Eddy surface properties and propagation at Southern Hemisphere western boundary current systems
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Abstract. Oceanic eddies exist throughout the world oceans, but are more energetic when associated with western boundary currents (WBC) systems. In these regions, eddies play an important role in mixing and energy exchange. Therefore, it is important to quantify and qualify eddies associated with these systems. This is particularly true for the Southern Hemisphere WBC system where only few eddy censuses have been performed to date. In these systems, important aspects of the local eddy population are still unknown, like their spatial distribution and propagation patterns. Moreover, the understanding of these patterns helps to establish monitoring programs and to gain insight in how eddies would affect local mixing. Here, we use a global eddy data set to qualify eddies based on their surface characteristics in the Agulhas Current (AC), the Brazil Current (BC) and the East Australian Current (EAC) systems. The analyses reveal that eddy propagation within each system is highly forced by the local mean flow and bathymetry. Large values of eddy amplitude and temporal variability are associated with the BC and EAC retroflections, while small values occur in the centre of the Argentine Basin and in the Tasman Sea. In the AC system, eddy polarity dictates the propagation distance. BC system eddies do not propagate beyond the Argentine Basin, and are advected by the local ocean circulation. EAC system eddies from both polarities cross south of Tasmania but only the anticyclonic ones reach the Great Australian Bight. For all three WBC systems, both cyclonic and anticyclonic eddies present a geographical segregation according to radius size and amplitude. Regions of high eddy kinetic energy are associated with the eddies’ mean amplitudes, and not with their densities.

1 Introduction

Oceanic mesoscale eddies are defined by a closed circulation (Cushman-Roisin and Beckers, 2006), having an internal water parcel with different characteristics from the surrounding fluid (Flierl, 1979). Due to their advective properties, eddies play an important role in ocean circulation. Eddies redistribute heat, salt, and momentum between different regions and mix and exchange energy with the mean flow (e.g. Stammer and Wunsch, 1999; Lee et al., 2007). Despite being found in all oceans, eddies are most intense when associated with western boundary currents (WBCs) (Chelton et al., 2011). In these highly energetic regions, eddies often originate from mean flow instabilities being shed by the currents’ meanders.

The WBCs of the Southern Hemisphere subtropical gyres are the Agulhas Current (AC) the Brazil Current (BC), and the East Australian Current (EAC). These currents abruptly separate from the coast, in a process normally associated with the formation of a quasi-stationary retroflection meander (da Silveira et al., 1999; Fig. 1). This meander may occlude and shed a large anticyclonic eddy in a process forced by continental geography, bathymetry and wind patterns (e.g. Nilsson and Cresswell, 1981; Olson, 1991; Matano, 1993; De Boer et al., 2013). These large anticyclonic retroflection eddies are not the only ones contributing to the energy within WBC systems. Smaller cyclonic and anticyclonic eddies also participate on the complex energetic eddy field of WBCs and are therefore an important part of the local circulation (e.g. Boebel et al., 2003; Bowen et al., 2005; Mata et al., 2006).
In the AC system, censuses of large anticyclonic eddies were extensively performed using sea surface temperature data (Lutjeharms and Van Ballegooyen, 1988) and single-satellite altimetry data (e.g., Byrne et al., 1995; Goni et al., 1997; Schouten et al., 2000). Censuses of large cyclonic eddies in the region were performed using merged altimetry products (e.g., Boebel et al., 2003; Hall and Lutjeharms, 2011). These studies show that large retroflection anticyclonic eddies propagate beyond Cape Basin, while cyclonic eddies remain trapped within it. These censuses, while presenting insightful results for eddies in the Cape Basin and AC retroflection region, omit the ARC region.

In the BC system, eddy censuses were performed using sea surface temperature data (Legeckis and Gordon, 1982; Lentini et al., 2002) and single-satellite altimetry data (Lentini et al., 2006). These early studies were based on data with reduced temporal and spatial resolution; therefore, the sample of eddies is biased towards large, persistent features. The 67 eddies considered in these studies propagate in a disorganised manner, close to the Brazil–Malvinas Confluence (BMC), being later reabsorbed by their parent current. A census using merged altimetry data was also performed in the Argentine Basin, the bathymetric feature in which the BC retroflection and the BMC are located (Fig. 1). There, eddy shedding is associated with meandering of the local anticyclonic flow, called the Zapiola Drift (Saraceno and Provost, 2012). It is still unknown if eddies shed by the BC, the BMC or the Zapiola Drift propagate beyond the Argentine Basin. Their mean lifetime and surface properties also require further assessment.

In the EAC system, Everett et al. (2012) studied eddies with a global eddy data set (Chelton et al., 2011) built from altimetry data. These authors show that a large number of eddies occur close to the Australian shelf break between the retroflection region (∼31°S) and the east coast of Tasmania (∼40°S). However, they do not investigate lifetimes or propagation of eddies.

As shown above, previous studies performed eddy censuses in the three systems of interest. However, important aspects of local eddy fields remain unknown. The main questions still to be answered relate to spatial distribution and propagation of eddies within each system. In that sense, the goal of this research is to qualify the AC, BC and EAC system eddies based on their surface properties (i.e., amplitude, radius, rotation speed) and investigate eddy propagation and spatial distribution.

The eddies’ mean surface properties and their spatial distribution shown here help us to further understand eddies’ interaction with the regional ocean circulation. Identifying the eddies’ mean propagation patterns helps us to establish...
monitoring programs (i.e. moorings location, hydrographic sampling). Also, it helps us to better understand the eddies’ contribution to oceanic heat and salt transports and how eddies affect local mixing.

The next section describes the global eddy data set used in this study as well as our investigation methods. In Sect. 3 we show the eddy density, radius and amplitude spatial distribution in all systems. We also show eddy mean propagation and mean properties (i.e. amplitude, radius, rotation speed, lifetime). In Sect. 4 we discuss the results and in Sect. 5 we summarise our main findings.

2 Data and methods

2.1 The eddy data set

We use version 3 global eddy data set of D. Chelton and M. Schlax (Chelton et al., 2011; available at http://cioss.coas. oregonstate.edu/eddies/). This data set provides eddy tracks identified in global merged sea surface height (SSH) maps between October 1992 and April 2012. Eddy radius, amplitude and rotation speed are also provided at each time step of the eddy lifetime. The SSH maps used to build this data set have weekly temporal resolution and, after filtering, 0.25° spatial resolution (see Chelton et al., 2011 Appendix A); therefore, most of the mesoscale spectrum is resolved. The eddies are identified using a SSH-based method and tracked using the same approach as Chelton et al. (2007). We refer the reader to Chelton et al. (2011) for further information on the identification and tracking method.

Here, we select eddies with first occurrences (i.e. first detections) in (a) the Agulhas Retroflection and the ARC (33–46° S and 5–70° E), hereafter defined as the AC system (the region comprised in Fig. 2a); (b) the Argentine Basin, associated with the BMC and the BC retroflection (35–50° S, 60–30° W), hereafter defined as the BC system (the region comprised in Fig. 2a); and (c) the EAC, flowing through western regions of the Coral and the Tasman seas, and the current’s retroflection (25–45° S, 147–164° E), hereafter defined as the EAC system (the region comprised in Fig. 2a). In the AC system we do not include eddies from the Mozambique Channel (north of the study region) and from the East Madagascar Current (to the east of the study region). These eddies may affect eddy shedding by the Agulhas Retroflection (Lutjeharms, 2006). However, in the data set used here, no eddy formed in these regions reach either the Cape Basin or the ARC (not shown) and seem to belong to different energy systems.

One must consider aspects of the global eddy data set when investigating its output. One relevant aspect for our study is the premature eddy dissipation due to detection inaccuracies. Eddies can escape detection in sparsely sampled regions (i.e. between satellite ground tracks), where the gridded altimetry data set has reduced spatial resolution. Eddies can also escape detection after merging, splitting, and interacting with other eddies, the mean flow and local bathymetry. These events can distort the eddy, failing to satisfy the algorithm identification criteria. An eddy can be later re-identified and considered as a new eddy. Therefore, detection inaccuracies may not only lead to premature dissipation but also to late detection and, more importantly, tagging of “old” eddies as new ones.

A second aspect to be considered are meanders being identified as eddies. According to Chelton et al. (2011), Aviso’s SSH time-averaged removal to obtain sea level anomaly (SLA) maps also removes mean currents. This removal may convert meander signals into apparent closed contour eddy signals, which would be identified by the algorithm. Considering that meanders are more transient than eddies, we apply a lifetime-cutoff filter to separate these mesoscale features as in Chelton et al. (2011). The optimal value for this cutoff varies geographically. After running several tests in the three systems, we define 10 weeks as an appropriate value to distinguish eddies and meanders identified as eddies. There-
fore, only eddies originated in our study regions with lifetimes longer than 10 weeks are considered.

2.2 Maps of eddy properties

We build spatial distribution maps of eddy properties (radius, mean propagation, and amplitude), as well as eddy density and eddy kinetic energy (EKE) maps for each of the study regions.

Eddy mean and standard deviation (SD) radius and amplitude maps are built after gridding each WBC region onto \(1^\circ \times 1^\circ\) cells. We then consider the radius and amplitude of all eddy-like features (lifetime > 4 weeks) that occur in each cell to calculate both mean and SD values for that cell. This analysis is performed throughout the entire time series (October 1992–April 2012). To test for significance of mean values we perform a non-paired \(t\) test with 95 % confidence level. To determine eddies with mean radii and amplitudes larger (smaller) than the mean within that system we perform a right (left) tail test.

Eddy density maps are built by considering how many eddies with lifetimes > 10 weeks are identified within each \(1^\circ \times 1^\circ\) grid cell. These maps relate to individual observations of eddies, instead of individual eddies. For example, if an eddy is stationary within a cell for 3 weeks, it will count as three eddy observations within that cell.

Eddy mean propagation maps are built by considering the mean propagation speed of eddies with lifetimes > 10 weeks within each \(1^\circ \times 1^\circ\) grid cell. To achieve that, we select grid cells that contain more than 20 eddies and calculate these eddies’ speeds from their location within this cell at time \(t\) to their next location at time \(t+1\). We then calculate the mean propagation speed by considering the propagation speed of all eddies within that cell.

Mean EKE maps are built using Aviso’s Reference Series Maps of Absolute Geostrophic Velocities between January 1993 and December 2010 (http://www.aviso.altimetry.fr/duacs/).

2.3 Eddy mean properties

To calculate an eddy mean surface property along its lifetime we first multiply the property mean between two subsequent time steps by the distance the eddy propagates in this time period (i.e. 7 days). This sum is then divided by the total distance that the eddy covered along its lifetime. We repeat this procedure for all eddies within the same system.

3 Results

3.1 Eddy kinetic energy and eddy density

The EKE is a measurement of mesoscale activity within a region. Therefore, one would expect regions with high EKE to be associated with an increased number of eddies. With that in mind, we look at both mean EKE maps and eddy density maps of the three systems (Fig. 2a, b, respectively). While high mean EKE is associated with the WBCs retroreflection regions, high eddy density seems not to be the case.

In the AC system, high mean EKE values occur in the AC retroreflection (~17°E, 37°S) and expand further west, reaching the Cape Basin. In this system the ARC also displays high EKE values along its path. Here, the meandering performed by the current when encountering the Agulhas Plateau (26°E, 40°S) becomes evident. High eddy density patterns do not agree with the EKE distribution in this system, with eddies occurring over the entire region. Cells with low eddy density occur both to the north and to the south of the ARC.

In the BMC system, high mean EKE values occur in the BC retroreflection (~52°W, 40°S) and contouring the Argentine Basin, as previously shown by Fu (2006). High eddy density patterns do not agree to the mean EKE distribution in this system. High density cells occur in the outer portions of the basin and over the Zapiola Rise, a bathymetric feature in the centre of the Argentine Basin (45°W, 45°S) that rises up to 4700 m below the surface (Ewing, 1964). This basin has depths exceeding 6000 m in its southwestern part (Saunders and King, 1995). This high eddy density over the Zapiola Rise was previously shown by Saraceno and Provost (2012) to be due to the presence of cyclonic eddies that enter the Zapiola Drift. This drift is an anticyclonic flow that dominates the Argentine Basin circulation (Miranda et al., 1999), flowing around the Zapiola Rise.

In the EAC system, high mean EKE values occur to the south of the EAC retroreflection (~157°E, 31°S) extending to the southern end of Australia’s mainland. However, a band of high eddy density cells expand further south, reaching Tasmania. Eddies also occur over the entire Tasman Sea and not only close to the eastern Australian shelf break, as suggested by the mean EKE map.

3.2 Mean eddy radius and amplitude spatial distribution

As we can see, there is more to the high values of mean EKE in these three systems than the abundance of eddies. To further understand the spatial distribution of eddies, Fig. 3 shows the horizontal length (radius) and amplitude occurring over these regions.

AC system eddies with significantly larger radii and amplitudes occur in the Agulhas Retroreflection and along the ARC path, while eddies with significantly smaller radii (Fig. 3a)
and amplitudes (Fig. 3b) occur to the south of the ARC. The distribution of eddies with large radii and large amplitudes agrees with the mean EKE distribution in Fig. 2a. Therefore, the mean EKE, eddy radius and eddy amplitude distributions all match in this system, meaning that large radius eddies are also the most energetic ones.

The BC system eddies’ radius (Fig. 3a) and amplitude (Fig. 3b) spatial distributions do not match. Eddies with significantly larger radii occur in the entire northern domain of the Argentine Basin, while eddies with significantly larger amplitudes cluster in the BC retroflection region (∼ 55° W, 41° S). Eddies with significantly smaller radii and amplitudes coexist in the centre of the Argentine Basin. Here, eddies’ amplitudes spatial distribution relates better to mean EKE distribution (Fig. 2a) than to the eddies’ radii distribution.

EAC system eddies with significantly larger radii (Fig. 3a) and amplitudes (Fig. 3b) only overlap near the current’s retroflection region (∼ 31° S), which is also where mean EKE is higher in this system (Fig. 2a). Again, high mean EKE values relate better to eddy amplitude distribution than to eddy radius. Eddies with significantly larger radii occur in the Coral Sea, north of the EAC separation region. Eddies with significantly smaller radius occur in the Tasman Sea.

Moreover, the spatial patterns in Fig. 3 are very similar to both cyclonic and anticyclonic eddies (not shown). Therefore, we chose to combine both polarities in the making of the figures.

### 3.3 Eddy radius and amplitude spatial variability

Maps of the eddies’ radius and amplitude SDs give us further insight into these properties and their spatial pattern of variability (Fig. 4).

The eddy radius SD spatial distribution shows no evident pattern in the AC system (Fig. 4a). However, spatial patterns
Figure 5. Trajectories of (a) cyclonic, and (b) anticyclonic eddies first identified in the AC system between October 1992 and April 2012. Insets in (a) and (b) show the South Atlantic crossing eddies’ first locations.

Figure 6. Trajectories of (a) cyclonic and (b) anticyclonic eddies first identified in the BC system between October 1992 and April 2012.

similar to eddy mean radius emerge both in the BC and the EAC systems. In the BC system cells with high standard deviation values cluster in the northeast domain of the basin. In the EAC system most of the variability happens in the current’s retroflection region.

Eddy amplitude SD spatial distributions for all systems are similar to the eddy mean amplitude distribution (Fig. 4b). Here, cells with high SD values are associated with the AC, the BC and the EAC retroreflections.

3.4 Eddy propagation

To investigate the propagation of eddies within and beyond each system we look at both individual eddy tracks (Figs. 5, 6, 7) and maps of mean propagation within 1° x 1° cells (Fig. 8). While the individual tracks of eddies give us insight into the general eddy distribution, mean propagation maps show a clearer picture.

3.4.1 Agulhas Current system

In the AC system 2740 eddies are identified, 48% of them being cyclonic and 52% of them anticyclonic. Both cyclonic and anticyclonic eddies occur over the entire domain, except over the continental shelf (Fig. 5a, b). Some eddies propagate beyond the domain, reaching as far as 50°W when propagating westward and 75°E when propagating eastward.

Both cyclonic and anticyclonic eddies propagate beyond the Cape Basin. Three cyclonic eddies overcome the Walvis Ridge, with one propagating 5000 km across the South Atlantic. This cyclonic eddy reaches the Rio Grande Rise, at ~40°W, before dissipating (i.e. being lost by the algorithm). More anticyclonic eddies propagate beyond the AC system into the South Atlantic than cyclonic ones. A total of 24 anticyclonic eddies reach the Brazilian continental shelf break. These eddies originate in the Cape Basin (Fig. 5b, inset). While in the Cape Basin, they propagate along the “eddy corridor” defined by Goni et al. (1997) between 25 and 42°S. As they propagate into the Atlantic they are located between 20 and 30°S, living up to 3.8 years. This latitude band along
Figure 7. Trajectories of (a) cyclonic and (b) anticyclonic eddies first identified in the EAC system between October 1992 and April 2012. Eddy tracks that cross south of Tasmania are shown in black.

the South Atlantic corroborates to ones previously shown in the literature (Fu, 2006; Souza et al., 2011; Azevedo et al., 2012).

When looking at the mean propagation of eddies in the AC system, two westward patterns are dominant (Fig. 8): in the Cape Basin and north of the ARC. In the Cape Basin eddies propagate as fast as 7 cm s\(^{-1}\). Here, the “eddy corridor” is well defined by higher propagation speeds. After leaving the Cape Basin, eddies still present high mean propagation in the South Atlantic. North of the ARC, in the Indian Ocean, eddies propagate at \(\sim 4\) cm s\(^{-1}\). Two smaller patches of eastward mean velocities are centred at 47\(^\circ\)E, 47\(^\circ\)S and at 70\(^\circ\)E, 45\(^\circ\)S. The northern one is caused by ARC advection and the southern by Antarctic Circumpolar Current advection.

3.4.3 East Australian Current system

In the EAC system 1050 eddies are identified, 51\% of them being cyclonic and 49\% of them anticyclonic. The eddies occur over the entire domain, clustering off the continental shelf break (Fig. 7). This clustering contains eddies shed by the EAC retroflection and eddies travelling westward from the Tasman Sea. Roughly 88\% of the EAC system eddies propagate westward.

Both cyclonic and anticyclonic EAC system eddies can cross south of Tasmania and reach the Great Australian Bight (Fig. 7, in black). These eddies are first identified east of Tasmania. The 10 anticyclonic eddies that cross south of Tasmania have a mean radius of 70 km and mean lifetime of 1.5 years, living up to 5 years.

Over most of the EAC system, eddies propagate westward (Fig. 8) and, when encountering the eastern Australian shelf slope, they turn southward. Higher propagation speeds (\(\sim 3–6\) cm s\(^{-1}\)) are found in the Coral Sea and close to the EAC retroflection region. In the Tasman Sea eddies propagate slower (1–2.5 cm s\(^{-1}\)). It is relevant to say that these propagation speeds within each cell do not denote eddy track continuity but denote a mean pattern of propagation for eddies occurring at each location.

3.5 Eddy mean properties

For completion purposes we show the eddies’ mean properties in Table 1. Differences in eddy properties are not signif-
Figure 8. Eddy mean propagation speeds (colours) and directions (arrows) for 1° × 1° cells containing more than 20 eddies in the (a) AC, (b) BC, and (c) EAC systems.

Table 1. Mean (and SD) properties and characteristics of cyclonic (Cyc) and anticyclonic (Anti) long-lived eddies. See text for description of mean calculation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean (SD) AC system</th>
<th>Mean (SD) BC system</th>
<th>Mean (SD) EAC system</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cyc</td>
<td>Anti</td>
<td>Cyc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propagation distance</td>
<td>732.8</td>
<td>1050.4</td>
<td>523.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(km)</td>
<td>(640.5)</td>
<td>(1258.0)</td>
<td>(282.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propagation speed</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(km day(^{-1}))</td>
<td>(1.3)</td>
<td>(1.3)</td>
<td>(1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifetime</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>17.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(weeks)</td>
<td>(21.6)</td>
<td>(38.6)</td>
<td>(8.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amplitude</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(cm)</td>
<td>(8.1)</td>
<td>(6.0)</td>
<td>(9.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotation speed</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>31.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(cm s(^{-1}))</td>
<td>(13.9)</td>
<td>(9.9)</td>
<td>(12.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radius</td>
<td>87.0</td>
<td>89.0</td>
<td>87.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(km)</td>
<td>(13.9)</td>
<td>(14.5)</td>
<td>(17.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
icant between cyclonic and anticyclonic eddies in all three systems (with some standard deviation values as large as the mean values). The similarities between cyclonic and anticyclonic eddies is due to the large range of eddies investigated. Eddies with radii spanning from 40 to 130 km were included in the analysis.

Anticyclonic eddies from the AC system are the longer lived ones from all systems, due to their propagation across the South Atlantic. The small number of eddies propagating south of Tasmania do not increase the mean lifetime of EAC system anticyclonic eddies.

We draw attention to the BC system eddies’ shorter mean lifetimes and larger mean amplitudes when compared to the other systems. These differences occur because AC and EAC system eddies lose their amplitude as they live and propagate across long distances (e.g. Souza et al., 2014, for the Agulhas rings). This is evidenced if we calculate the AC and EAC systems eddies’ amplitudes considering only eddies with lifetimes shorter than 17 weeks (17 weeks being the mean lifetime of BC system eddies). Young AC system eddies have a mean amplitude of 13.8 cm compared to 8.4 cm for all eddies; and young EAC eddies have a mean amplitude of 11.5 cm compared to 8.9 cm for all eddies. The mean amplitudes of these young AC and EAC eddies are more comparable to the 17.3 cm mean amplitude of BC eddies.

4 Discussion

While eddy density maps are valuable for showing locations of major eddy activity, they can be misleading. Eddy density maps consider the numbers of eddies identified within each grid cell along the entire time period. However, they do not consider if an identified eddy is still the same eddy or not. Therefore, a stationary eddy can be counted more than once, evasively suggesting a higher abundance of eddies in that particular grid cell. The fact that a group of grid cells has a high density does not necessarily mean that it is a significant region of eddy formation. In the case of the EAC retroflection region, despite the local shedding and meandering, eddies also remain “trapped” for long periods of time, interacting with each other (Mata et al., 2006), and therefore increase eddy density per cell. This could also be the case for the BC system, considering that eddies seem to be retained within the Argentine Basin boundaries.

In all three systems, eddies with radii larger than 115 km occur close to the WBCs retroflections. This value corroborates to retroflection eddy radii of the AC current (120–324 km; Lutjeharms, 1981), the BC current (35–150 km; Lentini et al., 2006), and the EAC current (100–150 km; Nils-son and Cresswell, 1981; Bowen et al., 2005). The mechanisms responsible for the eddy segregation according to radius size seem to act similarly in both cyclonic and anticyclonic eddies. These mechanisms are more complex than would be expected based only on the relation between latitude and the first baroclinic Rossby radius of deformation.
flow advection, interaction with other eddies, the topographic $\beta$ effect, and a the meridional drift mentioned above can interfere with eddy displacement. This meridional drift occurs due to interactions between eddies and the vorticity field surrounding water parcels (Morrow, 2004; Cushman-Roisin and Beckers, 2006), resulting in an equatorward (poleward) drift of anticyclonic (cyclonic) eddies, regardless of their hemisphere.

AC system eddies propagate along the “eddy corridor” in the Cape Basin at $4\,\text{cm}\,\text{s}^{-1}$, on average, and up to $7\,\text{cm}\,\text{s}^{-1}$. Reported mean eddy propagation speeds within the Cape Basin range from $6\,\text{cm}\,\text{s}^{-1}$ (Garzoli et al., 1996) to $11\,\text{cm}\,\text{s}^{-1}$ (Goni et al., 1997). Therefore, our mean value falls in the smaller end of the reported mean speed range. Still, in the Cape Basin, eddies propagate northwesternward. This propagation pattern does not match the one shown by Fu (2006) for that region. The author reports a mainly westward propagation pattern that is believed to be attributed to differences in methods and also to the fact that in Fu (2006) “eddies” are defined as all mesoscale current variability (i.e. eddies, fronts, planetary waves, and current meanders).

In the BC system, eddies do not propagate beyond the Argentine Basin. Rykova et al. (2015) show that eddies occurring in the BMC are essentially barotropic and displace isopycnals by up to $500\,\text{m}$. These eddies are the second-deepest eddies of all WBCs, losing only to the Agulhas rings. Since the vertical scale of eddies shed by the Zapiola Drift is unknown, we can only speculate that the strong barotropic component of the BC system eddies confines them in the basin, similarly to barotropic AC system eddies in the Cape Basin, as mentioned above.

In the Argentine Basin, the cluster of cyclonic eddies inside the Zapiola Drift was previously reported by Saraceno and Provost (2012). The authors suggest that the Zapiola Drift sheds eddies through a mechanism similar to the Gulf Stream: the drift forms anticyclonic meanders to its outer portion and cyclonic meanders to its inner portion. These meanders may occlude and shed eddies, justifying the larger number of cyclonic eddies in the inner part of the flow.

BC system eddies’ mean propagation is mainly dictated by the Zapiola Drift. However, there are some exceptions. In the northern section, eddies propagate according to their induced westward propagation and in the western section advection by the Malvinas Current takes place. Fu (2006) also shows the mean propagation of mesoscale features in this region. While we show small propagation speeds in the BC retroflection region ($<20\,\text{cm}\,\text{s}^{-1}$, $38^\circ\text{S}$), the authors show higher local values ($>35\,\text{cm}\,\text{s}^{-1}$). Again, we attribute this difference to the fact that the latter authors include all mesoscale features (such as eddies, fronts and meanders) in their work. Nevertheless, as in this work, Fu (2006) also finds the Zapiola Drift to be the dominant feature in the Argentine Basin.

In the EAC system, eddies cluster along the Australian slope, as previously shown by Everett et al. (2012). Here, we show that these eddies are not only shed by the EAC retroflection but also come from the Coral Sea. Yang et al. (2013) came to a similar conclusion, showing that the intensification of eddy variability in the northwestern Pacific is not caused by an increase in the Kuroshio Current eddy shedding but by westward eddies clustering along the continental shelf break. The cluster of EAC eddies south of the EAC retroflection region may be related to their rotation sense. Shi and Nof (1994) suggested that EAC anticyclonic eddies, when encountering a continental wall, would propagate polewards due to the combination of three effects: (a) the “image effect”, (b) the $\beta$ force, and (c) the “rocket effect”. For the case of EAC anticyclonic eddies travelling west and meeting the Australian slope, the image and rocket effects would have a southward component and the $\beta$ force would have a northern component. According to the authors, for this case, the resulting component of these acting forces is southward.

When propagating southward within the Tasman Sea, eddies can act on heat and biogeochemical budgets between two different oceanic regions (i.e. northern tropical waters and southern temperate waters). Eddies have been shown to impact coastal water nutrient enrichment (Tranter et al., 1986, Oke and Griffin, 2011), increase chlorophyll $a$ concentrations (Everett et al., 2012), and alter zooplankton communities (Baird et al., 2011). Actually, the impact of EAC system eddies can extend beyond the Tasman Sea. Baird and Ridgway (2012) report Tasman Sea eddies entrapping Bass Strait coastal waters and suggest that these eddies could advect this water mass to the open ocean south of Australia. As we show, eddies formed in the Tasman Sea can cross south of Tasmania and propagate beyond the EAC system, towards the Great Australian Bight. As in the AC system, EAC system eddies also display meridional drift, with anticyclonic eddies propagating northwesternward and cyclonic eddies propagating southward.

EAC eddy tracks show a region of reduced activity centred at $\sim153^\circ\text{E}, 37^\circ\text{S}$ (Fig. 7), for both cyclonic and anticyclonic eddies. This region of reduced activity is also clear in the eddy density spatial distribution in Fig. 2b. However, this is a region known for enhanced eddy activity (Mata et al., 2006), and high EKE (Fig. 2a), making this low eddy density unexpected. When looking at subsequent Aviso SLA maps, we see high eddy activity and repeated merging events in that region (not shown). Merging events might post a challenge to the eddy identification algorithm, as mentioned in Section 2. This challenge is due to the variable shape of eddies during such events. Therefore, we suggest that the reduced activity region at $153^\circ\text{E}, 37^\circ\text{S}$ in Figs. 7 and 2b is due to a challenge posed to the eddy identification method in highly energetic regions.

In all three systems, eddies do not propagate into the continental shelf, being retained at the slope. This behaviour can be explained by (a) the shallow depths of shelves compared to the eddy depths, (b) the presence of WBCs, and (c) the propagation of eddies along lines of same potential vorticity. First, as previously shown, the mean eddy radius considering
all the systems is 86.7 km. If we consider this 86.7 km radius ($r_0^2$) eddy to be a lens type, we can estimate its depth ($H$) as the following (Nof, 1981a):

$$H = \frac{r_0^2 \, r_0^2}{8g'},$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where

$$g' = g - \frac{\rho_2 - \rho_1}{\rho},$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $g$ is gravity, $g'$ is reduced gravity and $f_0$ is the Coriolis parameter.

Considering the eddy modelled by a 1.5-layer model and having $\rho_1 = 1025$ kg m$^{-3}$ and $\rho_2 = 1027.4$ kg m$^{-3}$ (Rykova et al., 2015; considering mean densities for AC, BC and EAC canonical eddies), we have an approximate eddy mean depth of 360.4 m. This means that eddies would not drift to regions shallower than that depth (i.e. continental shelves).

Second, westward propagating eddies approaching a western boundary will only reach the continental slope if their Rossby radius is larger than the local WBC’s Rossby radius (Azevedo et al., 2012). If not, the eddy might be advected poleward by the current.

Third, and last, eddies propagate along lines of same potential vorticity, established by local bathymetry. In the EAC system the eddy propagation along the 3000 m isobath, but never crossing to shallow regions, had been previously reported by Mata et al. (2006).

When analysing the lifetimes of eddies in this study we must keep in mind the 10-week cutoff lifetime filter applied here. AC system eddies in the literature have a 7-month mean lifetime, with the Agulhas rings’ lifetimes ranging from 2.5 to 3.5 years (Schouten et al., 2000). These values are comparable to our 8-month mean eddy lifetime and maximum Agulhas rings lifetime of 3.8 years. The BC system’s anticyclonic eddy lifetimes reported in the literature range from 3 (Lentini et al., 2006) to 9 weeks (Souza et al., 2006) while our values range from 10 weeks to 1.5 years. In this system, we must consider that only 41 robust anticyclonic eddies shed by the BC retroflection were considered in previous studies, biasing the sample. We attribute this lifetime difference due to different tracking methods and data sets used in previous studies. Everett et al. (2012) performed an eddy census in the EAC system using the same data set used in our current study. Therefore, the properties of the EAC system eddies shown here are comparable to the properties shown in Everett et al. (2012).

In this study we do not approach the eddies’ signatures but we recognise the importance of revisiting this matter in future studies, specially with new sea surface temperature data sets available.

5 Summary

Previous eddy censuses were performed in the systems associated with Southern Hemisphere WBCs: the AC, the BC and the EAC. However, some questions regarding the propagation and spatial distribution of eddies remained unanswered. In this sense, and taking advantage of the global eddy data set built using a longer, merged altimetry product, we investigate the eddies’ propagations, mean surface properties (radius, amplitude, rotation speed, lifetime), and spatial distributions within these systems.

While most of the AC system eddies are constrained within the Cape Basin, some overcome the Walvis Ridge and reach the South Atlantic. Three of these eddies are cyclonic and 24 are anticyclonic (i.e. Agulhas rings). The AC system eastward eddies are mainly advected by the ARC.

We show that BC system eddies do not propagate beyond the Argentine Basin and suggest that this behaviour may be associated with the eddies’ vertical extents and barotropicity showed by Rykova et al. (2015). BC system eddies are the shortest living of all systems investigated and also the ones with larger mean amplitude. These eddies seem to be advected by the Malvinas Current in the western part of the Argentine Basin, and by the Zapiola Drift in the rest of the basin.

EAC system eddies occur all over the study region, from the southern domain of the Coral Sea to the Tasman Sea. Coral Sea eddies propagate westward until reaching the Australian continental shelf break, where they acquire a southward route following the 3000 m isobath. Conversely, Tasman Sea eddies propagate in an unorganised manner. EAC system eddies live for 6 months on average, but can live up to 5 years after crossing the south of Tasmania and reaching the Great Australian Bight. Both cyclonic and anticyclonic eddies identified in the Tasman Sea cross south of Tasmania, displaying meridional drift beyond this point.

Eddies from all three systems exhibit a spatial segregation according to their radius and amplitude. In the AC system, eddies with large radius and amplitude occur along the ARC and in the Agulhas Retroflection, while eddies with small radius and amplitude occur in the southern domain of this system. In the BC system, eddies with large radius and amplitude follow the high EKE pattern, occurring in the northern domain of the basin; eddies with small radius and amplitude occur in the southern and inner parts of the Argentine Basin. In the EAC system eddies with large radius and amplitude occur in the Coral Sea and off the eastern Australian coast, while eddies with small radius and amplitude occur in the Tasman Sea. In all three retroflection regions, anticyclonic eddies have radii larger than 115 km. Eddy radius and amplitude segregation mechanisms seem to be the same for both cyclonic and anticyclonic eddies. The EKE spatial distribution in the systems is more associated with eddies’ radii and amplitudes than with their densities.
The analysis presented here improve our knowledge on how the local circulation can force eddy behaviour. This knowledge acts as a starting point for future, more specific studies. Nevertheless, we seem to be one step closer to understanding the interactions of eddies with the mean flow.
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