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In the colonial narrative there are conforming types that constantly recur to the point of parody. As places of periphery, colonies attracted the existentially displaced and those attempting to remake themselves, what Talleyrand called “restless men who need projects and unfortunate men who need hope.”

Most fought encroachment by the Other. Some embraced it with enthusiasm or bent to the opportunities afforded, inviting retributive reaction from the prevailing imperial norm. This shifting sense of self, of fragile identity, often provided tableaux more revealing than ever intended, disclosing dramas of hyperbole and grandiosity as inflated as the colonial impulse itself.

Whatever the personal performance, though, enactment was choreographed within imperial parameters of ideology and policy, some explicit, most implicit and unvoiced. Assumptions of race and religion, and marriage and morality are recurring colonial emphases as are the significant ideological and legal justifications of land policy at the heart of colonial acquisition.

The multilayered life of Cecil Le Mesurier touches on a startling array of these colonial themes, none more significant than in the area of land policy and the ideological and legal justifications that sustained its pursuit, assumptions derived from the North American experience and elaborated elsewhere, not only in Ceylon but particularly in Australia. His land dealings and subsequent litigious machinations eloquently encapsulated the evolving assumptions of this core colonial preoccupation. Le Mesurier’s exaggerated effrontery was handled starkly by the authorities.

Dismissal

In January 1896 the Acting Governor of Ceylon Sir Edward Noel Walker wrote to the Assistant Government Agent and District Judge at Matara on Ceylon’s south coast, Cecil Le Mesurier, ordering his dismissal. His offence was apparently simple - Mr Le Mesurier had converted to the Mohammedan faith and married.

At first sight it would appear all the late nineteenth century articles of racist and religious intolerance had been brought to bear, the transgression of boundaries that would form the basis of a ‘scandal’ meriting dismissal. Deciphering such a narrative is often made clearer if the thoughts concealed in secret dossiers are revealed to the present, for few files lie within the embargo of “Secret and Confidential” unless considered extremely sensitive or likely to embarrass.

Le Mesurier entered the cloisters of secrecy when he wrote to the Secretary of State for Colonies protesting his dismissal, backed up by the dreaded ‘questions in the House’. He obviously had friends and some influence. He had also written to his “Dear Brother”, the Mohammedan member of the Legislative Council, Abdul Rahiman, an early Ceylonese nationalist, seeking his support and calling his dismissal “an attempt by Christians to dishonour the faith of Islam”. He signed with his new name Abdul Hamil.

Though he had offered initial support, as the controversy erupted Abdul Rahiman wilted and turned his correspondence over to the Governor. He now wanted it known that Le Mesurier was dismissed “not for changing faith but of his own misconduct”. He hoped the Governor would “give an elaborate reply” in the Legislative Council, because the “bulk” of the island’s Muslims are “unpolished and fond of talking idle talk”.

Rahiman’s obsequious attempt to ingratiate himself - on one occasion he asks the Governor “to pardon one for disturbing your valuable time in such a worthless gnat” (sic) - shows all too often the underside of colonial relations despite public adherence to ‘nationalist’ ideals. He was, after all, an appointed, not an elected member of the Legislative Council and thus reliant on the continuing good favour of the Governor, and the Governor was happy to protect his informant by classifying his disclosures as ‘secret’.

The sequence of events leading up to Le Mesurier’s dismissal lie in his conduct as a public servant where he had become a thorn in the side of government in a manner that was to leave some peculiar and lasting impressions on law and governance in Ceylon.

Cecil John Reginald Le Mesurier was conceived in exaggeration and delivered into the world at Cephalonia in the Ionian Islands in 1855 to the “Greek princess” Nicolina Zancoral. He joined the Ceylon Civil Service on July 8 1875 and rose modestly through the ranks till he was appointed in March 1889 Assistant Government Agent (AGA) at Nuwara Eliya, the hill station favoured by the British as an escape from the lowland heat. The position of Government Agent (GA), even an Assistant GA, was one of formidable power in Ceylon - a veritable local potentate.
Le Mesurier, in a parody of British colonial energy, threw himself into a formidable list of works for the ‘improvement’ of the people. Without government assistance he introduced trout ova from England and Labeo casbasu from India, though this probably had more to do with his vigorous sporting interests, and introduced new crops like castor and barley, and pioneered the introduction of cotton and the fodder species Paspalum conjugatum, along with swans and partridges. Some of these efforts in a modern world of ecological sensitivity might be questionable, but in those times of robust progress it was positive improvement.

Foremost among his ambitious projects was the establishment of the Bodi-Ela Settlement - which resettled 200-300 families - and the Ma-Ela and Galaboda schemes, all linked with irrigation programmes involving restoration of the ancient bunds, one of those British enthusiasms after 1855 that typically blended “paternalism and self-interest”. The Bodi-Ela was a private scheme without official government sanction, though Governor Sir Arthur Gordon (later Lord Stanmore) accepted the position of President of the scheme, which helps explain Le Mesurier's formidable support in England where Lord Stanmore, after his return, added parliamentary "and long standing protection".

The Grain Tax

Le Mesurier's schemes were fully in keeping with the prevailing Liberal ‘self-help’ ideology and post-1865 parsimonious “pay-its-way” principles of Ceylon governance. The pressing need for these resettlement schemes, however, arose out of the devastating displacement of villagers wrought by severe drought in 1882-1885, failure of the chena and maha paddy crops, and more particularly, according to Le Mesurier, by the impact of the government's tax on paddy rice. Crop failure meant paddy farmers defaulted on their taxes and their property was seized and sold by the Government, compounding the problems of already impoverished paddy farmers and contributing to widespread starvation.

Starvation was the emotional lever Le Mesurier employed with maximum effect to trumpet his resettlement schemes and to crusade for the abolition of the paddy tax. The peasantry did not “grow enough for their own consumption, much less for sale,” Le Mesurier asserted, and the amount paid in tax would be better to “go into their own stomachs”. The key to the immense heat generated by Le Mesurier's crusade was principally ideological not humanitarian. There were echoes of the battle over Corn Laws that resonated with free market assumptions both in Ceylon and Britain. It drew to its cause such influential British lobbyists as the Cobden Club, for there was “singular unanimity” that grain taxes, taxes on food, were “abhorrent in principle.”

Le Mesurier was thus building on a groundswell of dissension with a long history, even in Ceylon. He brazenly imported the issue directly into the public arena through his Government Administrative Reports. While intended and used as a means of drawing attention to legislative deficiencies, regional issues and program initiatives, Administrative Reports were never intended as a forum for debating government policy, and this is exactly what Le Mesurier managed and it did not endear him. He even used his Administrative Reports to publicly disagree with his superiors who felt compelled to defend government policy. It was public warfare waged through Administrative Reports - high noon at the printery.

`sneer at him as “this friend of the natives”. He attacked his Bodi Ela scheme as one of his “abhorrent in principle.”

Le Mesurier's prominent role earned the condemnation of Ferguson's Ceylon Observer. He accused Le Mesurier of “reckless disregard for the truth” and sneered at him as “this friend of the natives”. He attacked his Bodi Ela scheme as one of his “madder” projects, and, with a swipe as well at the Governor, derided him for patronising Le Mesurier's madness.

The administration, in damage control, dismissed the incidence of starvation as “crude accusation”. They commissioned a detailed Report and, while questioning Le Mesurier's statistics, had to admit, “in some isolated cases, death was hastened… by such [land confiscation] sales”. The policy had “caused considerable suffering”, it was admitted, but it was “not a result … the Government could have apprehended” and thus no “useful purpose” could be served by further investigation. It was a masterful and suitably justificatory response with just sufficient hand wringing to appear appropriately humane.

The public campaign, however, continued. John Whitefoord, a prominent planter of the Maha Uva Estate, detailed that the distress inflicted on villagers “turned addir” to forage food. “Is this English Justice?”, he intoned, adding he could not “endure to see the misery the policy… has produced.” The Legislative Council, a generally tame instrument of government, became embroiled with Sir Ponnambalam Ramanathan spearheading a campaign, which relied heavily on Le Mesurier's reports, to urge a Select Committee. Ramanathan's outspoken advocacy struck the resonant “Corn Law nerve” in Britain and he was duly entered into the rolls as an honorary member of the Cobden Club.
The public outcry made change unavoidable, and in 1893 the new governor, Sir Arthur Havelock, "attracted to Le Mesurier’s contention[64]", abolished the paddy tax and having done his duty was exiled to the suitably dull job of Governor of Tasmania.[47]

The credit for this change must certainly lie in "consequences brought to light"[65] by Le Mesurier, for nothing focuses politically like a crisis of starving innocents. The spectre of starvation echoed another time and place, of an Ireland still in memory. It was hardly a campaign likely to charm the colonial administration who was attracted to the paddy tax, because it was the only taxation measure that "will reach the village population"[66]. This was critical. The grain tax encompassed "the poorest section of the population living on a subsistence economy"[62] yet avoided key enterprises like the plantation sector, exempt from tax since 1824.

George Wall in his "pungent and brilliant attacks" accused these "untaxed agriculturists" of supporting a grain tax in order to avoid the net of a land tax.[51] The sensitivity on this point is clear in the defence of the grain tax. The Ceylon Observer ridiculed a suggested 'tithe' on coffee. By "all means", it retorted in indignation, "when you have paid back the full amount of the planter's purchase money with interest."[52]

Beneath the pious outrage were some firmly held assumptions. The planters. risk, sacrifice and enterprise are virtues of public good, deserving of minimal taxation, the perennial argument of business and privilege. The moral contrast is of the diligent planter and 'indigent native' whose reluctance to leave the village and accept an "honest means of making a living" meant, the good, deserving of minimal taxation, the perennial argument of business and privilege. The moral contrast is of the diligent planter and 'indigent native' whose reluctance to leave the village and accept an "honest means of making a living" meant, the good, deserving of minimal taxation, the perennial argument of business and privilege.

His transfer in December 1891[55] to the Acting AGA position at Matara in the south was inevitable given his outspoken views and differences with his superiors. He left, though, a permanent reminder in the village of Lamasuriyagama[56] near Kandy and, as testament to his positive relations with the 'natives', a legacy of offspring still in Sri Lanka.[57]

Marriage & Marriage

Le Mesurier married his first wife Juliette Le Noir, appropriately volatile and French, in London in March 1883 but in 1891 sought a divorce, accusing his wife in salacious detail of multiple instances of adultery, on board ship and among his colonial cronies, a charge she vigorously denied.[58] His initial plaint succeeded but was overturned in the Supreme Court and confirmed by the Privy Council,[59] which decided Ceylon courts had no jurisdiction since it involved all persons resident but not domiciled, an important legal precedent in English matrimonial law that contaminated all other colonial jurisdictions. She countered by suing for a legal separation, he was "doomed some time longer to bachelorhood". But now "all is changed" and a "bright vista lies before him", whereas at ten o'clock on the morning of his conversion he was denied another wife, at "half past ten or thereabouts" he could "take unto himself another four".[67]

Le Mesurier went to see the Colonial Secretary Sir Noel Walker in Colombo on 15 September 1895 and, according to Walker, Le Mesurier indicated that he had become a Muslim "partly from conviction and partly to enable him to marry".[61] Walker claimed he advised Le Mesurier not to proceed since it would "amount to a scandal" and preclude him from continuing "in high office".[62]

Even as Le Mesurier was seeing Walker, the local community was abuzz with rumours that "a well-known member of the Civil Service had abjured Christianity and had converted"[63]. The Ceylon Independent, "loath to credit the statement", investigated the newly minted Abdul Hamil Le Mesurier. It seems Le Mesurier had informed some members of the Civil Service who "laughed incredulously", creating "astonishment in the upper and lower circles in and around Colombo."[64]

The barely concealed mirth of the paper reported that Le Mesurier on 13 September 1895, several days before he saw Walker, had embraced Islam with the declaration of Kalima before two Muslim clergies - "Rather easy business, though our reporter".[66] The paper did not doubt the reason. Le Mesurier's divorce suit had "dragged on a wearisome existence" and, though granted a legal separation, he was "doomed some time longer to bachelorhood". But now "all is changed" and a "bright vista lies before him", whereas at ten o'clock on the morning of his conversion he was denied another wife, at "half past ten or thereabouts" he could "take unto himself another four".[67]

Of course the local Muslim community were in a "spirit of exultation" having "long wished that an English gentleman of position should embrace Islam in Ceylon",[68] but a combination of derision and scepticism was the more widely held view. Even a Judge of the Supreme Court suggested that had Le Mesurier not failed in his divorce then his "faith would have remained a pious opinion".[69]
The Government viewed his actions with scathing contempt as "ungenuine" and set about his dismissal - not for conversion they claimed, but because "he purported to marry a lady according to the Mohammedan rites while his legal wife is alive and not divorced." The difficulty was the Ceylon Legal Code exempted Muslims from the law of bigamy - and didn't distinguish English from non-English Muslims. The government file indicates just how utterly put out they were, feverishly raking over every aspect of the law. Despite the pious assertion that even "conversion" did not confer, in "the case of an Englishman", the right to "contract a polygamous union," the legal foundation was precarious. Despite a demeanour of imperial tolerance, Le Mesurier's action deeply affronted assumptions of British racial and religious superiority, indicating an "obvious" gap in the law that deeply perturbed government. Understandably, Le Mesurier saw the "rush" to introduce a new marriage law some fifteen days after his second 'marriage', as intended to "render his second marriage a penal offence". It was certainly suspiciously coincidental.

It is difficult to judge the authenticity of Le Mesurier's actions, particularly given the convenience of the law in Ceylon and his obvious desire to remarry. Certainly in 1900 - five years after his profession of faith - the Courts determined that Le Mesurier could not be deprived of custody of his children since he was not in an adulterous relationship but "with his legal Muslim wife." This indicates a continuing adherence, though by 1904, when he migrated to Western Australia, he seems to have shed his Muslim faith and possibly his wife.

Whether at the time he was a self-indulgent dilettante, genuine, and he and his wife certainly entered into the new religious role with some enthusiasm. With the ardour of a convert he wore a fez, occasionally attended mosque and is reputed to have remodelled the façade of his Mataca home to appear like that of a mosque. His wife, Alice Marie Rivett-Carnac, appropriately, an illustrator of children's fairy tales, was no 'native' however, but the product of an established Anglo-Indian family from Bengal and the description of their honeymoon leaves no doubt they were still the archetypal colonial.

The marriage to Marie Rivett-Carnac, "a beautiful woman devoted to her husband", resulted in a honeymoon that would be the envy of "any rollicking English girl" - a shooting expedition into the jungle with "bear hunts and elephant hunts thrown in". An "Eastern Diana" indeed. She had sat up all night "watching a pool for bears to come down to drink"; had done a "tremendous amount" of deer shooting, "crawling along on hands and knees to get within range"; peacock shooting and tracking leopards too; but the "most exciting and dangerous" was elephant hunting. She shot only one elephant - "No other lady in Ceylon had shot one" - and had its two feet lined with tamarind wood where they decorated her London drawing room as "receptacles for newspapers and correspondence". Though she now wore an "Oriental costume", there she had worn the usual jungle attire of "knickerbockers and tunic". All this she related with all the "ardour and affection of a true sports woman." Sports woman she undoubtedly was, being later accused of shooting at a headman in an altercation over disputed land, though there is no indication she had his head mounted or his legs turned into useful receptacles.

Though his honeymoon was of Hollywood proportions, his dismissal was not put in the shade. By early January 1896 the press was carrying rumours of Le Mesurier's dismissal. The Ceylon Times recognised the underlying issue. Le Mesurier had "long been a bête noir of local government" and though endowed with many qualities - "able, resourceful, indefatigable and courageous to a fault" - at last "British Philistinism has ... proved too much for him".

The question was referred to Chamberlain for direction who was firm that Le Mesurier be dismissed if the "second so-called marriage is either admitted by him or proved". The issue of apostasy, however, clearly contaminates Executive Council initiated correspondence, which wanted to know if he had "professed the Mohammedan faith". Le Mesurier, in response, 2 January 1896, rightly wanted to know "what aspects of my religion concerns the Ceylon Government" and what the relevance was to his "efficiency and character as a Public Servant". On that basis today, the case would undoubtedly collapse, but these were not such times.

Walker, impatient to act and unwilling to leave unsanctioned "conduct which is not desirable," took Le Mesurier's evasion as a "practical admission" and proceeded to dismiss him despite sanctimonious protests that the Government has no desire to deal harshly with Mr Le Mesurier. On the contrary, they would give "all legitimate assistance" if he were to "devote his undoubted ability and experience …to something worthwhile."

Bertram Hill, Acting District Judge Matara on 7 January 1896, was instructed to take over the assistant agency and hand the letter of dismissal to Le Mesurier. Le Mesurier refused to accept dismissal as "illegal" and asserted his right to continue "until prevented by a show of force". Hill was also instructed to retrieve from Le Mesurier an exact list of "missing" documents, a matter "to be attended to before anything else." While most were routine maps and Ordinances, there were some of great interest - Registers and Wattorus (tax registers attachable to land title claims) for the region. These were to assume critical importance in the light of Le Mesurier's later land speculations.

Le Mesurier thereafter made his case a public sensation. He accused Lt. Governor Walker of dismissing him without "any charge ...preferred" and without any opportunity "of defence or explanation". He had "violated no law of God or man" and "broken no rule of the Public Service". The public response was swift. Henry J Carry of Camden Hill wrote, deploring the decision, "private affairs should be absolutely ignored" and "if the Service is an honourable one" prejudices should have no part. He finished by adding, "I must say he is the pluckiest and most hard-working man I have met in the Ceylon Civil Service". Praise indeed by a person from his old administrative haunt.

The controversy spread throughout the colonial network. The Indian Planters Gazette charged as "bigoted" a government "that prides itself on ...non interference with ...religious beliefs." The dismissal, they asserted, was for apostasy since he had broken no rule of the Public Service. The Times of India reported, too, particularly on the many meetings of Muslims to protest to the Ceylon Government. The degree that the controversy spread abroad gives an indication of the furor it generated in Ceylon.
Given Le Mesurier's connections it was not long, 10 July 1896, before the matter arose in the House of Commons with Chamberlain forced to defend the dismissal after the tabling of a petition Le Mesurier claimed had ten thousand signatures. Local English papers took up the matter, particularly when his attractive new wife arrived to plead her husband's case. Even that oddly, the local Muslim chronicle The Crescent in Liverpool waded into the debate, accusing the 'Ceylon's Christian Cads. in the press of "spiteful little paragraphs" and acting like swine "swallowing in filth".

As well, Le Mesurier began a lengthy and bitter legal battle suing the Ceylon Government through the Attorney General for wrongful dismissal, foregone salary and damages for breach of contract. From the beginning, the Government engaged in elaborate technical argument by applying to have the matter struck out on the grounds that the Attorney General could not be so sued. In yet another decision that formed important legal precedent, the Courts determined clearly that Le Mesurier could sue - the Crown in its imperial guise was not exempt from legal action.

His right to sue was one thing, the merit or otherwise of his dismissal quite another. By June 1900, the Ceylon Supreme Court had refused his appeal against dismissal, a decision later upheld by the Privy Council. The grounds are interesting, for it maintained that a public servant only held office at the "pleasure" of the Crown and could be dismissed if "expedient". Further, no contractual arrangement or obligation could be construed by public service rules.

It was pure imperial privilege, an unquestionable ascendancy, which was also apparent in the approach and determination of land policy, the area of disputation that next exercised Le Mesurier's energies.

Land Speculation and Confrontation

In the period immediately after his dismissal, Le Mesurier engaged in a flurry of land purchases, and his initial motivation seems obvious and understandable. Unemployed, he had to find an alternate income, and thus made logical and lucrative purchases - lands with plumbago (graphite), a rich and under-exploited mineral. As a government official he was intimately aware of the issues of land tenure and purchase - including the loopholes of the system - and had set about availling himself of the opportunities.

It was this use of 'inside knowledge', including copies of "extracts from the old tax lists … obtained … during his official connection", that gave rise to righteous indignation. It was seen as an unfair "abuse" of his previous office and "practical evidence of breach of trust".

At heart, though, the dispute was fierce and fratricidal. He had been 'one of them', of the brotherhood of civil servants, which meant he represented a quintessential betrayal. Equally, there seems little doubt that Le Mesurier was motivated at least in part by revenge for his treatment. In a way Meyer's accusation that Le Mesurier showed signs of paranoia is fair - he felt persecuted and the Government obliged by confirming his suspicions.

The government was suddenly awakened to "the growing evil of land speculation", one "compounded by the provocative stance taken by … Le Mesurier", a threat to the Crown's own property speculation as Sir Noel Walker spelled out. If Le Mesurier's claims were accepted, it would "invalidate the Crown title to almost the whole of the … Southern Province" and beyond. It was administratively intolerable, a threat that tacitly justified whatever action by government.

From all indications, Le Mesurier engaged in an astonishingly broad sweep of deals. The government accused him of buying land from local villagers at nominal prices, which with good title would have been worth three or four hundred times the price. He was also said to have selected valuable unoccupied pieces of land with plumbago and induced a villager, "for a consideration", to grant the land by deed to him. He would then commence mining the plumbago, but since proving "the grant invalid" involved inevitably protracted litigation, by the time the matter was concluded the plumbago had been extracted. It obviously caused hair-tearing frustration.

As far as Walker was concerned, it amounted to "deliberate fraud" and he painted Le Mesurier in the most toxic tones as a "dangerous and unscrupulous agitator who will hesitate at nothing to achieve his ends". The language offers an intimation of attitude - not simply a danger but an 'agitator'. There is in him an overtone of potent political threat, shades of his previous role in the paddy tax controversy.

Certainly, Le Mesurier's obviously outraged - and probably outrageous - behaviour provoked administrative wrath and goaded the government into not only a legal but also a physical frontier confrontation. It was not long in eventuating. Land that Le Mesurier had purchased was abruptly gazetted as Crown and an ugly affray ensued. He was mining plumbago and, according to the newly installed Mrs Le Mesurier, the government "proceeded by acts of violence to eject his labourers," while Le Mesurier himself was "mauled and forcibly ejected" from his land. Worse yet, when they were travelling on bullock carts to their estate they were held up and attacked, and Mrs Le Mesurier felt "compelled to use fire-arms to protect myself from further outrage".

The Government also issued a "Gazette extraordinary" over forestland Le Mesurier claimed, and provocatively sent sawyers in to cut timber. A "small force" of police were sent for "protection" against assault by Le Mesurier's employees "who adopted a threatening attitude", according to the government. Then in a reversal of accusation they accused Le Mesurier of not hesitating "to resort to armed violence".

This confrontation was directed by village headmen (arachchi), who in the British system of indirect rule were the instruments of policy and assumed a police role, so there can be little doubt they were acting on instruction. The Government Agent was quick to deny Le Mesurier's charge that they "were ordered to resort to violence", though, he added with dissimilitude, they did have the authority to "prevent" the felling of timber on Crown land.
Le Mesurier's men were charged with trespass and the Police Magistrate imposed heavy fines, which on appeal were set aside by the Supreme Court. Le Mesurier complained vociferously about the Magistrate and Lt Governor Walker, forced to explain to the Colonial Office, he had to admit that the Magistrate had been "indiscreet and had been censured" for losing his temper with Le Mesurier in court. Walker did, however, suggest he was severely "provoked". Matters were clearly getting out of hand. Le Mesurier, too, was charged with assault and another Police Magistrate imposed a similarly severe sentence of three months Rigorous Imprisonment. Again on appeal to the Supreme Court it was reduced to a fine. The government even charged Le Mesurier with attempted murder, a charge dismissed as a "baseless fabrication" by yet another Police Magistrate. Le Mesurier of course countered in kind, charging some headmen with assault.

Throughout, Le Mesurier portrayed his actions as supporting poor, unlettered villagers reluctant to question government assertion of Crown title and defending their right "to cultivate similar lands". The government saw him as "stirring up the inhabitants of the Matara district to follow his example" which of course was "wholly indefensible" and intended "to embarrass the government". He certainly seemed to have succeeded. However, even though Le Mesurier's new wife claimed "that the popularity that came to her husband through his kindness to the natives" had led to his dismissal, it is difficult to see Le Mesurier as a champion of native rights in this instance. Nevertheless, he certainly pointed up the inequality of the contest as he was to learn to his cost.

The intensity of the confrontation and intention to decisively defeat Le Mesurier was clear. By the end of 1902 Le Mesurier was being slowly ground into submission after years of litigation and associated costs. In January 1902 the Fiscal was instructed to sell the Le Mesurier's hill country Galmulle Estate of 115 acres to recover R2825/- in Crown costs against him.

What is clear from this case is that Le Mesurier had obviously been engaged, as the government accused, in suspect land dealings even while he had been a Crown employee. The Estate had been bought originally by Cecil Brooke Le Mesurier, Le Mesurier's father, at a Crown sale for R100/- under "the hammer of one of Nuwara Eliya's bygone AGA's". This is the Overland Observer's way of adding a nod and a wink, for, of course, that Assistant Government Agent was none other than the purchaser's son. The paper also reported that the sale expected to realise R3450/-, a quite specific, knowing amount, which in the event was not realised. Insufficient bidding caused the land to revert to the Crown for an astonishing R105/-.

As in all parochial papers, detail is often included without explanation because readers are assumed to be able to 'join the dots'. Obviously bidding was stifled for some reason, perversely leaving Le Mesurier's debt largely intact, which suggests some spiteful collusion and auction rigging by government officials - not an unusual occurrence. It was obviously 'pay-back' time. And the paper leaves that inference hanging.

While the evidence suggests Le Mesurier's purchases were highly speculative, a wider imperial context needs to be taken into account in assessing his activities.

**Land Title: An Imperial Perspective**

It is difficult to comprehend the complicated, bitter dispute that arose between the government and Le Mesurier without understanding the tortuous vagaries of land tenure in early Ceylon. Customary title under Kandyan Law or established by Dutch title lacked the precision of the finely detailed surveys that were the foundation of British title.

Vague customary title was a Proctor's picnic and attracted lawyers like lice. It was an invitation for all and sundry to participate in land speculation when large-scale coffee production in the late 1830s created demand and escalating land prices. It amounted to a frontier land rush, an imperial, not simply local phenomenon - comparable with what took place in any number of colonies, like Australia, South Africa, New Zealand, Kenya and Malaya.

British imperialism was as much commercial as strategic with land policy, one of its central aspects - that and cheap labour. Obviously if land and labour were virtually gratis, even modest venture capital could be made to compound considerably - and most of those attracted commercially to the colonies were generally great in need and modest in funds.

In Australia, the land was taken and the labour given - simple native dispossession and convict labour. Similarly, the British arrival in Ceylon began as an immense land grab without moral regard that makes the later activity of Le Mesurier and others appear prosaic and the moral outrage of government officials positively sanitous - Le Mesurier and other land speculators were creatures of colonialism, not aberrant. Their activity may appear repugnant to the present - and rightly - but the distortion of archival perspective, of accepting at face value the indignation of official records, is a salutary caveat to research in this area.

Except for the quixotic period of Governor North, almost from the declaration of the Crown colony there had been an imperial assertion of a "catholic right" - a right universal rather than necessarily moral - "to all land not proven to have been granted at a former period". And proof of grant in a former period was pivotal to overcoming the imperial assertion of almost universal title. Sale of Crown land was the basis of commercial exploitation as it was in other colonies and even the price was fixed against a 'standard' that applied in the Australian colonies indicating an essentially unified, not particular, imperial approach among the British colonies. The patterns recur.

In Ceylon, labour was also made available, though it was pitifully cheap indentured labour from South India, more compliant than convicts, less surly than slaves, yet part of the pattern of British 'unfreed' labour that ornamented the Empire from its inception.

All these elements came together in Ceylon with the influx of British settlers in the 1830s demanding certain title, which, as Governor Mackenzie explicitly understood, made it "absolutely necessary to assimilate the laws of the Colony to that of the Parent state". He was one who certainly had reason to assert this imperative, being himself a fervent land speculator.
But it was not so much 'assimilating' local law to that of the centre as it was reconciling local law with the colonial ideology of land acquisition. Appropriated land and unfreed labour buttressed the edifice of colonialism and attracted philosophical and legal justification. Property was always the cynosure of English common law and beati possidentia [blessed are those in possession], though 'possession' became a highly elastic term in the colonial setting.

In the eighteenth century the North American colonial experience intersected an Enlightenment impulse of 'reasoned' explanation and from de Vattel,\textsuperscript{127} Blackstone,\textsuperscript{128} and Locke,\textsuperscript{129} among many others, emerged a philosophical and legal doctrine, essentially an ideological foundation for colonial dispossession. The arguments are occasionally contradictory, recognising to greater or lesser degrees concepts of 'native title'; however, the essence of the contention is that "cultivation of the soil" is not simply an option but an "obligation imposed" by nature that overawes the rights of those of "an idle mode of life" who "roamed over" rather than "inhabited" the "vast tracts of land".\textsuperscript{130} This "uncertain occupancy" could not be regarded as "real and lawful taking of possession" but, when Europeans "too confined at home" come to lands where the peoples have no "special need and are making no present and continuous use", these may be lawfully possessed and colonised.\textsuperscript{131} The central determinant is continuous use. Property, as Locke determined, was created when a person "hath mixed his labour".\textsuperscript{132} The plough in the soil makes property. It is this philosophical and legal assertion that colours the colonial concepts of property which constantly recur in the land litigation of Le Mesurier and spill over into other colonial jurisdictions.

In Australia, the purported lack of proper use by native Australians led to an assertion of terra nullius - an empty land.\textsuperscript{133} In Ceylon, a similar assumption of title is deciperable in the choice of language - they established waste land ordinances as though the land were inherently worthless when in fact their introduction dated from a time when the land was regarded as anything but worthless.\textsuperscript{134} The language, as always, is revealing.

**Waste Land Ordinances**

The traditional use of land in Ceylon was multiple. As Sir John D'Oyly (1774-1824), the most knowledgeable Englishman with respect to custom, suggested, "Every field … has attached to it a garden and jungle around called hen [chena] which as a matter of course are transferred with it".\textsuperscript{135} Village land practice extended into adjacent jungle chena lands,\textsuperscript{136} known by the government euphemistically as 'apportenances', and still further into forests for collection of 'bush' foods and medicinal plants as well as firewood and timber for building.\textsuperscript{137} The inordinate interest Le Mesurier had in the nuances of customary practice, evidenced by his involvement in publishing D'Oyly's work on Kandyian law, indicates a very practical interest that goes to the heart of establishing rights to land "granted at a former period."

The universal claim by the Crown to all land without clear title was challenged when the advent of plantation agriculture made the issue pertinent and lucrative. Echoing the pronouncements of de Vattel, Blackstone, and Locke in 1837,\textsuperscript{138} the Court ruled that demonstrable use over time, continuous use, or 'prescription' ("prescription adverse to the Crown"\textsuperscript{139} or adverse possession) abrogated Crown title. More importantly, the Court found that the onus of proof of ownership rested with the Crown. The importance of prescriptive rights in Ceylon led to the fairly regular issue of the quaintly termed Certificates of Quiet Possession or, abrogated Crown title. More importantly, the Court found that the onus of proof of ownership rested with the Crown. The importance of prescriptive rights in Ceylon led to the fairly regular issue of the quaintly termed Certificates of Quiet Possession or, in bureaucratic jargon, COPs. The language again is revealing - that such adverse possession is something to be effected quietly (even with some implied stealth). Unfortunately, Le Mesurier was incapable of anything resembling Quiet Possession.

But the problem that emerged in 1837 was clear and ominous. If the Crown had to prove ownership against every casual user of chena land, then Crown title would crumble almost in its entirety. The government moved to introduce the Ordinance of 1840, which entirely reversed the onus of proof such that the prescribed user had to demonstrate title or the right of use evidenced by the past payment of taxes or services.\textsuperscript{140}

It is this key feature that goes to the heart of Le Mesurier's speculative efforts since providing evidence of taxes or services was inordinately difficult, a fact that made courts tend to favour a plaintiff against the Crown.

Title to paddy lands, defined by clear boundaries and regular cultivation and use, was relatively simple but providing evidence of customary use of chena lands, where cultivation had a ten or twenty year cycle, was considerably more difficult - and to forest lands, almost impossible. These were the lands coveted by the plantation sector. Conveniently, colonial economic interest in land was the inverse of actual customary use and capacity to demonstrate title, a neat dovetail with European legal theory and the 'right' of the Crown to appropriate. One can only speculate on the possible legal contortions that would have emerged had the plantation sector reversed its interest and coveted paddy fields.

The capacity of the Crown to claim and dispose of land was essential to the expansion of plantation coffee in the 1840's, a "mania for planting" where even "The Governor and his civil servants dabbled in speculation and scalded their fingers".\textsuperscript{141} Some like Anstruther, Colonial Secretary, "earned a measure of notoriety as land speculators".\textsuperscript{142} These were the same officials responsible for drafting the Waste Lands Ordinances.

It was a 'frontier' experience familiar in America, Australia, and any number of other colonies - a scramble for land facilitated by Government Agents who assumed the mantle of Solomon in their role, though often their behaviour was more simian than sage. The powers conferred, assumed and exercised, were extraordinarily broad and liable to compromise. In the imperial context, Le Mesurier's means of renewing his financial status by land speculation was less lurid than it may at first appear. It was, rather, a well-worn path of colonial enrichment: Though most speculators did so quietly, what distinguished Le Mesurier was his crusading confrontational style.

**The Importance of Records**

The seed of dispute that was later to embroil Le Mesurier is observable in the AGA Diary of the Matara kachcheri.\textsuperscript{143} Once again Le Mesurier was embroiled in "a difference of opinion" with the Government Agent, significantly, over chena titles. While the GA
asserted payment of tax did not indicate private ownership, “I say on the contrary that such an entry [payment of tax] is quite as much an indication that land is private as it is Crown”.146 Le Mesurier claimed that on his arrival in Matale in August 1895 he found an order from the GA in Galle to “destroy old receipts” in order to prevent reference to them as evidence.

“As I considered this would be absolutely wicked in the absence of specific instructions to the contrary I refused to allow this order to be carried out.”147 It was a provocative statement in keeping with the character that also shows the vulnerability of Crown title and the preparedness of Government officials to shore up Crown title by whatever means. It explains the anger engendered when he later used those same records to his own ends. Le Mesurier may have begun as a simple speculator, but it became slowly evident he was engaged in a much wider guerrilla campaign of land claims against the government “prompted partly out of revenge for his dismissal”146, as Lt. Gov. Walker perceptively understood. He targeted the government’s greatest vulnerability - the paucity of records - and this had some odd repercussions.

He demanded the right to inspect records including those held in the Colombo Museum and, at first, Walker admitted, every obstacle was placed in his path.147 There was a justifiable suspicion that Le Mesurier was “fishing for evidence”, in search of records that potentially could be subject to purchase and claim.145 though it was probably more of a crusade than a land grab.

Pressure from Le Mesurier, in this contest of wills, forced the government to begin to “examine and index the Dutch records”. Mr Anthonisz, Assistant to the Registrar General, was directed in January 1899 to sort the archives and immediately turn up portions of lost Dutch Cocus Registers of land and set about transcribing them. The claim by officials that they always “had intended”148 to catalogue the files and that their actions had nothing to do with Le Mesurier’s persistence rings hollow. The haphazard disorder of documents were rearranged for access, and Anthonisz appointed the first national archivist, something for which modern researchers have Le Mesurier to thank.151

The Ordinance of 1897 & Special Officer for Land Settlement JP Lewis

The initial success of Le Mesurier in his suits and appeals to the Supreme Court was undoubtedly instrumental in forcing the government to introduce legislative amendments, which emerged as the Waste Lands Ordinance 1 of 1897. This substantial modification of the Ordinance of 1840 offered further Crown “privileges in the matter of abstention from proof of title”.152 The Ordinance was fiercely debated in the House of Commons, an attack spearheaded by Lord Stanmore, ex-governor of Ceylon153 and Le Mesurier’s stalwart supporter. It remained, though, a formidable Ordinance even after Chamberlain forced amendments on the Ceylon government.154

It is difficult not to suggest that the Ordinance of 1897 had Le Mesurier in mind. At a conference of Government Agents in 1896 Le Mesurier is clearly the ghost of conferences past. It dealt almost entirely with the crisis in land claims and the “very burning question” of chena land.155 The problem was, as one stated, “wider than Le Mesurier” and in so saying indicated preoccupation with Le Mesurier.

The new Waste Lands Ordinance of 1897 was tabled conspicuously after the Supreme Court had disallowed attempts by the Government to confiscate Le Mesurier’s land. Walker airily asserted the Ordinance was well in train before the Court decisions and that “Mr Le Mesurier is … the only person in the colony who has taken objection to the proposed legislation which interfere with his unscrupulous land speculations,”156 a comment that tends to confirm Le Mesurier’s contention the Ordinance took aim at him.

The new Ordinance also introduced an enforced and protracted investigation by Special Officers for Land Settlement of all claims against the Crown, delaying the kind of legal action many of the land speculators had refined to an art form. It was an aggressive stance asserting the prerogative of government to determine and manage land title - to force adjudication away from the courts and into its own hands. Le Mesurier in understandable response, according to government, took "every possible objection to prevent claims from being adjudicated”.157

The degree by which the new Ordinance focused on Le Mesurier is discernible in the appeals against its application. Out of the 149 lots of land in dispute under the new Ordinance, the government claimed, 107 were “amicably settled” and 42 were referred to the Court. Of these 42, 28 were by Le Mesurier, leaving only 14 other contested cases for the remainder of the Island.158 While the prominence of Le Mesurier in contested matters might, as the government intended, reflect on his honesty, it also could indicate a determination to give no quarter. It makes it difficult to avoid Le Mesurier's claim that the Ordinance was an attempt to “render legal and valid what the Supreme Court has pronounced illegal”,159 though his grandiose belief that he alone was the target ignores the many other prominent speculators. The obsession with Le Mesurier is undeniable given that the files for 1899 alone concerning Le Mesurier are some 5-6cm (3 in.) thick.

Even after the Ordinance of 1897, Le Mesurier still continued to have wins. The Ordinance could not be applied retrospectively and the government resorted, after its losses, to continue to pursue Le Mesurier by common law action.160 It was a relentless pursuit, a ‘range war’, on both sides that would fit the script of a Hollywood frontier epic. The starring role as Le Mesurier's nemesis was the cadaverous figure of the Special Officer for Land Settlement, JP Lewis, who set about, with the time, resources and awesome determination, to defeat Le Mesurier, who he regarded as "vulgent and abominable”.161

It was with contempt that he accused Le Mesurier of exploiting villagers by buying up land cheaply - "so much for the philanthropist”,162 he added with disdain, referring to Le Mesurier's reputation during the paddy tax controversy. His previous "efforts" were neither forgiven nor forgotten and Lewis treated Le Mesurier as a wily adversary - “the cunning of this Petitioner is now apparent”. Le Mesurier would demand the government produce evidence of its title knowing, as Lewis acknowledged, that it could not. The strategy then, Lewis believed, was to claim the government was holding back “(a la Le Mesurier)”.163 What Lewis reveals is not just Le Mesurier's purported "cunning" but the continual anxiety regarding the tenuous nature of government title.
To Lewis, Le Mesurier was utterly odious and he was triumphant that “this little plot for further harassing the government has been frustrated” when a land claim in the District Court went against Le Mesurier. And he repeated with relish the comments of the court that Le Mesurier was “shadowy” in what “cannot be called a very honourable proceeding”.164 On appeal to the Supreme Court, however, the government had to concede twenty-eight cases165 and earned considerable censure by the Court for its attempts to claim retrospectively under its new Ordinance, behaviour described by the Times of Ceylon as “not only disgustingly trivial, it is pestiferous”.166

Undeterred, Lewis shifted strategy, as Le Mesurier quickly found, to action under ordinary law.167 In one case in 1901 the Crown sued for the recovery of about 2000 acres valued at R20,000/- as well as R28,000/- in damages and costs for material (plumbago) removed by Le Mesurier in the course of his operations.168 This not only indicates the size of some of Le Mesurier’s acquisitions but the level of costs mounting against him, and, as Lewis noted, “there are numerous judgements against him”. The steadily accumulating court costs provided an opportunity to eliminate Le Mesurier by enforcing sales of his lands. Lewis justified this on the grounds that they would not recover otherwise, since Le Mesurier, he suggested darkly, was transferring property into the wider orientalist fascination with religious alternatives in the eighteenth and nineteenth century that never strayed far from the austere activism of Islam, a kind of ‘muscular Christianity’ under Allah.

It was the end of Ceylon life and though forced into bankruptcy170 by his first wife, he was admitted to the English bar in 1902.175 In 1904 he immigrated to Western Australia and in doing so followed a well-worn path of Anglo-Indians and Anglo Ceylonese to the Antipodes. There he ‘married’ Rachel Mallam and practised law until his death, 11 December 1931.176 It is not surprising that his new Australian colonial excursion involved land and other business speculation with inevitable and interminable litigation including a challenge to the Australian government’s new Bankruptcy Act.

Colonial Consequences

Le Mesurier embraced his colonial vocation with an enthusiasm that extended into surprising spheres. For example, he was a member of the Geographic Society and wrote a definitive introduction to the Nuwara Eliya hill country district, principally aimed at planters of course but with considerable detail about topography, irrigation and cultivation.177 He also wrote in the learned journals of the day, the realm of enthusiastic amateur ethnologists, about Kandyan law,178 customs, games, cultivation practices, language and sayings, religious ceremonies and superstitions, and about the ancient aboriginal people of Ceylon, the Veddas.179 Clearly, his commitment to the land and people was genuine and knowledgeable.

His crusade to end grain taxes was fervently felt. He observed first hand the impact on villagers and sought within the scope of administrative discretion to alleviate the hardship. However, his reaction was not simply a response to local events but participated in issues and assumptions of a wider colonial context - the powerful liberal free market philosophy that saw taxes on food as odious. It was a crusade that illustrated the nature of colonial land policy and the disparity between the plantation and peasant sectors of the economy.

His legacy was odd and often unintentional and his actions illuminating. His matrimonial saga formed important legal precedent at the time in all British colonial jurisdictions. For a population scattered about the Empire, a place of steamy possibility, it established formidable obstacles to initiating action for divorce which now turned on an abstruse determination, not of where one resided but where one was domiciled - not always easy to resolve. The obstacles were substantial enough that common sense justice eventually forced legislative change, but, depending on the jurisdiction, that often only altered well into the twentieth century.

Even his suit against dismissal established legal precedent in the manner in which the government in Ceylon - or any other Crown Colony or even post-colonial entity - could be sued, established clearly that colonial employment rested not on binding regulation or contractual obligation but on mere imperial whim, employment quite literally at the ‘pleasure’ of the Crown.

The religious apostasy at the heart of his dismissal was not as odd as it might appear at first. English conversion to Islam or Hinduism in eighteenth century India180 was notable but not peculiar, as was conversion to Buddhism in Ceylon.181 It was part of a wider orientalist fascination with religious alternatives in the eighteenth and nineteenth century that never stayed far from the underlying impulses of Protestantism. Not for Le Mesurier, who was a contemplative practitioner of Buddhism rather than the austere activism of Islam, a kind of ‘muscular Christianity’ under Allah.

It was his land speculation and litigation - both on spectacular scale - which provides the most significant contribution to colonial understanding. Le Mesurier’s activity galvanised government determination to control land title, but by elevating the contest to extreme confrontation he inadvertently laid bare both the underlying ideological assumptions of colonial land title and the extremely tenuous legal foundation upon which it rested. Relying on prescription or use was philosophically consistent with eighteenth century legal theory, making evidence of use crucial. Without much more than bald imperial assertion of title to rely on, almost any evidence of use undid Crown title. The problem for colonial authority was that it brought in tow a legal system of ‘independence’ that believed its own publicity and acted accordingly.
The need for evidence of use accounts for Le Mesurier's obsessive preoccupation with the colonial records, tax records and land grants, reaching back into Dutch and Portuguese times, unintentionally prompted the formation of a national archive, a quirky legacy indeed.

Even reversing the onus of proof of title or prescription did not entirely solve the dilemma or entrench government assumption of authority and ownership. Increasingly draconian Ordinances asserting Crown control became necessary, revealing, among the legal and philosophical niceties, an absolute imperial determination to control distribution and sale of valuable land against any assertion of customary use and title.

Colonialism begins and ends in dispossession, however benign the disposition. Land was the cornerstone - that and unfreed labour. It was the primary vehicle of colonial enrichment as evidenced by exemption from tax of the lucrative plantation sector while heavily taxing peasant subsistence. Enrichment was a core colonial purpose despite imperial fictions of benevolence, impartiality and altruism, and Le Mesurier's efforts parodied these colonial fictions and goaded the Crown into increasingly more blatant assertions of colonial title.

Ironically, it was this colonial determination that provided the legal foundation for the monumental post-colonial reorganisation of land title in Sri Lanka. While advanced as an anti-colonial policy to redress imperial distortion, the Land Reforms of 1972 and 1975 used the authority and legal presumptions hammered out in the colonial period - to which Le Mesurier unintentionally contributed - as the legal foundation for nationalisation of land. It was, in fact, a legal and logical, if not grotesque, extension of colonial inflation and assertion of land title - after all, colonial usurpation is essentially a form of 'nationalisation'.

Le Mesurier's protracted litigation not only reflected a long history of disputation over land tenure in Ceylon but also reached into the heart of a wider imperial land policy, "a commonplace of Colonial history" in whichever region of the Empire. He was an exasperating man of flailing energy that struck a chord wherever he turned. The kindest summation of him was offered by the Chief Justice of the Western Australian Supreme Court in yet another of his litigious digressions - Le Mesurier "believes in the justice" of his claims but is "too sanguine an optimist and ... has too vivid an imagination". He was a grandiose figure of towering confidence that propelled him beyond the boundaries of possibility, but he was far from unique in the colonial context. Rather, it was a type either attracted or eventually created by the awesome power of colonial administrative experience until they became like caricatures of themselves, grandiose yet fragile identities.
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