern Ocean taxa.

The biogeography of species and the genetic connectivity between subpopulations is strongly linked to the ability of individuals to move or disperse away from their parents. Divergent evolution of similar taxa can occur from reduced connectivity. The distance between suitable habitats, steep environmental clines and geomorphic features can be barriers that constrain this dispersal ability. For benthic organisms, long-distance dispersal is confined by transport during pelagic larval stages and the passive oceanic transport of adults or via turbidites termed rafting (Bradbury & Snelgrove 2001). Habitats will support different species assemblages where the distance between them is greater than the dispersal ability of species. Strong circum-Antarctic currents will facilitate the transport of some species but the probability of travelling long distances will be constrained by biotic interactions (e.g. predation, parasitism and starvation), especially for species with non-feeding larvae. The benthic assemblages of the Southern Ocean have a higher proportion of species with either brooding or non-feeding larval development relative to those species with feeding pelagic larvae (Thatje *et al.* 2005). Furthermore, some widely dispersive species have shown strong genetic variation suggesting higher heterogeneity at a finer spatial scale (Wilson *et al.* 2007, Hoffman *et al.* 2010, Arango *et al.* 2011). Steep ecoclines, geomorphic barriers and oceanography can also cause ecological and genetic differentiation. For example, genetic differentiation is suggested to be linked to seabed temperature changes even over short distances (Arango *et al.* 2011), and the inhibition of adult migration by geomorphic features (Shaw *et al.* 2004). Depth-related factors, habitat fragmentation and oceanography such as frontal systems can be barriers to gene flow (Grebmeier & Barry 1991, Thornhill *et al.* 2008, Matschner *et al.* 2009, Hoffman *et al.* 2010, White *et al.* 2010). The ecological dynamics of populations can be spatially restricted where gene flow is hindered.

For cosmopolitan species that are distributed across wide spatial ranges, a regional classification may falsely subdivide their distribution. However, the focus of such a classification, especially one intended for conservation planning purposes, is to identify general patterns of assemblages and areas associated with species that have restricted ranges or are endemic. Furthermore, there is increasing evidence from molecular studies that widely distributed Antarctic shelf and deep-sea assemblages contain species complexes with each species having a more restricted range. For example, isopods (Held 2003, Held & Wägele 2005, Leese *et al.* 2008), crinoids (Wilson *et al.* 2007), and ophiuroids (Hunter & Halanych 2008).

2. Methods

The study area for the analysis was bounded by the Antarctic ice shelf and the outer boundary of the area administered by the Commission for the Conservation of Antarctic Marine Living Resources which approximates the position of the polar front (Grant *et al.* 2006). The data used are listed in Table 1, and Douglass *et al.* (in press) discusses the analysis methods used in more detail.

The regionalisation was based on the hierarchical classification of Last *et al.* (2010) and includes the identification of ecoregions, bathomes and environmental types. Ecoregions are the broadest spatial unit which, in a similar manner to the East Antarctic marine protected area planning process (Delegations of Australia & France 2011), were delineated by accounting for patterns of endemism, recent biogeographic research, and the influence of environmental drivers as potential barriers to dispersal. Bathomes are depth ranges based on the depth strata of known species distributions. Geomorphic features classify the seabed according to its surface attributes, which are shaped by geological, sedimentary and oceanographic processes. These features influence the types of benthic organisms that can live there (Harris & Baker, 2012). Environmental types are the finest level of the spatial hierarchy, and refer to each unique combination resulting from nesting geomorphic features within bathomes for each ecoregion. This nesting avoids the potential for false within-class homogeneity that can arise if such features are not modified by depth (Williams *et al.* 2009). Ecoregions, bathomes and geomorphic features were defined according to the processing steps described below.

Table 1 Circumpolar datasets used within the classification. See Chapter 4 for further details.

Data	Source
Depth	Smith & Sandwell (2010)
Geomorphology	O'Brien <i>et al.</i> (2009)
Seafloor temperature	Clarke <i>et al.</i> (2009)
Sea-surface chlorophyll	Feldman & McClain (2010)
Sea ice concentration	Spreen <i>et al.</i> (2008)
Frontal systems	Sokolov & Rintoul (2009)


2.1. Benthic ecoregions

We initially separated the distinct environment of the Antarctic continental shelf and slope from the deeper ocean using the 4000 m contour. Bathomes were applied later to further account for the depth distribution of species. Where possible, previously defined regions, which occur primarily on the Antarctic continental shelf and slope, were reviewed and incorporated (especially Grant *et al.* 2006, Linse *et al.* 2006, Clarke *et al.* 2007, Spalding *et al.* 2007, Griffiths *et al.* 2009, Constable *et al.* 2010). These boundaries were maintained if levels of endemism greater than 10% were reported by Linse *et al.* (2006) or Griffiths *et al.* (2009). Where appropriate, previously defined boundaries were altered to better reflect bathomes and geomorphic features.

Ecoregion boundaries within the deeper ocean were defined by considering the effects of environmental drivers on patterns of biodiversity. It is assumed that the spatial separation of similar habitat types increases the likelihood that these habitats support genetically dissimilar species due to isolation. Therefore, for areas without previously defined ecoregions, we identified ecoregion boundaries by dividing areas of similar habitat types (predominantly bathomes and geomorphic features) that were separated by more than 200 km (Palumbi 2004, Halpern *et al.* 2006). All data layers were then used to corroborate or refute the validity of these regions.

2.2. Bathomes

Bathomes are broad-scale depth classes based on the depths at which there are expected to be rapid transitions in assemblage composition. We identified those depths based on available depth-species relationship studies within the Southern Ocean. Studies included but were not limited to the research of Williams & de la Mare (1995), Munilla León (2001), Peña Cantero (2004), Beaman & Harris (2005), Brandt *et al.* (2009), Duhamel & Hautecoeur (2009), Kruse *et al.* (2009), Barnes & Kuklinski (2010), and Post *et al.* (2010).



AP: Antarctic Peninsula
 CI-EK: Central Indian - East Kerguelen Subregion
 CI-PB: Central Indian - Prydz Bay Subregion
 CI-W: Central Indian - Wilkes Subregion
 CI-WK: Central Indian - West Kerguelen Subregion

DM: Dronning Maud
 K-B: Kerguelen - Banzare Bank Subregion
 K-DK: Kerguelen - Deep Kerguelen Subregion
 K-KP: Kerguelen - Kerguelen Plateau Subregion
 SSI: South Sandwich Islands

Map 1 The benthic ecoregions identified within the Southern Ocean.

2.3. Geomorphic features

The geomorphic features used are described in chapter 4. We converted the mapped line 'canyon axes' (O'Brien *et al.* 2009), to areas by applying a 5 km buffer around the axes to capture both the canyon and its surrounding zone of influence. Since depth modification of geomorphology is captured by the hierarchical classification analysis, 'wave affected banks' and 'shelf banks' were reclassified as 'banks'.

1. 0–100 m
2. 100–200 m
3. 200–500 m
4. 500–1000 m
5. 1000–1500 m
6. 1500–2000 m
7. 2000–3000 m
8. 3000–4500 m
9. 4500 m+

3. Discussion

We identified 23 benthic ecoregions and 9 bathomes (Map 1, Table 2). The existing geomorphology mapping identified 30 geomorphic feature types. These three datasets were combined within a hierarchical framework to identify finer scale environmental types. The spatial dataset and supplementary details of the results are provided (see Douglass *et al.* in press). The nine bathome depth ranges identified were:

Many of the broad patterns of the data incorporated within the analysis are reflected in the ecoregion boundaries. Generally, ecoregion boundaries most closely resemble depth, geomorphology and seabed temperature. Productivity and ice cover tend to show a stronger within-ecoregion variation. In the shallower shelf and slope regions of the Southern Ocean, where survey effort is higher, biological data of species distributions (in the form of

past biogeographical studies) were the key drivers of ecoregion delineation. Therefore, our results broadly reflect Spalding *et al.* (2007); Constable *et al.* (2010) and Clarke *et al.* (2007). Within the deeper environments of the Southern Ocean, the distribution of rare and mostly isolated shallow habitats often associated with seamounts, seamount ridges, plateaus and islands were the primary drivers of the classification. Mid-ocean ridges and large plateaus that separate deeper habitats were also important.

The classification described here provides a whole of Southern Ocean context for patterns found in previous studies. It differs from most other recent benthic biogeographic studies (examples include Linse *et al.* 2006 and Spalding *et al.* 2007) by incorporating the deeper environments as well as shallower shelf and island regions. The classification presented here incorporates known or inferred relationships between the benthic biology and the physical environment and their influence on barriers to dispersal. Furthermore, it offers a classification of the seabed habitats contained within

Table 2 Benthic Ecoregions and their features.

Benthic Ecoregion	Features
Amundsen	The productive shelf and polynyas of the Amundsen and Bellingshausen seas. The oceanic shallow environments of Peter I Island, de Gerlache Seamounts and the Marie Byrd Seamount group.
Antarctic Peninsula	The shallow, productive shelf of the west Antarctic Peninsula with a low duration of sea ice cover and warm seabeds relative to other Antarctic shelf areas. The island ecosystems of the South Shetland Islands.
Atlantic Basin	The very deep and very cold rugose ocean floor and abyssal plain of the South Atlantic Ocean Basin and Weddell Sea.
Central Indian - East Kerguelen Subregion	Central Indian region of East Antarctica that is influenced by the Kerguelen Plateau including downstream productivity from frontal activity across the Kerguelen Plateau (Delegations of Australia and France 2011).
Central Indian - Prydz Bay Subregion	Central Indian region of East Antarctica that contains the cold, productive waters of Prydz Bay and the Prydz Gyre which oceanographically separates the east Kerguelen and west Kerguelen Central Indian subregions (Delegations of Australia and France 2011).
Central Indian - West Kerguelen Subregion	Central Indian region of East Antarctica that is not influenced by the Kerguelen Plateau nor the Weddell Gyre (Delegations of Australia and France 2011).
Central Indian - Wilkes Subregion	Central Indian region of East Antarctica that is oceanographically separated from the Central Indian-East Kerguelen subregion (Nicol <i>et al.</i> 2000, Delegations of Australia and France 2011).
Del Cano	The shallow, warm seabeds in the Subantarctic Frontal Zone including the South West Indian Ridge seamounts, the Del Cano Rise and the Crozet and Prince Edward Islands.
Dronning Maud	Maud Rise and associated open ocean polynya, Astrid Ridge, Gunnerus Ridge and the canyons offshore Dronning Maud Land. Easternmost extent of the Weddell Gyre.
East Indian Abyssal	The very deep and cold seabeds of the rugose ocean floor and abyssal plains of the South Indian Ocean Basin.
Kerguelen - Banzare Bank Subregion	Shallower (mostly depths between 1000 m to 3000 m), warmer seabeds of the Banzare Bank, south of the frontal activity of the Fawn Trough.
Kerguelen - Deep Kerguelen Subregion	Deep (mostly depths greater than 3000 m) ocean surrounding the Kerguelen Plateau and Banzare Bank.
Kerguelen - Kerguelen Plateau Subregion	Shallower (mostly depths between 200 m to 3000 m), warmer seabeds of the Kerguelen Plateau, north of the frontal activity of the Fawn Trough.
Oates	Oceanographically separated from the Central Indian - Wilkes subregion with wind and sea ice vectors diverging at its western border (Delegations of Australia and France 2011). The eastern border is adjacent to the Ross Sea region (Clarke <i>et al.</i> 2007, Spalding <i>et al.</i> 2007, Ainley <i>et al.</i> 2010).
Ob and Lena	Shallow, warm seabeds in the Polar Frontal Zone, including the Ob and Lena banks and the seamounts to their east.
Pacific Basin	The very deep rugose ocean floor and abyssal plains of the South Pacific Ocean Basin which is warmer than other deep ocean basin regions of the Southern Ocean.
Pacific-Antarctic Ridge	The Pacific-Antarctic Ridge region with large extents of shallower environments of depths less than 2000 m.
Ross Sea	Very cold seabed and high sea ice duration of the productive Ross Sea.
South Atlantic	Shallower environments of the Mid Atlantic Ridge and associated seamounts.
South Georgia	Productive, shallow environments in the Polar Frontal Zone including the island ecosystems of South Georgia Island and the seamounts of the North Scotia Ridge.
South Orkney Islands	The island ecosystems of the South Orkney Islands and the seamounts and plateaus of the South Scotia Arc, many of which underlie the Southern Antarctic Circumpolar Current Frontal Zone.
South Sandwich Islands	Highly productive island ecosystems of the South Sandwich Islands and the deeper waters of the South Sandwich Trench.
Weddell Shelf	Very cold seabed and high sea ice duration of the productive Weddell shelf, usually rather deep, ~ 500 m, at places even 1000 m depth.

each ecoregion. This new circum-Antarctic map of environmental types can be used to support spatial management aimed at conserving benthic biodiversity across the whole of the Southern Ocean.

Acknowledgments

This is CAML contribution #148.

References

- Ainley, D.G., Ballard, G., Weller, J., 2010. Ross Sea Bioregionalisation Part I: Validation of the 2007 CCAMLR Bioregionalization Workshop Results Towards Including the Ross Sea in a Representative Network of Marine Protected Areas in the Southern Ocean. CAMLR reference number: CCAMLR WG-EMM-10/11.
- Arango, C., Soler Membrives, A., Miller, K., 2011. Genetic differentiation in the Circum-Antarctic sea spider *Nymphon australe* (Pycnogonida; Nymphonidae). *Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography*, **58**, 212–219.
- Barnes, D.K.A., Kuklinski, P., 2010. Bryozoans of the Weddell Sea continental shelf, slope and abyss: did marine life colonize the Antarctic shelf from deep water, outlying islands or in situ refugia following glaciations? *Journal of Biogeography*, **37**, 1648–1656.
- Barry, J.P., Grebmeier, J.M., Smith, J., Dunbar, R.B., 2003. Oceanographic versus seafloor-habitat control of benthic megafaunal communities in the SW Ross Sea, Antarctica. *Antarctic Research Series*, **78**, 327–354.
- Beaman, R.J., Harris, P.T., 2005. Bioregionalization of the George V Shelf, East Antarctica. *Continental Shelf Research*, **25**, 1657–1691. doi:10.1016/j.csr.2005.04.013.
- Bradbury, I.R., Snelgrove, P.V.R., 2001. Contrasting larval transport in demersal fish and benthic invertebrates: the roles of behaviour and advective processes in determining spatial pattern. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, **58**, 811–823.
- Brandt, A., Bathmann, U., Brix, S., Cisevski, B., Flores, H., Göcke, C., Janussen, D., Krägfesky, S., Kruse, S., Leach, H., Linse, K., Pakhomov, E., Peeken, I., Riehl, T., Sauter, E., Sachs, O., Schüller, M., Schrödl, M., Schwabe, E., Strass, V., van Franeker, J.A., Wilmsen, E., 2011. Maud Rise – a snapshot through the water column. *Deep-Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography*, **58**, 1962–1982. doi:10.1016/j.dsr2.2011.01.008.
- Brandt, A., Gooday, A., Brandão, S., Brix, S., Brökeland, W., Cedhagen, T., Choudhury, M., Cornelius, N., Danis, B., De Mesel, I., 2007. First insights into the biodiversity and biogeography of the Southern Ocean deep sea. *Nature*, **447**, 307–311.
- Brandt, A., Linse, K., Schüller, M., 2009. Bathymetric distribution patterns of Southern Ocean macrofaunal taxa: Bivalvia, Gastropoda, Isopoda and Polychaeta. *Deep-Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers*, **56**, 2013–2025.
- Clarke, A., Griffiths, H., Barnes, D., Meredith, M., Grant, S., 2009. Spatial variation in seabed temperatures in the Southern Ocean: Implications for benthic ecology and biogeography. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, **114**, G03003. doi:10.1029/2008JG000886.
- Clarke, A., Griffiths, H., Linse, K., Barnes, D., Crame, J., 2007. How well do we know the Antarctic marine fauna? A preliminary study of macroecological and biogeographical patterns in Southern Ocean gastropod and bivalve molluscs. *Diversity and Distributions*, **13**, 620–632.
- Constable, A.J., Raymond, B., Doust, S., Welsford, D., Martin-Smith, K., 2010. Elaborating a representative systems of marine protected areas in eastern Antarctica, south of 60°S. Report to the Commission on the Conservation of Antarctic Marine Living Resources (CCAMLR) XXIX, Working Group on Ecosystem Monitoring and Management. Document number: WG-EMM-10/26, 66 pp.
- Delegations of Australia and France, 2011. Proposal for a representative system of Marine Protected Areas (RSMFA) in the East Antarctica planning domain. Submitted to the scientific committee to the Commission for the Conservation of Antarctic Marine Living Resources, SC-CCAMLR-XXX/11.
- Douglass, L.L., Turner, J., Grantham, H.S., Kaiser, S., Constable, A., Nicoll, R., Raymond, B., Post, A., Brandt, A., Beaver, D., in press. A hierarchical classification of benthic biodiversity and assessment of protected areas in the Southern Ocean. Doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0100551
- Duhamel, G., Hauteceur, M., 2009. Biomass, abundance and distribution of fish in the Kerguelen Islands EEZ (CCAMLR statistical division 58.5.1). *CCAMLR Science*, **16**, 1–32.
- Feldman, G.C., McClain, C.R., 2010. Ocean Color Web, SeaWiFS Reprocessing, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center. Eds. Kuring, N., Bailey, S.W. <http://oceancolor.gsfc.nasa.gov/>.
- Gili, J., Rossi, S., Pagès, F., Orejas, C., Teixidó, N., López-González, P., Arntz, W., 2006. A new trophic link between the pelagic and benthic systems on the Antarctic shelf. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **322**, 43–49.
- Grant, S., Constable, A., Raymond, B., Doust, S., 2006. Bioregionalisation of the Southern Ocean: report of experts workshop, Hobart, September 2006: WWF Australia, 46 pp.
- Grebmeier, J.M., Barry, J.P., 1991. The influence of oceanographic processes on pelagic-benthic coupling in polar regions: A benthic perspective. *Journal of Marine Systems*, **2**, 495–518.
- Griffiths, H., 2010. Antarctic Marine Biodiversity-What Do We Know About the Distribution of Life in the Southern Ocean? *PLoS ONE*, **5**(8), e11683. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0011683.
- Griffiths, H., Barnes, D., Linse, K., 2009. Towards a generalized biogeography of the Southern Ocean benthos. *Journal of Biogeography*, **36**, 162–177.
- Gutt, J., 2001. On the direct impact of ice on marine benthic communities, a review. *Polar Biology*, **24**, 553–564.
- Gutt, J., 2007. Antarctic macro-zoobenthic communities: a review and an ecological classification. *Antarctic Science*, **19**, 165–182.
- Gutt, J., Barnes, D., Lockhart, S.J., Van de Putte, A., 2013. Antarctic macrobenthic communities: A compilation of circumpolar information. *Nature Conservation*, **4**, 1–13.
- Hall, S., Thatje, S., 2011. Temperature-driven biogeography of the deep-sea family Lithodidae (Crustacea: Decapoda: Anomura) in the Southern Ocean. *Polar Biology*, **34**, 363–370. doi:10.1007/s00300-010-0890-0.
- Harris, P.T., Baker, E.K., 2012. *Seafloor Geomorphology as Benthic Habitat: GeoHab Atlas of seafloor geomorphic features and benthic habitats*. Amsterdam: Elsevier, 936 pp.
- Halpern, B., Regan, H., Possingham, H., McCarthy, M., 2006. Accounting for uncertainty in marine reserve design. *Ecology Letters*, **9**, 2–11.
- Held, C., 2003. Molecular evidence for cryptic speciation within the widespread Antarctic crustacean *Ceratoserolis trilobitoides* (Crustacea, Isopoda). In: Huiskes, A.H.L. *et al.* (eds.), *Antarctic biology in a global context*. Leiden, Netherlands: Backhuys Publishers, pp. 135–139.
- Held, C., Wägele, J.W., 2005. Cryptic speciation in the giant Antarctic isopod *Glyptonotus antarcticus* (Isopoda, Valvifera, Chaetiliidae). *Scientia Marina*, **69**, 175–181.
- Hoffman, J., Peck, L., Linse, K., Clarke, A., 2010. Strong Population Genetic Structure in a Broadcast-Spawning Antarctic Marine Invertebrate. *Journal of Heredity*, **102**, 55–66. doi:10.1093/jhered/esq094.
- Hunter, R.L., Halanach, K.M., 2008. Evaluating connectivity in the brooding brittle star *Astrofoma agassizii* across the Drake Passage in the Southern Ocean. *Journal of Heredity*, **99**, 137–148. doi:10.1093/jhered/esm119.
- Kaiser, S., Griffiths, H., Barnes, D., Brandão, S., Brandt, A., O'Brien, P., 2011. Is there a distinct continental slope fauna in the Antarctic? *Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography*, **58**, 91–104. doi:10.1016/j.dsr2.2010.05.017.
- Koubbi, P., Moteki, M., Duhamel, G., Goarant, A., Hulley, P.A., O'Driscoll, R., Ishimaru, T., Pruvost, P., Tavernier, E., Hosie, G., 2011. Ecoregionalisation of myctophid fish in the Indian sector of the Southern Ocean: Results from generalized dissimilarity models. *Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography*, **58**, 170–180. doi:10.1016/j.dsr2.2010.09.007.
- Kruse, S., Bathmann, U., Brey, T., 2009. Meso-and bathypelagic distribution and abundance of chaetognaths in the Atlantic sector of the Southern Ocean. *Polar Biology*, **32**, 1359–1376. doi:10.1007/s00300-009-0632-3.
- Last, P., Lyne, V., Williams, A., Davies, C., Butler, A., Yearsley, G., 2010. A hierarchical framework for

- classifying seabed biodiversity with application to planning and managing Australia's marine biological resources. *Biological Conservation*, **143**, 1675–1686.
- Leese, F., Kop, A., Wägele, J.-W., Held, C., 2008. Cryptic speciation in a benthic isopod from Patagonian and Falkland Island waters and the impact of glaciations on its population structure. *Frontiers in Zoology*, **5**:19. doi:10.1186/1742-9994-5-19.
- Linse, K., Griffiths, H., Barnes, D., Clarke, A., 2006. Biodiversity and biogeography of Antarctic and sub-Antarctic mollusca. *Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography*, **53**, 985–1008.
- Matschiner, M., Hanel, R., Salzburger, W., 2009. Gene flow by larval dispersal in the Antarctic notothenioid fish *Gobionotothen gibberifrons*. *Molecular Ecology*, **18**, 2574–2587.
- Munilla León, T., 2001. Synopsis of the pycnogonids from Antarctic and Subantarctic waters. *Polar Biology*, **24**, 941–945.
- Nicol, S., Pauly, T., Bindoff, N., Wright, S., Thiele, D., Hosie, G., Strutton, P., Woehler, E., 2000. Ocean circulation off east Antarctica affects ecosystem structure and sea-ice extent. *Nature*, **406**, 504–507.
- O'Brien, P.E., Post, A.L., Romeyn, R., 2009. Antarctic-wide Geomorphology as an aid to habitat mapping and locating Vulnerable Marine Ecosystems. Science Committee to the Commission of Antarctic Marine Living Resources (SC-CAMLR-XXVIII/10) Workshop on Vulnerable Marine Ecosystems. La Jolla, CA, USA 3–7th August 2009: GeoScience Australia. Conference paper: WS-VME-09/10. Available online: <http://share.biodiversity.aq/GIS/Antarctic/Geomorphology/>.
- Palumbi, S., 2004. Marine reserves and ocean neighborhoods: the spatial scale of marine populations and their management. *Annual Review of Environment and Resources*, **29**, 31–68. doi:10.1146/annurev.energy.29.062403.102254.
- Peña Cantero, A., 2004. How rich is the deep-sea Antarctic benthic hydroid fauna? *Polar Biology*, **27**, 767–774.
- Post, A., O'Brien, P., Beaman, R., Riddle, M., De Santis, L., 2010. Physical controls on deep water coral communities on the George V Land slope, East Antarctica. *Antarctic Science*, **22**, 371–378.
- Pressey, R.L., Bottrill, M.C., 2009. Approaches to landscape-and seascape-scale conservation planning: convergence, contrasts and challenges. *Oryx*, **43**, 464–475.
- Shaw, P., Arkhipkin, A., Al-Khairulla, H., 2004. Genetic structuring of Patagonian toothfish populations in the Southwest Atlantic Ocean: the effect of the Antarctic Polar Front and deep water troughs as barriers to genetic exchange. *Molecular Ecology*, **13**, 3293–3303.
- Smith, W., Sandwell, D., 2010. Measured and Estimated Seafloor Topography Version 13.1, **Research Publication RP-1**: World Data Center-A for Marine Geology and Geophysics. Available <http://www.ngdc.noaa.gov/mgg/fliers/97mgg03.html> as at November 2010.
- Sokolov, S., Rintoul, S.R., 2009. The circumpolar structure and distribution of the Antarctic Circumpolar Current fronts. Part 1: Mean circumpolar paths. *Journal of Geophysical Research — Oceans*, **114**, C11018. doi: 10.1029/2008JC005108.
- Spalding, M., Fox, H., Allen, G., Davidson, N., Ferdana, Z., Finlayson, M., Halpern, B., Jorge, M., Lombana, A., Lourie, S., 2007. Marine ecoregions of the world: a bioregionalization of coastal and shelf areas. *Bioscience*, **57**, 573–583.
- Sprenn, G., Kaleschke, L., Heygster, G., 2008. Sea ice remote sensing using AMSR-E 89 GHz channels. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, **113(C2)**, C02S03, doi:10.1029/2005JC003384.
- Thatje, S., Hillenbrand, C., Larter, R., 2005. On the origin of Antarctic marine benthic community structure. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, **20**, 534–540.
- Thornhill, D.J., Mahon, A.R., Norenburg, J.L., Halanych, K.M., 2008. Open ocean barriers to dispersal: a test case with the Antarctic Polar Front and the ribbon worm *Parborlasia corrugatus* (Nemertea: Lineidae). *Molecular Ecology*, **17**, 5104–5117.
- White, C., Selkoe, K.A., Watson, J., Siegel, D.A., Zacherl, D.C., Toonen, R.J., 2010. Ocean currents help explain population genetic structure. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, **277**, 1685–1694. doi:10.1098/rspb.2009.2214.
- Whiteway, T., Heap, A.D., Lucieer, V., Hinde, R., Ruddick, R., Harris, P.T., 2007. Seascapes of the Australian Margin and Adjacent Sea Floor: Methodology and Results: Geoscience Australia, 133 pp.
- Williams, A., Bax, N., Kloser, R., Althaus, F., Barker, B., Keith, G., 2009. Australia's deep-water reserve network: implications of false homogeneity for classifying abiotic surrogates of biodiversity. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, **66**, 214–224.
- Williams, R., de la Mare, W., 1995. Fish distribution and biomass in the Heard Island zone (Division 58.5.2). *CCAMLR Science*, **2**, 1–20.
- Wilson, N., Hunter, R., Lockhart, S., Halanych, K., 2007. Multiple lineages and absence of panmixia in the "circumpolar" crinoid *Promachocrinus kerguelensis* from the Atlantic sector of Antarctica. *Marine Biology*, **152**, 895–904.