Proper motions of embedded protostellar jets in Serpens
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ABSTRACT

\textbf{Aims.} We determine the proper motion of protostellar jets around Class 0 and Class I sources in an active star forming region in Serpens.\\

\textbf{Methods.} Multi-epoch deep images in the 2.122 \(\mu\)m line of molecular hydrogen, \(v = 1\)–\(0\) S(1), obtained with the near-infrared instrument NOTCam on a timescale of 10 years, are used to determine the proper motion of knots and jets. \(K\)-band spectroscopy of the brighter knots is used to supply radial velocities, estimate extinction, excitation temperature, and \(H_2\) column densities towards these knots.

\textbf{Results.} We measure the proper motion of 31 knots on different timescales (2, 4, 6, 8, and 10 years). The typical tangential velocity is around 50 km s\(^{-1}\) for the 10-year baseline, but for shorter timescales, a maximum tangential velocity up to 300 km s\(^{-1}\) is found for a few knots. Based on morphology, velocity information, and the locations of known protostars, we argue for the existence of at least three partly overlapping and deeply embedded flows, one Class 0 flow and two Class I flows. The multi-epoch proper motion results indicate time-variable velocities of the knots, for the first time directly measured for a Class 0 jet. We find in general higher velocities for the Class 0 jet than for the two Class I jets. While the bolometric luminosities of the three driving sources are about equal, the derived mass flow rate \(\dot{M}_{\text{out}}\) is two orders of magnitude higher in the Class 0 flow than in the two Class I flows.

\textbf{Key words.} stars: formation – ISM: jets and outflows – Herbig-Haro objects – ISM: kinematics and dynamics

1. Introduction

Protostellar jets are spectacular manifestations of the birth of a star. Supersonic and collimated jets drive bipolar outflows of molecular gas, removing angular momentum from and allowing accretion onto the protostar. The origin of the jets is poorly understood, but a tight relation between the accretion disk and the jet is evident in magneto-hydro-dynamic models. For a recent review of jets and outflows, see Frank et al. (2014). The power carried by the jet is expected to be proportional to the accretion luminosity of the central star, suggesting that protostellar jets from the youngest protostars have higher velocities. As shown by Bontemps et al. (1996), the youngest Class 0 sources (ages from a few 10\(^3\) to a few 10\(^4\) yr) have more energetic CO outflows than the more evolved Class I protostars. Studies of shocked molecular hydrogen in the jets have shown an empirical relation between the H\(_2\) jet luminosity and the bolometric luminosity of the driving source, but found no clear distinction between Class 0 and Class I sources (Caratti o Garatti et al. 2006).

Plasma is ejected in the form of a jet that interacts with the circumstellar medium via radiative shocks, giving rise to observable emission in various lines over a broad spectral range (Reipurth & Bally 2001; Bacciotti et al. 2011). The near-infrared emission from such shocks is mainly in lines of [Fe II] and the ro-vibrational lines of molecular hydrogen. The observed features of the latter are referred to as molecular hydrogen emission-line objects (MHOs). In particular, the \(v = 1\)–\(0\) S(1) H\(_2\) line at 2.122 \(\mu\)m is a good tracer of shock excited emission in low-velocity shocks, and the 2 \(\mu\)m region suffers less from extinction than optical lines and makes it possible to observe the most embedded flows, which potentially are the very youngest jets.

Protostellar jets are often complex morphological structures composed of a multitude of knots and features, and the schoolbook example of a symmetric bi-polar jet is rarely observed. In regions of dense star formation, one frequently finds asymmetric flows, jets without counter jets, variable ejection, colliding knots, precession, or two jets that are interacting. Mapping the kinematics of the individual knots will facilitate identification of whole entities of jets and make it possible to separate individual flows in crowded regions. This is required for studying the kinematic and physical properties of the jets and their driving sources.
Two-epoch proper motions will give the average tangential velocities between the two epochs, while multi-epoch imaging will allow us to investigate how velocities may vary. Recent multi-epoch (>2 epochs) measurements in the optical, as well as the near-IR of nearby jets in Taurus and Chamaeleon, have revealed time-variable proper motions and even interaction among knots (Bonito et al. 2008; Caratti o Garatti et al. 2009). To determine the velocities of protostellar jets, we need to identify the individual flows and their driving sources. To do this we need both proper motion and radial velocity information.

In this paper we study the dynamics of the individual knots of embedded protostellar jets in the Serpens NH₃ cloud, also referred to as the Serpens/G3-G6 region or Serpens Cluster B (see Eiroa et al. 2008, for a review of the region). These jets were first presented in Djupvik et al. (2006), along with new protostar candidates discovered from a near-IR, mid-IR, submm, and radio study of the region. Based on morphology alone, we tentatively identified the driving sources to be the new Class 0 candidate MMS3 for the N-S oriented jet, and a double Class I for the NE-SW-oriented jet. MMS3 was confirmed by Enoch et al. (2009) in their extensive protostar survey (where it is named Ser-emb-1) to be an early Class 0 of very low temperature. While being presumably the youngest protostar in Serpens, it has already developed a protostellar disk (Enoch et al. 2011). Studying these jets allows for a comparison between Class 0 and Class I jets in the same region.

The large cloud extinction to this embedded cluster means that these jets are not detected in the optical. No extended emission is found in deep Hα imaging except for the faint Herbig-Haro object HH 476, discovered in an optical [SII] survey by Zien & Eislöffel (1999), and for some emission around the association of bright TTauri stars named Serpens/G3-G6 (Cohen & Kuhi 1979).

We obtained deep H₂ line imaging in four epochs over ten years. The first epoch of data (2003) was already published in Djupvik et al. (2006), and the second epoch (2009) was taken during the Nordic-Baltic Summer School in Turku, Finland. Preliminary results, carried out as a student project during the course showed that proper motions indeed could be measured between 2003 and 2009. The data is again reduced here, and in addition, we obtained two more epochs (2011 and 2013), as well as K-band spectroscopy of selected knots, all obtained with NOTCam at the Nordic Optical Telescope (Djupvik & Andersen 2010).

Section 2 describes the target field, observations, and data reduction; Sect. 3 presents the proper motion, fluxes, and radial velocity measurements of the knots, as well as the identification of three distinct flows and their velocities; Sect. 4 describes the derivation of physical parameters for the three flows; and Sect. 5 gives our summary and conclusions.

2. Target field, observations, and data reductions

2.1. Target field

The Spitzer/IRAC image in Fig. 1 showing the 3.6 μm (blue), 4.5 μm (green), and 8 μm (red) bands gives an overview of the Serpens NH₃ region, also called Serpens Cluster B. It is centered on a group of four optically visible and very bright T Tauri stars Ser/G3-G6 (Cohen & Kuhi 1979). Two NH₃ cores are located to either side, one to the NE and another to the SW, where active star formation takes place and a number of Class 0 and Class I objects are found (Djupvik et al. 2006; Harvey et al. 2006, 2007). The multi-epoch H₂ line imaging with NOTCam was obtained in the region outlined by the white box. The jets stand out in the 4.5 μm band emission (green), and apparently they extend beyond the field covered by NOTCam. In Fig. 2 the jets are outlined by the H₂ line emission at 2.122 μm. With a narrow-band continuum image centred at 2.087 μm obtained in 2003 the line emission knots were easily distinguished from the continuum (Djupvik et al. 2006). In the MHOs catalogue (Davis et al. 2010), the objects studied in this paper are numbered MHO 2233, MHO 2234, and MHO 2235, with their positions sketched in Fig. 2. Judging from the Spitzer image, it seems that MHO 2233 extends further to the NE, and possibly MHO 2235 to the SW. MHO 2234 extends further to the N, and our 2009 observations confirm 2.122 μm emission in the northeastern bow-shaped feature seen in the IRAC image in Fig. 1, but we have only one epoch of data for it.

Each of the MHO objects are composed of a number of smaller knots, which we identified and named according to the guidelines established in Davis et al. (2010). It is not clear from the 2D morphology, however, which knot belongs to which jet and how many jets there are. Based on proper motions and radial velocities, we show in the following that we find three jets driven by three protostars.

---

NOTCam\textsuperscript{2}, was used to obtain deep multi-epoch narrow-band images in the Ser-emb-1, which is named MMS3 in Djupvik et al. (2006). Only a few knots are identified with letters here, and the majority are identified in zoomed-in regions in the following figures.

2.2. Imaging

The Nordic Optical Telescope’s near-IR Camera/Spectrograph, NOTCam\textsuperscript{2}, was used to obtain deep multi-epoch narrow-band images in the H$_2$ v = 1–0 S(1) line at 2.122 \(\mu\)m (NOT filter \#218) to measure proper motions. Four epochs, hereafter referred to as ep1, ep2, ep3, and ep4, are sampled in the years 2003, 2009, 2011, and 2013. The four deep images were obtained with the same instrument, but ep1 was imaged with the NOTCam engineering grade array, while the science array was used for the other three epochs. The wide-field camera of NOTCam has a pixel scale of 0.234′′/pix and a 4′ × 4′ field of view. The deep imaging of the extended emission was mostly performed in beam-switch mode to correctly estimate the sky. In these cases the template NOTCam observing script “notcam beamswitch” was used to obtain a nine-point grid with small-step dithering both ON target and in the OFF field, going alternatingly between the ON and OFF regions. The OFF field was chosen either to the ON and OFF regions. The OFF field was chosen either to the ON target and in the OFF field, going alternatingly between the ON target and in the OFF field, going alternatingly between the ON and OFF regions. The OFF field was chosen either to the ON target and in the OFF field, going alternatingly between the ON and OFF regions. The OFF field was chosen either to

readouts. A linear regression analysis is performed pixel by pixel through all reads to obtain the final image. Table 1 lists the various observations and filters used. The filter ID numbers are according to the internal NOT ID numbers, where 218 refers to the filter centred on the \(\nu = 1–0\) S(1) line at 2.122 \(\mu\)m, while 210 and 230 refer to narrow-band filters representing the continuum emission at 2.267 and 2.087 \(\mu\)m, respectively. For all filters, differential twilight flats were obtained every night. For flux calibration, two standard star fields were observed before and after the target on the photometric night of May 25, 2013, our reference epoch. The images were reduced using IRAF\textsuperscript{3} and a set of scripts in an external IRAF package notcam cl v2.6, made for NOTCam image reductions. All individual raw images were corrected for zero-valued bad pixels and hot pixels by interpolation and then divided by the master flats obtained from typically eight differential twilight flats per filter per night. By using differential flats, the thermal and dark emission is eliminated from the flats. The individual target frames were then sky-subtracted using the off fields obtained in beam-switch mode or the dithered target images themselves. After this step all individual images were corrected for the internal camera distortion. Table 1 lists the various observations and filters used. The filter ID numbers are according to the internal NOT ID numbers, where 218 refers to the filter centred on the \(\nu = 1–0\) S(1) line at 2.122 \(\mu\)m, while 210 and 230 refer to narrow-band filters representing the continuum emission at 2.267 and 2.087 \(\mu\)m, respectively. For all filters, differential twilight flats were obtained every night. For flux calibration, two standard star fields were observed before and after the target on the photometric night of May 25, 2013, our reference epoch. The images were reduced using IRAF\textsuperscript{3} and a set of scripts in an external IRAF package notcam cl v2.6, made for NOTCam image reductions. All individual raw images were corrected for zero-valued bad pixels and hot pixels by interpolation and then divided by the master flats obtained from typically eight differential twilight flats per filter per night. By using differential flats, the thermal and dark emission is eliminated from the flats. The individual target frames were then sky-subtracted using the off fields obtained in beam-switch mode or the dithered target images themselves. After this step all individual images were corrected for the internal camera distortion. For data from 2009 and onwards we used the distortion model for the KS-band available on the NOTCam calibration web page. For the 2003 data set, a different distortion model was developed based on data from the same night. After distortion correction, all dithered images were registered and combined to form one deep image per epoch.

For flux calibration we used the zeropoint offset between the KS and the H$_2$ line filter (#218) found from eight standard stars in photometric conditions in epoch 4 to be 2.56 ± 0.01 mag, giving a zeropoint for the H$_2$ line filter of 19.94 mag (for 1 ADU/s, Vega magnitudes). Assuming the standards have equal flux at 2.12 and 2.14 (KS) \(\mu\)m, we used the relation for a zeroth magnitude star at 2.121 \(\mu\)m: \(F_j = 4.57 \times 10^{-10}\) W m$^{-2}$ \(\mu\)m$^{-1}$ (Tokunaga & Vacca 2005), and the FWHM of the filter (0.032 \(\mu\)m), to arrive at a flux conversion of 1.54 \(\times\) 10$^{-16}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ for 1 ADU/s. The standard deviation of the background in the 2350 s deep image of epoch 4 is 1.5 \(\times\) 10$^{-16}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ arcsec$^{-2}$, and the brightest knot, MHO 2233-B, peaks at 6.1 \(\times\) 10$^{-14}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ arcsec$^{-2}$.

In addition, we obtained broad-band JHKs images to illustrate the extinction in the region, with the filters and exposure times listed in Table 1. We also used archive Spitzer data to complement our near-IR imaging with the mid-IR bands of IRAC (Fazio et al. 2004). An RGB colour-coded IRAC image using the 3.6 \(\mu\)m (blue), the 4.5 \(\mu\)m (green), and the 8 \(\mu\)m (red) bands is presented in Fig. 1. The jet clearly stands out in green by enhancing the cuts for the emission in the 4.5 \(\mu\)m band, a \(\mu\)m.

Table 1. Observing log for NOTCam imaging used in this paper.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Filters</th>
<th>Epoch</th>
<th>Total exptime (s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>28 May 2003</td>
<td>218, 230</td>
<td>ep1</td>
<td>2000, 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 May 2007</td>
<td>J, H, Ks, 218, 210</td>
<td>ep1</td>
<td>450, 450, 450, 900, 900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 May 2009</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>ep2</td>
<td>540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Jun. 2009</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>ep2</td>
<td>2100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Aug. 2011</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>ep3</td>
<td>2700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 May 2013</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>ep4</td>
<td>2430</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. (a) This epoch does not cover the eastern part of the field.

\(\text{NOTCam}\) is documented in detail on the URL http://www.notiac.es/instruments/notcam/

\(\text{IRAF}\) is distributed by the National Optical Astronomy Observatory, which is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy (AURA) under cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation.
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wavelength region with many H$_2$ lines. Central parts of both jets have masked out areas in both the 3.6 and 4.5 μm bands. The IRAC imaging was obtained in 2004.

2.3. Spectroscopy

K-band spectroscopy was obtained with NOTCam on October 12, 2011 and March 16 and 17, 2014, in most cases including several knots in the slit for each pointing. The instrument setup was grism #1, the 128 μm slit (a longslit of width 0.6″ or 2.6 pixels), and the K-band filter #208 used to sort the orders. This covers the range from 1.95 to 2.37 μm with a dispersion of 4.1 Å/pix, giving a spectral resolution $\lambda/\Delta \lambda$ of about 2100 for the slit used. The slit acquisition was obtained with a previously set rotation angle, calculated from the deep images, and using fiducial stars for alignment. Before acquiring the target on slit, the exact position of the slit was measured. The spectra were obtained by dithering along the slit in an A-B-A-B pattern while auto-guiding the telescope. The integration time was 600 s per slit position, reading out the array every 60 s while sampling up the ramp. Argon and halogen lamps were obtained while pointing to target to minimize effects of instrument flexure and to improve fringe correction. To correct the spectra for atmospheric features, we used either HIP 92904 (B2 V), HIP 91322 (A2 V), or HIP 92386 (A1 V) as telluric standards and observed them right after the targets. Darks were obtained with the same exposure time and readout mode as the target spectra, mainly for constructing a hot pixel mask.

For each night hot pixel masks were constructed from darks taken with the same integration time and readout mode as the target spectra. Zero pixels and hot pixels were corrected for by interpolation in all images. The individual A-B-A-B spectra were sky-subtracted using the nearest offset for each, and the four sky-subtracted images were aligned, shifted, and combined. This worked well for target MHO 2235-B where the offset between the A and B components was small, but for MHO 2234, where several knots could be aligned in the slit, the A-B offset was large, and each spectrum was extracted and wavelength-calibrated separately and then combined. The IRAF task $apall$ was used to extract one-dimensional spectra, and the telluric standard was used as a reference for the trace for the target spectra, since these have no continuum emission. For the knots MHO 2234-D, MHO 2234-A, and MHO 2234-I, the B position spectra turned out to be too noisy, and only the two A-position spectra were combined and used in the analysis.

The target spectra were corrected for telluric features using the IRAF task $telluric$ after interpolating over the stellar absorption lines in the telluric standard star spectra. (For B2, A1, and A2 dwarfs, this concerns Br $\gamma$ at 2.166 μm.) After division by the telluric standard, the spectra were multiplied by a black-body corresponding to the standard spectral type to correct the spectral slope. We used the narrow-band imaging of the H2 $v = 1-0$ S(1) line from epoch 4 and an effective aperture corresponding to the slit aperture to approximately flux calibrate the spectra. The spectral $v = 1-0$ S(1) line flux for MHO 2235-B was thus estimated to be $1.4 \times 10^{-14}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$.

We took argon and xenon arc lamp exposures while pointing to target, but decided to instead use the night sky OH lines at the location of each knot, for a far more precise wavelength calibration. Typically 30 lines were used to fit a wavelength solution, using as reference the OH line list by Oliva et al. (2013). Thus, at the slit position of each knot, we extracted the OH line spectrum from the raw images and made individual wavelength solutions. The typical rms in the fit was 0.3 Å or 4 km s$^{-1}$. The instrument profile has a Gaussian FWHM of 2.3–2.4 pixels, corresponding to 10 Å or 140 km s$^{-1}$.

3. Identifying the flows kinematically

3.1. Proper motion measurements

We used the four epochs of deep H$_2$ line imaging from year 2003 (ep1), 2009 (ep2), 2011 (ep3), and 2013 (ep4) to measure proper motions of the knots. Particular attention was paid to the image registration. The combined deep image from each epoch was registered to the reference frame (ep4) using $geomap$ and $geotrakn$ in IRAF with a Legendre polynomial of third order and 30–50 reference stars. The rms of the fits were 0.20 pix for ep1, 0.17 pix for ep2, and 0.23 pix for ep3. After registering ep1, ep2, and ep3 to the same plate scale as ep4, the positional accuracy was again checked by measuring the centroids of all the stars in the field. Figure 3 shows the individual offsets $\Delta X$ and $\Delta Y$ relative to the ep4 $X$ positions. These offsets may include any potential proper motion of the stars, but because we use a large
number of stars, we find it appropriate to adopt the average standard deviation as an estimate of the global positional error. The average of all offsets is 0.28 pixels. Excluding the $\Delta X$ of ep3, we get an average of 0.26 pixels or 0.06′. This value is used to derive the uncertainty in our proper motion measurements.

We first tried to define the knots’ positions and apertures using contours at a 5$\sigma$ detection level, but owing to very different intensity levels and diffuse inter-knot emission, we decided not to use a general signal-to-noise limit for knot definition but identified them by visual inspection, compared them to the deep narrow-band continuum image from 2003, and used the constraint that the peak emission is well above 5$\sigma$. To determine the knot positions, a Gaussian fit was used to find the central $X$ and $Y$ coordinates for each detected knot in every epoch’s deep image. Measurements were done on the registered images before seeing and flux matching to keep the resolution. For two knots we decided to bin the images in order to derive reliable estimates of the knot centres. The accuracy with which we can determine the knot position varies with each knot, depending on its actual morphology, but we estimate that this centering error in most cases is much smaller than the global positional matching error of 0.26 pixels, which we adopt as our error.

In total we have identified 57 knots, and for 31 of these we can reliably measure the knot positions. These are listed in Table 2. The J2000 positions are given for epoch 4 (2013), using 46 stars from the 2MASS point source catalogue (Skrutskie et al. 2006) to calculate the plate solution with an rms of 0.06″ both in RA and Dec. The proper motions (PM) are calculated in milli-arc seconds per year (mas/yr) for each of the six baselines. The length of the PM vectors are given in Table 2 as $PM_{ij}$. The baselines are indicated by the sub-indices $ij$, referring to motion from epoch $i$ to epoch $j$. The position angles of the PM vectors are given as $PA_{ij}$, measured positively from N and eastwards from epoch $j$ to epoch $i$. The errors in the proper motion speed (in mas/yr) are calculated for each baseline, using the positional error of 0.26 pixels adopted above. The proper motion speed errors are thus estimated to be: $ePM_{12} = 10$, $ePM_{13} = 28$, $ePM_{14} = 7$, $ePM_{23} = 15$, $ePM_{24} = 35$, and $ePM_{14} = 6$ mas yr$^{-1}$. The errors in the position angles of the PM vectors are estimated as $180/\pi \times \text{atan2}(ePM/PM)$. The measurements presented in Table 2 list proper motions whenever larger than 2$\sigma$. We note that knot MHO 2234-A1 has emerged over the course of our observations from being hardly noted in epoch 1 to becoming roughly as bright as its close neighbour A2 in epoch 4. No proper motion could be calculated for it.

The multi-epoch proper motion vectors are overlaid in colours on the zoomed images shown in Figs. 4 to 10. Each figure is a zoom-in of a sub-region and shows the H$_2$ line (+continuum) image with knot identification and slit position for K-band spectra in the left panel, and the inverse greyscale with the proper motion vectors overlaid in the right panel. The PM vector length is amplified by a factor 20 for clarity, and every baseline is colour-coded as explained in the caption of Fig. 4.

Multiple PM vectors for the same knot follow more or less the same direction (approximately within the errors of the position angles) from baseline to baseline, for instance knots A1, B, C1 in Fig. 4, knots A2, B1, D1+D2, H1, in Fig. 6, knot I1 in Fig. 7, and knot M4 in Fig. 10. For several knots, however, there is a large directional scatter, such as for knots D, E, and F2 in Figs. 5, and knots G1, H3, H4, H8, in Fig. 6. In these cases the maximum spread of the multi-epoch vectors for one and the same knot can be 90 degrees or more. Most of these are relatively faint or elongated knots, and it could be that we have underestimated the positional uncertainty because of a more difficult centering. Thus, it is unclear whether this scatter is real or if it merely reflects a larger measurement error for these knots.

In addition to the PM measurements, we made difference images between epochs using the seeing- matched and flux-matched images. Figure 11 shows part of the S arm of the MHO 2234 jet, including knots A1, A2, B1, B2, B3, C, D1, D2, E, and F (cf. Fig. 6), and Fig. 12 shows the same region as that in Fig. 4. When a knot has moved, it shows up as a negative shadow next to a positive peak of emission, although, difference images are also highly sensitive to slight changes in the knot morphology and/or flux. Figure 12 demonstrates the brightening around knot MHO 2235-A1 that appeared some time between 2009 and 2011 and disappeared again some time before the 2013 observations, a clear example of rapid changes in protostellar jets. In general, there is agreement between the PM vectors and the shifts in the difference images.

3.2. Tangential velocities

There has been a discrepancy in the distance estimates to Serpens between the VLBI parallax measurement of EC95 giving a distance of 415 ± 5 pc for the Serpens Main Cluster (Dzib et al. 2010), a neighbouring cloud located <1′ to the N, and extinction studies looking at the global properties of the Serpens-Aquila cloud complex, which find smaller distances;
## Table 2. Proper motion measurements of the 31 brightest knots in the jets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>$\alpha_4$ (2000) h</th>
<th>$\delta_4$ (2000) deg</th>
<th>PM12 mas/yr ±10</th>
<th>PA12 deg</th>
<th>PM23 mas/yr ±28</th>
<th>PA23 deg</th>
<th>PM34 mas/yr ±35</th>
<th>PA34 deg</th>
<th>PM44 mas/yr ±6</th>
<th>PA44 deg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MHO</td>
<td>±0.06&quot;</td>
<td>±0.06&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2235-M4</td>
<td>18:28:59.666</td>
<td>0:29:12.89</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>350 ±16</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>±11</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>170 ±25</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>8 ±16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2235-M1</td>
<td>18:28:59.730</td>
<td>0:29:10.95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2235-M2</td>
<td>18:28:59.826</td>
<td>0:29:10.24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2235-I1</td>
<td>18:29:01.722</td>
<td>0:29:24.28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2235-I3</td>
<td>18:29:01.746</td>
<td>0:29:26.29</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>324 ±25</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>89 ±23</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>32 ±26</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>341 ±27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2235-K</td>
<td>18:29:01.953</td>
<td>0:29:04.37</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>306 ±9</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>300 ±11</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>158 ±15</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>164 ±21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2235-F2</td>
<td>18:29:03.676</td>
<td>0:29:56.20</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>194 ±11</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>269 ±13</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>29 ±7</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>251 ±21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2235-F1</td>
<td>18:29:03.843</td>
<td>0:29:59.87</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2235-E</td>
<td>18:29:04.928</td>
<td>0:30:15.12</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>235 ±6</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>164 ±14</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>214 ±5</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>198 ±8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2235-D</td>
<td>18:29:05.406</td>
<td>0:30:21.11</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>162 ±24</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>36 ±18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>83 ±22</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>178 ±25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2235-C1</td>
<td>18:29:05.997</td>
<td>0:30:22.06</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>230 ±12</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>242 ±10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2235-A1</td>
<td>18:29:06.655</td>
<td>0:30:30.95</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>170 ±17</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>167 ±15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2235-A2</td>
<td>18:29:06.761</td>
<td>0:30:34.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2235-B</td>
<td>18:29:06.934</td>
<td>0:30:24.77</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2234-H8</td>
<td>18:29:07.922</td>
<td>0:30:24.86</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>312 ±18</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>88 ±24</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0 ±25</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>334 ±20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2234-H3</td>
<td>18:29:08.153</td>
<td>0:30:31.95</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>212 ±25</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>136 ±26</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>175 ±16</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>167 ±26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2234-G1</td>
<td>18:29:08.235</td>
<td>0:30:47.98</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>207 ±14</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>350 ±13</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>291 ±20</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>169 ±17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2234-H1</td>
<td>18:29:08.363</td>
<td>0:30:32.56</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>194 ±12</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>186 ±10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2234-H5</td>
<td>18:29:08.469</td>
<td>0:30:39.93</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2234-H2</td>
<td>18:29:08.498</td>
<td>0:30:35.59</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2234-C</td>
<td>18:29:08.609</td>
<td>0:31:13.50</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>5 ±22</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>189 ±13</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>186 ±25</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>12 ±25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2234-E</td>
<td>18:29:08.610</td>
<td>0:31:07.55</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>200 ±25</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>207 ±15</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>204 ±10</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>18 ±14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2234-H4</td>
<td>18:29:08.610</td>
<td>0:30:38.89</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>214 ±16</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>90 ±24</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>175 ±19</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>92 ±23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2234-D1+2</td>
<td>18:29:08.810</td>
<td>0:31:08.38</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>168 ±8</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>183 ±18</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>173 ±5</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>167 ±6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2234-B1</td>
<td>18:29:09.009</td>
<td>0:31:22.08</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>179 ±11</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>203 ±11</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>191 ±6</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>198 ±13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2234-A1</td>
<td>18:29:09.045</td>
<td>0:31:28.10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2234-A2</td>
<td>18:29:09.057</td>
<td>0:31:26.64</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>180 ±8</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>197 ±14</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>186 ±5</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>190 ±19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2234-I1</td>
<td>18:29:09.570</td>
<td>0:32:07.53</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>35 ±24</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>54 ±27</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>63 ±19</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>56 ±17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2233-N</td>
<td>18:29:11.560</td>
<td>0:31:20.05</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>100 ±25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>36</td>
<td>14 ±24</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>56 ±17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2233-P</td>
<td>18:29:12.443</td>
<td>0:31:46.19</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>125 ±25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>57</td>
<td>316 ±16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. The J2000 coordinates of the knots are measured in epoch 4 (2013) and are accurate to ~0.06". The proper motions (PM$_{ij}$) are measured in mas/yr for six different baselines and listed only for PMs larger than 2r. The sub-indices $ij$ give the motion from epoch $i$ to epoch $j$, where epoch 1 is 2003, epoch 2 is 2009, epoch 3 is 2011, and epoch 4 is 2013. The proper motion position angles (PA$_{ij}$) indicate the direction of the motion from epoch $i$ to epoch $j$ and the angles are measured from north and eastwards.
225 ± 55 pc according to Straižys et al. (2003) and 203 ± 7 pc according to Knude (2011). The extinction map of the Serpens-Aquila region shown in Bontemps et al. (2010) and the discussions in Maury et al. (2011) suggest that the N part of the complex, comprising Serpens Main and NH3, is a separate entity from the rest of the complex and located at a larger distance. In this paper we follow this reasoning and adopt 415 pc as the distance. For the longest baseline of about ten years, the uncertainty in the tangential velocity is 12 km s\(^{-1}\), while for the shortest baseline of less than two years, it is 67 km s\(^{-1}\) (see Table 3). The highest velocity measured over the longest baseline is 190 km s\(^{-1}\) for knot MHO 2235-E (see Fig. 5). This scales down to roughly half the value if we apply the 220 ± 80 pc distance estimate (Straižys et al. 2003).

The highest tangential velocities are found for the four knots MHO 2235-E, MHO 2234-A2, MHO 2234-B1, and MHO 2234-D1+D2, but there is an all-over large variation in speed (see Sect. 3.6 for a discussion of time-variable velocities). The median velocities over the longest timescale of ten years are about 50 km s\(^{-1}\), but the scatter is large from 30 to 190 km s\(^{-1}\). These are based on the measurements of only 17 knots, about one-third of all identified knots and about 60% of the knots we were able to measure. Histograms over the velocity distributions are shown in Fig. 13. For the shorter timescales, we are not able to measure the lowest velocities owing to the larger error bar, but it is clear that some knots show high velocities on short timescales, while it averages out on longer timescales. This is evidence of time-variable velocities that shows that knots speed up and slow down.

### 3.3. \(H_2\) v = 1–0 S(1) line fluxes

For flux measurements, the images are first seeing-matched using the IRAF task Gauss, which convolves the images with a Gaussian function. All images were matched against the “worst seeing” image using ~50 stars. Secondly, flux matching with respect to ep4 (2013) was performed using the stellar fluxes. The flux-matching error is around 6%; i.e., this is how much the stellar fluxes scatter. We note that many of these stars are young stellar objects (YSOs) currently forming in the region (Djupvik et al. 2006; Harvey et al. 2006, 2007), and YSOs are known to be variable. While a few highly variable stars were discarded before flux matching, low level variability in the stellar sample still might have artificially increased this scatter.

The individual knot fluxes were measured in their apertures using the IRAF task phot. The aperture radii varied from five pixels (1.17") for the smallest and faintest to 30 pixels (7") for the largest and most diffuse knot, but stayed mostly between five and nine pixels. For elongated knots we used large radii and checked manually that nearby knots did not contaminate the aperture. For each knot the aperture radius was fixed for all epochs, and only
Fig. 9. SW part of MHO 2235 (47″ field). See text and the caption of Fig. 4 for details.

Fig. 10. Zoom-in on the HH 476 region (20″ field), the SW part of MHO 2235 in the NOTCam field. See text and the caption of Fig. 4 for details. The black contours show the Hα emission from 2009 of the previously known Herbig-Haro object HH 476.

Table 3. Six different baselines.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>ij</th>
<th>time (yr)</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>ePM_{ij} (mas/yr)</th>
<th>eV_{tan} (km s^{-1})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2009–2011</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>2.171</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011–2013</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.785</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009–2013</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3.956</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003–2011</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8.211</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003–2013</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9.996</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. The first column gives the baseline over years, and the second column shows the sub-indices used, referring to epochs 1–4. N denotes the number of knots with proper motions larger than 2σ. The estimated errors in proper motion speed ePM_{ij} are converted to errors in the tangential velocities (assuming d = 415 pc).

its position was redefined. The sky annuli were selected depending on the crowding to minimize contamination.

The flux for the same knot is found to be overall fairly constant in all the four epochs (see Table 4). To search for variability, we calculate the maximum flux variation, i.e. the difference between the lowest and highest flux measured for the same knot. A knot is defined to have flux variability if the maximum flux deviation is larger than three times the standard deviation of the sky background σ_{sky} in the deep image of ep4, which is 1.5 × 10^{-16} erg s^{-1} cm^{-2}. As shown in Fig. 14, we find flux variability for 13 out of 29 knots. There is no apparent general correlation between flux variability and proper motion.

Fig. 11. Difference images for part of the MHO 2234 flow including the position of the Class 0 source MMS3 or Ser-emb-1 (red circle) and a not classified YSO from Harvey & Dunham (2009; yellow circle). The image shifts are in the sense: ep2-ep1 (upper left), ep3-ep2 (upper right), and ep4-ep1 (lower left), bright regions showing positive flux. The IRAC/Spitzer 4.5 μm (blue), 5.8 μm (green), and 8 μm (red) is shown with the H_{2} v = 1−0 S(1) line emission in black contours (lower right).

Fig. 12. Difference images for part of the MHO 2235 flow including the position of the Class I sources Ser-emb-17 and Ser-emb-11 E and W (red circles) and two YSO candidates from Harvey et al. (2006; magenta circles), cf. Fig. 4. The image shifts are in the direction: ep2-ep1 (upper left), ep3-ep2 (upper right), ep4-ep3 (lower left), and ep4-ep1 (lower right), bright regions showing positive flux. The brightening near knot A1 appeared in the 2011 image and had disappeared again in the 2013 image.
Fig. 13. Number distribution of the measured tangential velocities (in km s\(^{-1}\), assuming \(d = 415\) pc). The average velocities over a 10-year timespan from 2003 to 2013 (lower panel) are compared to the more “instantaneous” velocities measured on the shortest timescales of about 2 years (upper panel), the 2011–2013 period (bold red) and the 2009–2011 period (blue). Only knots with proper motion velocities above 2\(\sigma\) (vertical dashed lines) are included.

Fig. 14. Flux variability detected in 13 of the 29 knots. The deviations from average flux is plotted versus the logarithm of the average flux for each of the knots. Epoch 1 (black circles), epoch 2 (red triangles), epoch 3 (green squares), and epoch 4 (blue stars). Variability is detected whenever the maximum deviation between epochs is greater than 3\(\sigma\). Epoch 1 (black circles), epoch 2 (red triangles), epoch 3 (green squares), and epoch 4 (blue stars). Variability is detected whenever the maximum deviation between epochs is greater than 3\(\sigma\), where \(\sigma\) is the standard deviation of the sky background in the epoch 4 image. The dotted line shows 3\(\sigma\) from average.

3.4. Radial velocities

Since the H\(_2\) emission is spatially resolved and the emission lines have narrow, essentially Gaussian line profiles, we can use profile fitting to obtain a radial velocity resolution that is much higher than the intrinsic resolution of the observations of 140 km s\(^{-1}\). For each knot we measure the radial velocities from the available H\(_2\) lines using the IRAF tasks \textit{rvdlines} and \textit{rvcorrect} to obtain the local-standard-of-rest radial velocities (\(v_{\text{LSR}}\)). The accuracy in the wavelength calibration of our spectra is 0.3 Å or 4 km s\(^{-1}\) (see Sect. 2.3), and since the calibration is done on sky lines in the same spectrum, we do not expect any shifts. The reference wavelengths for the H\(_2\) lines are taken from the vacuum wavenumbers provided by Black & van Dishoeck (1987). The number of available lines vary from one to six, depending on the brightness of the knots.

The measured radial velocities are very similar for all lines in the same knot. The adopted error listed in Table 5 is taken to be the rms scatter in the radial velocity measurements line by line rather than the mean error. The Serpens NH3 NE cloud core itself has a mean radial velocity \(v_{\text{LSR}} = 8.5\) km s\(^{-1}\) according to Tobin et al. (2011), whose measurement is centred on the Class 0 source MMS3. According to Levshakov et al. (2013), who refer to this cloud core as Do279P6, it is 7.986 km s\(^{-1}\). We apply 8 km s\(^{-1}\) when correcting for the systemic velocity. We assume that the protostar that gives rise to the jet follows the cloud motion so correct for it to find the knot’s radial velocity with respect to the driving source. The radial velocities given in Table 7, with their uncertainties and the number of lines used in the measurement, are thus the LSR velocities corrected for the systemic velocity of the cloud.

The negative radial velocities in the southern MHO 2234 jet and the positive radial velocity for one measurable knot in the northern jet, gives the orientation of the jet along the line of sight. The small number of detected northern knots is likely due to the northern jet moving away from us and into the cloud. The only northern knot that has measurable radial velocity is MHO 2234-J, a bow-shock-shaped feature outside our H\(_2\) imaging field, for which we have no proper motion measurements.

Table 4. H\(_2\) \(v = 1-0\) S(1) fluxes of the knots for each of the four epochs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>(f_1)</th>
<th>(f_2)</th>
<th>(f_3)</th>
<th>(f_4)</th>
<th>(R_{ap}) (°)</th>
<th>(\text{Var})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2233-N</td>
<td>6.47</td>
<td>6.77</td>
<td>6.30</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>y</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2233-P</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2234-A1+A2</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>4.23</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2234-B1</td>
<td>5.67</td>
<td>5.74</td>
<td>5.32</td>
<td>5.70</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2234-C</td>
<td>7.24</td>
<td>7.73</td>
<td>7.39</td>
<td>7.63</td>
<td>2.81</td>
<td>y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2234-D1+D2</td>
<td>21.06</td>
<td>20.08</td>
<td>20.10</td>
<td>20.60</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2234-E</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>7.33</td>
<td>8.20</td>
<td>8.48</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2234-G1</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2234-H1</td>
<td>22.55</td>
<td>23.25</td>
<td>23.94</td>
<td>22.61</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2234-H2</td>
<td>6.97</td>
<td>6.89</td>
<td>7.12</td>
<td>6.70</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2234-H3</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>3.98</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2234-H4</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2234-H5</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2234-H8</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. This is the line emission measured through an aperture with radius \(R_{ap}\) (in arcseconds) centred on each knot. MHO 2234-A1+A2 comprises both knots although A1 is only clearly emerging in ep4. The fluxes \(f_1, f_2, f_3,\) and \(f_4\) are given in units of 10\(^{-15}\) erg s\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\). The last column shows whether we find the knot flux to be variable or not.
The knot MHO 2234-A1, for which we have no proper motion because it was too faint in all epochs except for epoch 4, was bright by the time the spectrum was taken and was included in the slit, thus its $v_{\text{rad}}$ was obtained together with the one of knot A2. These two knots have the highest measured radial velocity. The radial velocity of MHO 2235-B is very low, but positive, thus the spatial velocity of this knot is towards the SE and away from us.

### 3.5. Identification of flows and their driving sources

Combining the tangential velocities derived from the proper motions with the radial velocities, available for a subset of the knots, we obtain the space velocities. We use the space velocities, the morphology, and the locations of known protostars listed in Table 6 to evaluate the best candidate driving source for each jet and also to distinguish between separate jet flows and determine which knots belong to which flow. We find at least three separate jet flows, partly overlapping, in the field of view studied.

It should be noted that there are several emission line features that cannot be easily attributed to any of these flows, because they have no velocity information and/or because their location and morphology are difficult to interpret. This concerns in particular the features named Q, R, S, and T in Fig. 2.

#### 3.5.1. Flow 1 and MMS3 (Ser-emb-1)

We study the relationship of MHO 2234 flow as depicted in Fig. 2. In Djupvik et al. (2006), we speculated that the driving source is the Class 0 source MMS3, also called Ser-emb-1 by Enoch et al. (2009, 2011), which would be a strongly deflected flow, with no detectable counter-jet, but this speculation awaits proper motion measurements. As seen in Fig. 1, and also as noted in the MHO Catalogue (Davis et al. 2010), MHO 2235 may be extending further towards the SW far outside the NOTCam field. Whether this is an extension of Flow 2 or an independent flow is not clear, since we have no

### Notes

The tangential velocities $v_{\text{tan}}$ were obtained from the proper motion measurements over 10 years and a distance assumption of $d = 415$ pc. The space velocities $v_{\text{space}}$ were obtained by combining $v_{\text{rad}}$ and $v_{\text{tan}}$. Both knots are included in the slit, but $v_{\text{space}}$ is only valid for A2.

### Table 5. Radial velocities $v_{\text{rad}}$ obtained from the available emission lines, corrected for the systemic velocity of the cloud core of $v_{\text{SR}} = 8$ km s$^{-1}$, and the number of lines used to measure $v_{\text{rad}}$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Object</th>
<th>$v_{\text{rad}}$ (km s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$N_{\text{lines}}$</th>
<th>$v_{\text{tan}}$ (km s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$v_{\text{space}}$ (km s$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MHO2234-A1+A2*</td>
<td>$-32 \pm 7$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$121 \pm 12$</td>
<td>$125 \pm 14$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHO2234-D1+D2</td>
<td>$0 \pm 4$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$110 \pm 12$</td>
<td>$110 \pm 13$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHO2234-H1</td>
<td>$-12 \pm 8$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$71 \pm 12$</td>
<td>$72 \pm 14$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHO2234-J</td>
<td>$16 \pm 1$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. Values of $L_{\text{bol}}$ and $T_{\text{bol}}$ and estimates of disk masses, as well as positions from CARMA 230 GHz observations, are all from Enoch et al. (2009, 2011).

The space velocity of the knots decreases with distance from the originating source, the faster knot being MHO 2234-A2 at a space velocity of 125 km s$^{-1}$. Its close neighbour A1 is very faint in epi, and becomes gradually brighter to allow its position to be measured only in epoch 4, but both A1 and A2 are included in the flux measurement aperture and in the slit spectroscopy. As shown in Sect. 9 where we calculate the dynamical ages, the jet from the least evolved protostar shows higher knot velocities than the jet from the more evolved protostars. This is in line with Bontemps et al. (1996), who found roughly an order-of-magnitude higher mechanical-to-bolometric luminosity ratio for outflows from Class IIs compared to Class IIs.

#### 3.5.2. Flow 2 and Ser-emb-11 binary, E and W

What we call Flow 2 is the longest structure in our 4’’ field of view, a NE-SW oriented flow composed of parts of both MHO 2233 (clearly N and P, and probably O) and MHO 2235 (clearly C1, D, E, F, and probably C2, I1, I2, I3). The previously known HH object HH 476, which practically coincides with our group of M knots, is located at an extension of this line, but the proper motion of knot MHO 2235-M4 has a consistent reverse movement, and it is unclear if this group of knots belongs to Flow 2. We also note that the knots MHO 2235-G, H, J, and K could be related to Ser-emb-34, in which case it would be a strongly deflected flow, with no detectable counter-jet, but this speculation awaits proper motion measurements. As seen in Fig. 1 and also as noted in the MHO Catalogue (Davis et al. 2010), MHO 2235 may be extending further towards the SW far outside the NOTCam field. Whether this is an extension of Flow 2 or an independent flow is not clear, since we have no
velocity information, but no known protostars are found close to
these features. The morphology suggests a possible connection,
but if both structures to the SW are part of the same flow, this
indicates a strong deflection of the jet axis. Thus, Flow 2 could be
a parsec-scale flow, with parts of it completely void of emitting
knots indicating periods of quiescence in the jet ejection.

Considering the field we have monitored, the knots flowing
towards the SW have very clear proper motions and include
MHO 2235-E moving at 190 km s$^{-1}$ towards the SW have very clear proper motions and include
knots indicating periods of quiescence in the jet ejection.

From the morphology and tangential velocities, we suggest that
this flow originates in Ser-emb-11 or in either of the two compo-
nents of this 2.14$''$ separation Class I binary.

After inspecting our supplementary deep JHK$_s$ imaging
taken simultaneously with H$_2$ line imaging and a narrow-band
$K$ continuum filter, we found evidence that our knot MHO 2235-
A2, which almost coincides with the protostar Ser-emb-11E, in-
deed has emission both in the red continuum filter and in the
H$_2$ line filter. This source is the easternmost and the fainter of
the two Class I sources that form the 890 au separation protostar bi-
nary Ser-emb-11, which is found by Enoch et al. (2011) to have
very extended envelopes and compact disk components. In our
images MHO 2235-A2 has a roundish shape, but its psf in the
best quality images, where stars have a FWHM of 0.5–0.6$''$, is
substantially broader. The positions disagree by 0.4$''$. The bi-
nary companion Ser-emb-11W, which is the brighter of the two
in submm wavelengths, is not detected in any near-IR filter. We
find no measurable proper motion from MHO 2235-A2. On the
other hand, we detect a gradual brightening in flux over the ten
years of monitoring in the H$_2$ line filter (see Tables 2 and 4).
Thus, what we refer to as knot MHO 2235-A2 may be a mix
of continuum emission from the YSO itself (Ser-emb-11E) and
some extended line emission.

As shown in Fig. 15 there is a cone of faint emission extend-
ing outwards from Ser-emb-11E (at about 5 o’clock) in the
$K$ continuum filter and in the $H$-band. This emission is barely
noticeable in the $J$-band, probably because of high extinction.

Such cones of scattered light are usually interpreted as cavities
in the envelope carved out by a precessing jet. The part of Flow 2
that we have monitored is a long chain of knots with a slightly S-
shaped morphology. This point symmetry is usually interpreted
as due to a precessing jet axis. As shown by Fendt & Zinnecker
(1998), this is most likely caused by orbital motion of the jet
source in a binary (or multiple) system.

3.5.3. Flow 3 and Ser-emb-17

Proper motion and radial velocity data show that MHO 2235-B,
the brightest of all knots in the images, is moving towards the
SE and slightly away from us. From the radial velocity compo-
nent we determine the inclination angle with respect to the plane
of the sky to be 11$''$. Knot MHO 2235-A1 has a similar proper
motion vector, within the errors. These two knots seem to form
a separate flow approximately orthogonal to Flow 2 described
above. The Class I binary Ser-emb-11 is situated approximately
where the two flows cross each other in the plane of the sky.
Thus, it is likely that the Flow 3 going SE is either driven by one
of the components of the Class I binary Ser-emb-11 or by Ser-
emb-17, the proper motion vectors being consistent with both.
The Class I source Ser-emb-17 (Enoch et al. 2009, 2011) is lo-
cated only 12$''$ to the NW of the binary Ser-emb-11 and is de-
tected as a faint point source only in the $K$-continuum and the
$K_s$ broad band filters (see Fig. 15). Careful inspection of the
IRAC image in Fig. 16 reveals a bridge of H$_2$ emission (green
colour gives an emphasis to the 4.5 micron band) between Ser-
emb-17 and Ser-emb-11, suggesting a flow in the direction to-
wards MHO 2235-B. The non-detection of this extended emis-
sion at two microns is probably due to very high extinction.
Ser-emb-17 is located in the densest core in the region according
to the N$_2$H$^+$ map of Tobin et al. (2011). Extinction may also ex-
plain why we detect no bipolar lobe towards the NW. Based on
this and the morphology and velocity of MHO 2235-B, we pro-
pose that Ser-emb-17 is the driving source of the jet flowing SE.

Based on jet lengths alone, one would wrongly interpret
Flow 3 as the youngest jet in the region. When including the
velocity information, however, we find that the furthest away
Table 7. Measured \( \text{H}_2 \) line fluxes and line ratios.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Object</th>
<th>( 1) – ( 0 ) S(1)</th>
<th>( 1) – ( 0 ) S(2)</th>
<th>( 2) – ( 1 ) S(3)</th>
<th>( 2) – ( 1 ) S(2)</th>
<th>( 1) – ( 0 ) S(0)</th>
<th>( 2) – ( 1 ) S(1)</th>
<th>( T_{\text{ex}} )</th>
<th>( A_V )</th>
<th>( o/p )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MHO 2235-B</td>
<td>( 1.4 \times 10^{-14} )</td>
<td>( 0.32 \pm 0.02 )</td>
<td>( 0.10 \pm 0.03 )</td>
<td>( 0.06 \pm 0.07 )</td>
<td>( 0.26 \pm 0.02 )</td>
<td>( 0.13 \pm 0.04 )</td>
<td>( 2240 \pm 160 )</td>
<td>( 23 )</td>
<td>( 2.9 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHO 2234-A1+2</td>
<td>( 4.4 \times 10^{-15} )</td>
<td>( 0.23 \pm 0.04 )</td>
<td>( 0.04 \pm 0.20 )</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>( 0.30 \pm 0.05 )</td>
<td>( 0.14 \pm 0.07 )</td>
<td>( 1800 \pm 310 )</td>
<td>( 58 )</td>
<td>( 3.2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHO 2234-D1+2</td>
<td>( 5.9 \times 10^{-15} )</td>
<td>( 0.27 \pm 0.04 )</td>
<td>( 0.12 \pm 0.07 )</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>( 0.19 \pm 0.06 )</td>
<td>( 0.19 \pm 0.05 )</td>
<td>( 2500 \pm 300 )</td>
<td>( 14 )</td>
<td>( 3.7 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHO 2234-H1</td>
<td>( 5.6 \times 10^{-15} )</td>
<td>( 0.22 \pm 0.07 )</td>
<td>( 0.04 \pm 0.09 )</td>
<td>( 0.04 \pm 0.12 )</td>
<td>( 0.19 \pm 0.06 )</td>
<td>( 0.06 \pm 0.11 )</td>
<td>( 1900 \pm 170 )</td>
<td>( 31 )</td>
<td>( 4.1 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHO 2234-J</td>
<td>( 1.3 \times 10^{-15} )</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHO 2233-N</td>
<td>( 3.3 \times 10^{-15} )</td>
<td>( 0.35 \pm 0.05 )</td>
<td>( 0.16 \pm 0.10 )</td>
<td>( 0.07 \pm 0.19 )</td>
<td>( 0.23 \pm 0.10 )</td>
<td>( 0.20 \pm 0.11 )</td>
<td>( 2620 \pm 160 )</td>
<td>( 7 )</td>
<td>( 3.0 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. The flux density (in \( \text{erg} \, \text{s}^{-1} \, \text{cm}^{-2} \)) is given for the \( v = 1\) – \( 0 \) S(1) line at \( 2.1218 \, \mu \text{m} \), and flux ratios with respect to this line are given for the other lines. The third last column gives the estimated excitation temperatures \( T_{\text{ex}} \), the second last gives the estimated extinction (\( A_V \)), and the last column gives the calculated ortho-to-para ratio \( o/p \) for the \( v = 1 \) vibrational level.

Knots in the longer Flow 1 from the Class 0 source have approximately the same dynamical ages (see Sect. 9). A recent study by Giannini et al. (2013) finds a clear correlation of jet length with stellar age, but there were no Class 0 YSOs in their sample of driving sources.

3.6. Time variable velocities

It is evident from the multi-epoch proper motion results presented in Table 2 that the proper motions are not constant over time. Translated to tangential velocities using 415 pc for the distance, the most typical velocity, averaged over ten years, is around 50 km s\(^{-1}\), and the highest velocity is 190 km s\(^{-1}\) as shown in Fig. 13, but short-term proper motions over around two years reveal transient velocities of up to 300 km s\(^{-1}\) such as MHO 2234-B from 2009 to 2011 and MHO 2235-E from 2011 to 2013. These are knots that have sped up but move in the same direction as for the other periods. Thus, the \( \text{H}_2 \) knots accelerate and decelerate.

The observable knots are shocks, probably produced by supersonic velocity jumps, for which a natural origin is believed to be a time variable jet ejection speed. Reipurth (1989) suggested that observed knotty jet structures can be explained by episodically pulsed jets, again driven by non-steady accretion in the form of bursts. This was modeled by Raga et al. (1990), and strong evidence for this mechanism was found in the highly symmetric knots in the HH 212 bipolar jet by Zinnecker et al. (1998). The morphology and the knot spacings indirectly point towards time-variable velocities. Direct measurements of time-variability in jet velocities, however, are scarce. This has been detected from multi-epoch studies of a Class II jet in HH 30 (Hartigan & Morse 2007), a Class I binary jet in the L1551 (Bonito et al. 2008), and Class II and Class I jets in the Chamaeleon II star formation region (Caratti o Garatti et al. 2009). It is suggested that Class 0 jets have similar variability behaviour, based on observed knot spacings, but to our knowledge, the present study in Serpens is the first that finds time-variable velocities in a Class 0 jet.

Ejecta at different velocities propagating in a strongly inhomogeneous medium give rise to rather complex interactions and possibly deflections. The jets in Serpens NH3 (Serpens Cluster B) are partly overlapping, and it is not simple to interpret which knot belongs to which flow, considering reverse motion and deflections.

It is believed that faster ejecta may overtake slower ones and potentially change their velocity vectors. Models of randomly pulsed jets by Bonito et al. (2010) predict distinct individual knot velocities, single fast-moving knots, reverse shocks, as well as oblique shocks, the last being produced by reflection at the cocoon surrounding the jet. Our velocity data for the knots in these jets suggest that there are several of these features. The distinct individual knot velocities are clearly demonstrated by our proper motion results. An example of a single fast-moving knot is MHO 2235-E. Possible examples of reversing knots are MHO 2235-M4 and MHO 2234-H8.

Whether a variable jet ejection mechanism is needed to produce the observed time variability in the knot velocities is not entirely clear, and Yirak et al. (2009, 2012) suggest that shocks can be produced by clumps in the jet that collide and merge. If the shocks are due to merging or interacting knots, one would expect variability in the fluxes, as well. The fast-moving elongated knot MHO 2235-E experiences both velocity and flux variability, but in general we find no clear correlation between flux variability and velocity variability or between flux variability and speed.

4. Physical conditions

The knots for which we have \( K \)-band spectra are listed with their line fluxes or flux ratios in Table 7. The slit positions are shown overlaid on images in Figs. 4, 6, 7, and 8. All the knots have pure emission line spectra with narrow line profiles, the Gaussian widths being close to the instrument profile, around 10 \( \lambda \) or 140 km s\(^{-1}\). Up to six or seven \( \text{H}_2 \) lines are typically detected in the spectra. There are no other lines or continuum emission. Figure 17 shows knot MHO 2235-B as an example. For all knots the brightest line is the \( v = 1\) – \( 0 \) S(1) line at 2.1218 \( \mu \text{m} \), which contributes on average 59 \( \pm \) 17% of the total emission in the \( K \) band.

4.1. Extinction

There is substantial cloud extinction in the Serpens NH3 core where these jets are located, and the region is practically opaque in the optical. Even in the \( K \) band there is a pronounced decrease in the number of background stars over the region where the jets are located. A lower estimate of \( A_V \sim 20 \) mag was deduced from the \( J – H/H – K \) colour diagram of stars in the surrounding region (Djupvik et al. 2006), which agrees with the extinction map of Bontemps et al. (2010), but these methods “saturate” for the densest regions. There is no emission from the knots in the \( J \) and \( H \) bands. Deep \( H \)-band imaging shows some faint extended
emission between some of the knots, which we interpret as due to scattered light.

Assuming thermalized gas at a single temperature, we can use the pair of lines originating in the same upper level that are most separated in wavelength, $\lambda \lambda$ 2.0338 and 2.2233 $\mu$m, in order to determine the colour excess between these two wavelengths. We then apply the extinction law $A_{\lambda} \propto \lambda^{-1.7}$ to deduce the extinction in terms of visual magnitudes. We have in this manner estimated the extinction to the knots (Table 7) to be in the range $7 < A_V < 58$ mag. These values are obtained over a narrow wavelength range, however, and their uncertainty may be large. The highest estimate ($A_V = 58$ mag) was found for the knot closest to the Class 0 exciting source. Such high values are rarely found in the literature and, above all, difficult to measure. Davis et al. (2011) compared various techniques to measure the extinction towards molecular hydrogen emission line regions around protostars using integral field spectroscopy and found values reaching up to $A_V \sim 80$ mag close to the protostellar jet sources. In another study of knots from protostellar jets by Caratti o Garatti et al. (2015), an extinction of $A_V = 50$ mag is reported for one of the knots. Knot emission seen through 50 visual magnitudes of extinction ($\sim 5$ mag at two microns) is weakened by a factor of 100 and must be intrinsically bright.

With this high extinction, one could expect that some knots would be extinguished at 2.1 $\mu$m microns, but become observable at 4.5 $\mu$m where the extinction is lower; for instance, Flaherty et al. (2007) find that $A_{4.5\mu m} = 0.53 A_V$. When comparing the areas covered by H$_2$ line imaging with the IRAC images, we conclude that practically all knots found in the mid-IR images are also visible in the near-IR 2.122 $\mu$m images, except for two important cases where we believe the extinction is very high: 1) just to the N of the Class 0 exciting source and 2) between MHO2235-B, and its presumably driving source Seremb-17. Otherwise, the practically one-to-one correspondence between IRAC and ground-based 2.122 $\mu$m images is also in line with what Giannini et al. (2013) finds for the Vela-D molecular cloud, and this suggests that even for relatively high extinction regions, such as in Serpens NH3, near-IR H$_2$ line mapping is able to pick up most of these emission line objects.

4.2. Excitation mechanism

The excitation mechanism of H$_2$ in molecular jets from young stars is mainly due to collisions with other H$_2$ molecules or with H atoms, where typically the lower vibrational levels of the molecule in its ground state get populated. If UV photons are present, however, the higher vibrational levels can also be populated through radiation pumping of H$_2$ into a higher electronic state, followed by a subsequent decay that populates all the vibrational levels of the ground state. A frequently used rule of thumb is that when the ratio $v = 2-1$ S(1)/v = 1-0 S(1) (2.1477/2.1218) is $\leq 1/10$ and there is an absence of $v \geq 3$ lines, the excitation mechanism of H$_2$ is from collisions in a hot gas (Wolfire & Konigl 1991), i.e. due to shocks.

To estimate the excitation temperature and the column densities of H$_2$ in the knots, we construct the Boltzmann diagrams as described in Nisini (2008), where we depart from the Boltzmann distribution that describes a gas in thermal equilibrium

$$
\frac{N(v, J)}{N(H_2)} = \frac{g_v J}{Z(T)} e^{-E_v(J)/(kT_{ex})}
$$

to obtain the result that the natural logarithm of $N(v, J)/g_v J$, where $g_v J$ are the statistical weights from the selection rules of the transitions, equals $-E(v, J)/kT_{ex}$. Thus, when plotting ln($N(v, J)/g_v J$) versus $E(v, J)$, a thermalized gas will give a linear relation, where the slope is the inverse of the excitation temperature $T_{ex}$. Here, $Z(T)$ is the partition function, and the vibrational energies $E(v, J)$ are taken from Dabrowski (1984). To calculate the relative column densities $N(v, J)$ for a given vibrational and rotational level ($v, J$), we use the relation $N(v, J) = 4\pi l(v, J)/(h \nu g(v, J) A(v, J))$, where $l(v, J)$ are the measured line intensities obtained from the line fluxes given in Table 7, corrected for extinction using the derived extinction for each knot, and given in units of erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ sr$^{-1}$ using that the spectra cover an area of $0.6'' \times 1.9''$ across each source. The Einstein coefficients $A_{v J}$ are from Turner et al. (1977). We fitted a line through the points for each knot, as shown in Fig. 18, and the resulting $T_{ex}$ values and errors are listed in Table 7. Since both the $v = 1$ and $v = 2$ vibration levels can be fitted with the same slope, this suggests that the gas is in LTE, and the excitation mechanism is through collisions. The average excitation temperature $T_{ex}$ is $2200 \pm 350$ K, which is within the typical range of gas temperatures in post-shock regions of protostellar jets (2000–4000 K).

4.3. Ortho-to-para ratio

When H$_2$ molecules are formed, their nuclear spins can either be aligned (ortho) or opposed (para). The ortho-to-para ratio of H$_2$, which refers to these two possible spin states, has been estimated for the vibrationally excited state $v = 1$ using the line ratios $v = 1-0$ S(1)/v = 1-0 S(0) and $v = 1-0$ S(1)/v = 1-0 S(2) following the method of Smith et al. (1997). For each knot we applied the individual extinction estimates as found above, though extinction has a marginal effect on the results. Our values for the ortho-to-para ratios are listed in Table 7 and vary from 2.9 to 4.1 with an average value of 3.3, which is consistent with the expected ratio of 3:1 based on the statistical weights. This very ratio is found in many regions of Herbig-Haro flows. The interpretation could be either 1) that the molecules formed on grains at high temperature according to the statistical weights, and there has been no conversion since; or 2) that collisions with H atoms in the shocked gas has thermalized the level populations
and produced this ratio. According to Smith et al. (1997), the latter is the more likely explanation.

4.4. \( \text{H}_2 \) column densities

For the five knots that have spectra taken, we derive the column densities of \( \text{H}_2 \) from the already constructed Boltzmann diagram in Fig. 18. We obtained the values of \( \ln(N_{\text{H}_2}/Z(T)) \) by reading off the intercept of each slope at zero \( E_{\nu, j} \). The partition function of molecular hydrogen was calculated as \( Z(T) = 0.0247T_{\text{ex}}/(1 - \exp(-6000/T_{\text{ex}})) \) as given in Smith & Mac Low (1997).

For the remaining knots, we have no spectra and are limited to flux measurements obtained from \( \text{H}_2 \) narrowband imaging in the \( \lambda = 2.1218 \mu \text{m} \) line. We follow the method of Davis et al. (2001) consisting in using this line intensity, together with an assumption on the excitation temperature and the extinction for each knot. We use the average of the four flux measurements given in Table 4. The excitation temperature varies from 1800 to 2620 K among the five knots studied with spectra, and we apply the average value of \( T_{\text{ex}} = 2200 \) K for all other knots. The extinction apparently varies strongly on small spatial scales and will be the main source of uncertainty using this method. We have estimated the extinction for each knot from a rough assumption on the excitation temperature and the extinction for \( \text{H}_2 \) for each knot are in the range 30 to 17,000 cm\(^{-3}\) with a median value at 1 arcsec.

4.5. Dynamical ages

On the assumption that velocities are constant, one can calculate the time it has taken for (a part of) a jet to move to its current displacement from the originating source by dividing jet length by velocity. This represents a dynamical age for the observed feature. When velocities slow down with time, the ages are upper limits. We take the jet lengths to be the straight line projections of the current space velocities of the various parts of the jets. The number densities of molecular hydrogen, \( n_{\text{H}_2} \), are estimated from the column densities by assuming that the knots’ dimension in the radial direction is equal to their narrowest side seen in the images and measured to be 1.4” on average. The knots typically have an elongated shape, mainly along the flow direction, which is roughly the orientation of the slits, as well. As learned from the relatively low radial velocities, these jets are flowing practically in the plane of the sky. Thus, applying 1.4” translates to a jet diameter of 580 au. The derived densities \( n_{\text{H}_2} \), for each knot are in the range 30 to 17,000 cm\(^{-3}\) with a median value around 400 cm\(^{-3}\). These densities are very low and indicate either that a low percentage of the jet is molecular or that the jets are lighter than the ambient medium.

4.6. Mass loss rates

From the column densities, \( N_{\text{H}_2} \), we can estimate the mass of the \( \text{H}_2 \) gas involved in the emission through the relation

\[
M_{\text{H}_2} = 2 \mu m_{\text{H}} N_{\text{H}_2} a_{\text{knot}},
\]

where \( \mu \) is the mean atomic weight, \( m_{\text{H}} \) the proton weight, and \( a_{\text{knot}} \) the area of the emitting region (Nisini et al. 2005). The slit width is substantially narrower than the FWHM of the intensity profiles across the knots. We assume that the knots emit uniformly over their entire surface and use the surface areas derived for each knot as described in the previous section. Thus, we arrive at \( \text{H}_2 \) gas masses in the range from \( 2 \times 10^{-8} \) to \( 7 \times 10^{-7} \) \( M_\odot \).
for the knots (see Table 8). The total mass of H$_2$ gas in each flow is obtained by summing up all the measured knots, and they are listed in Table 10. These estimates are a lower limit to the total H$_2$ gas mass. There are a number of weaker knots for which we do not have reliable flux measurements. It is clear, however, that there is an order of magnitude more mass in the H$_2$ knots of the Class 0 jet (Flow 1) compared to the two Class I jets.

To estimate the H$_2$ mass-loss rates we use the dynamical age, $t_{dyn}$, derived from the spatial velocities and the separation of each knot to its putative driving source in Table 9 and find $M_{out}(H_2) = M_{H_2}/t_{dyn}$ per knot. For the 24 knots where we have no radial velocity, we used the average tangential velocities, which for all jets are the dominating vectors of the space velocities, since these all flow practically in the plane of the sky. In this way we obtain the mass flux carried by each knot. As shown in Table 8 the derived mass loss rates per knot are in the range $4 \times 10^{-12}$ $M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ < $M_{out}(H_2)$ < $10^{-5}$ $M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$. Except for the lowest rates, these values compare well with the mass flow rates estimated for knots in HH 52, HH 53, and HH 54 (Caratti o Garatti et al. 2009). They also compare well to the mass loss rates of $6 \times 10^{-10}$ to $2 \times 10^{-8}$ $M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ obtained for nine embedded Class I outflow sources by Davis et al. (2001), although these values are derived in the vicinity of the driving sources. Likewise, Caratti o Garatti et al. (2012) find an average $M_{out}(H_2)$ of $3.4 \times 10^{-9}$ $M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ for five Class Is and six very young YSOs. We have summed up individual knot values to arrive at total values for each flow in Table 10.

The derived mass loss rate is two to three orders of magnitude larger for the Class 0 jet (Flow 1) than for the two Class I jets. This is a combination of the higher mass in the ejecta and the higher knot velocities measured for the Class 0 jet. The momentum flux $P = M_{out} v$ for the Class 0 jet (Flow 1) is $1.3 \times 10^{-4}$ $M_\odot$ km s$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$, which is almost three orders of magnitude more than the momentum flux in the Class I jets. We note that the three driving sources have a similar bolometric luminosity $L_{bol} \sim 4 L_\odot$ (Enoch et al. 2011). Thus, among three YSOs with a similar $L_{bol}$, the Class 0 jet has significantly higher $M_{out}(H_2)$ and $P_{out}(H_2)$, suggesting that the difference is due to the earlier evolutionary stage. This is in line with the results of Bontemps et al. (1996), who found a stronger CO outflow momentum from Class 0s than from Class Is and attributed it to a decrease in the mass accretion rate with increasing age of the star. Studies of a larger sample of 23 Class 0 and Class Is by Caratti o Garatti et al. (2006), on the other hand, found no clear distinction between the two YSO classes when examining outflow $H_2$ luminosity versus $L_{bol}$. For comparison, we estimate the outflow $H_2$ luminosity from the reddened flux in the 2.12 $\mu$m line, and get $L_{2.12}$ values of 0.025, 0.0016, and 0.0034 $L_\odot$ for Flow 1, Flow 2, and Flow 3, respectively. The correction factor to apply to $L_{2.12}$ in order to get $L_{H_2}$ is $\sim 12$ when assuming LTE.

**Table 8.** Molecular hydrogen column densities as measured using $K$-band spectra (5 knots) and narrow-band H$_2$ line imaging (29 knots).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Knot</th>
<th>MHO</th>
<th>$N_{H_2}$ (cm$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>$M_{H_2}$ ($M_\odot$)</th>
<th>$M_{out}(H_2)$ ($M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$n_{H_2}$ (cm$^{-3}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2234-A1+A2'</td>
<td>1.4 $\times$ 10$^{10}$</td>
<td>7.0 $\times$ 10$^{-5}$</td>
<td>9.6 $\times$ 10$^{-7}$</td>
<td>16900</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2234-B1</td>
<td>2.2 $\times$ 10$^{10}$</td>
<td>7.0 $\times$ 10$^{-6}$</td>
<td>4.7 $\times$ 10$^{-8}$</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2234-C</td>
<td>3.7 $\times$ 10$^{10}$</td>
<td>1.1 $\times$ 10$^{-6}$</td>
<td>1.6 $\times$ 10$^{-9}$</td>
<td>4300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2234-D1+D2'</td>
<td>1.4 $\times$ 10$^{10}$</td>
<td>6.4 $\times$ 10$^{-7}$</td>
<td>1.5 $\times$ 10$^{-9}$</td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2234-E</td>
<td>5.2 $\times$ 10$^{10}$</td>
<td>2.1 $\times$ 10$^{-7}$</td>
<td>3.9 $\times$ 10$^{-10}$</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2234-G1</td>
<td>7.7 $\times$ 10$^{10}$</td>
<td>6.6 $\times$ 10$^{-7}$</td>
<td>2.8 $\times$ 10$^{-9}$</td>
<td>1190</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2234-H1'</td>
<td>1.1 $\times$ 10$^{10}$</td>
<td>5.0 $\times$ 10$^{-7}$</td>
<td>3.0 $\times$ 10$^{-9}$</td>
<td>1300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2234-I1</td>
<td>3.6 $\times$ 10$^{10}$</td>
<td>1.0 $\times$ 10$^{-6}$</td>
<td>3.0 $\times$ 10$^{-10}$</td>
<td>400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2234-H3</td>
<td>2.4 $\times$ 10$^{10}$</td>
<td>6.0 $\times$ 10$^{-7}$</td>
<td>2.6 $\times$ 10$^{-9}$</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2234-H4</td>
<td>1.4 $\times$ 10$^{10}$</td>
<td>2.6 $\times$ 10$^{-7}$</td>
<td>9.7 $\times$ 10$^{-11}$</td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2234-H5</td>
<td>2.3 $\times$ 10$^{10}$</td>
<td>3.5 $\times$ 10$^{-7}$</td>
<td>3.4 $\times$ 10$^{-10}$</td>
<td>300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2234-H8</td>
<td>2.1 $\times$ 10$^{10}$</td>
<td>4.3 $\times$ 10$^{-7}$</td>
<td>1.1 $\times$ 10$^{-9}$</td>
<td>300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. The masses are obtained from the column densities $N_{H_2}$ and the surface areas of the knots. The mass-loss rates are estimated using the velocity information of the knots and their location relative to the driving source. Measurements using the spectroscopic data. In parenthesis below are given the values obtained on the same knot using the 2.1218 $\mu$m imaging method.

**Table 9.** Dynamical ages of the knots based on their jet lengths, i.e. separation from the candidate driving source, and their current velocities, $v$, where we adopt the space velocities when available, and otherwise the tangential velocities on the longest timescale available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Knot</th>
<th>F Driving YSO candidate</th>
<th>Length ($L_\odot$)</th>
<th>$v$ (km s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\tau_{dyn}$ (yr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MHO 2234-A2</td>
<td>1 MMS5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHO 2234-B1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHO 2234-D1+D2'</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHO 2234-H1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.123</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>1680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHO 2234-I1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHO 2234-N</td>
<td>2 Ser-emb-11</td>
<td>0.174</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>4050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHO 2235-J2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.208</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>2750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHO 2235-M4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.264</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>5860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHO 2235-A1</td>
<td>3 Ser-emb-17</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHO 2235-B5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1650</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. The flow for each knot is given in Col. 2.

**Table 10.** Estimates of total H$_2$ luminosity, mass, mass flow rate, and momentum flux in each flow, as obtained by summing over the individual knots.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flow</th>
<th>$L_{bol}$ ($L_\odot$)</th>
<th>$L_{H_2}$ ($L_\odot$)</th>
<th>$M_{out}$ ($M_\odot$)</th>
<th>$M_{out}(H_2)$ ($M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$P_{out}$ ($L_\odot$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flow 1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>8.7 $\times$ 10$^{-5}$</td>
<td>1.0 $\times$ 10$^{-6}$</td>
<td>1.3 $\times$ 10$^{-4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow 2</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>2.0 $\times$ 10$^{-6}$</td>
<td>2.0 $\times$ 10$^{-9}$</td>
<td>2.6 $\times$ 10$^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow 3</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>8.6 $\times$ 10$^{-6}$</td>
<td>3.3 $\times$ 10$^{-9}$</td>
<td>1.9 $\times$ 10$^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. $L_{bol}$ of the driving source are from Enoch et al. (2009, 2011).
and an average temperature of 2200 K (Caratti o Garatti et al. 2006) and give the values listed in Table 10.

As discussed in Sect. 3.6, our multi-epoch proper motions show that the velocities are time-variable. Thus, all discussions of mass flux here is based on the use of an average velocity over a ten-year time-span for each knot. Within the Class 0 flow, there is a large variation in the estimated mass flow rates of the individual knots. Above all others, MHO 2234-A1+A2, which is the knot nearest to the Class 0 driving source (at a projected distance of ~1800 au), stands out as particularly massive and fast-moving. This double knot alone carries a mass flux of almost \(1 \times 10^{-6} M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1}\) and is the main contributor to the relatively high \(M_{\text{out}}\) in this flow. It is probably the result of a recent burst of mass accretion, because these mechanisms are believed to be closely coupled.

Still, according to observed relations between the bolometric luminosity of Class 0/Class I sources and their mass flow rate from CO measurements (Shepherd & Churchwell 1996), the expected \(M_{\text{out}}\) for a 4 \(L_\odot\) Class 0 source should be around \(10^{-5} M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1}\). This discrepancy is probably explained by our estimates dealing with only that part of the gas that is involved in the \(H_2\) line emission, which is the warm molecular gas component. From these \(H_2\) data alone, we have no information about the presence and contribution to the mass-flow rate of a colder molecular gas component or an atomic gas component. Although our deep imaging finds no emission from the knots in the \(H\) band where strong [FeII] lines are located, this could be due to high extinction. As shown by Nisini et al. (2005) and Davis et al. (2011), \(M_{\text{out}}\) of the molecular gas component can be 10 to 1000 times lower than \(M_{\text{out}}\) from the atomic component.

The ratio of mass outflow to mass accretion, \(M_{\text{out}}/M_{\text{acc}}\), is typically found to be of the order of 1/10 for Classical T Tauri stars, while there is a large scatter in the measured ratios for younger YSOs from 0.01 to 0.9 depending on tracers used (Antoniucci et al. 2008), while Caratti o Garatti et al. (2012) find an average ratio of 0.01 for Class I and very young YSOs when using \(M_{\text{out}}(H_2)\). If we can assume that the same holds here, we are witnessing a burst of mass accretion about 70 years ago with a mass accretion rate \(M_{\text{acc}} \approx 5 \times 10^{-5} M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1}\).

If the velocities were constant, the \(M_{\text{out}}\) found for a knot would provide a measure of the instantaneous mass flow rate at a given time in the past, and hence give an idea about the accretion history. Although we know from the multi-epoch proper motions that the velocities of many \(H_2\) emitting knots may vary with time, we take as a first approximation the average velocities (over 10 years) at face value, as also done when discussing dynamical age. We note the tendency for the space velocities to decrease with distance from the driving source, in particular for the southern arm of the Class 0 jet (Flow 1). Whether this is due to a slowing down of the jets, which could be expected as these shocks travel in a dense medium and may interact with the surrounding gas (entrainment), or it reflects a real variation in ejection speed remains unclear. Also, as mentioned above, it is not clear what percentage of the total mass flux is traced by the shocked molecular hydrogen.

The three protostellar flows studied here are located in the same star forming core, so at the same distance from us, presumably in very similar physical conditions, and in addition, the three driving sources are of similar bolometric luminosities. The knots in the Class 0 jet are both faster and more massive than the knots in the two Class I jets, suggesting a clear difference between the two evolutionary stages and supporting the idea that accretion and ejection is stronger during the Class 0 stage, as suggested by Bontemps et al. (1996). Nevertheless, in view of the emerging picture of the episodic nature of the accretion/ejection phenomenon, which is also supported by our time-variable velocities, we cannot exclude that the observed difference between the Class 0 and the two Class I sources presented here is also time-variable. Thus, it could be that we by chance study these sources at a moment dominated by the effects of a recent massive accretion burst in the Class 0 source.

5. Summary and conclusions

We measured proper motions for 31 (out of 57 detected) knots in protostellar jets in the Serpens NH3 region (aka Serpens cluster B), using multi-epoch near-IR \(H_2\) line imaging (2.122 \(\mu\)m) with the same telescope/instrument setup over the period 2003 to 2013. Tangential velocities on the timescales: ten years, eight years, six years, four years, and two years were obtained for most of these knots. Radial velocities were obtained for the knots for which we have \(K\)-band spectra, using all the available and sufficiently strong \(H_2\) lines (typically 4–5 lines), thereby yielding the space velocities. Column densities of \(H_2\) were obtained from the dereddened line intensities. For 24 knots without spectra, we estimated column densities through the \(v = 1\rightarrow 0\ \text{S(1)}\) line intensity. The dynamical ages of different parts of these flows were estimated, based on their separations from the driving source and their current velocities, and finally the mass loss rates in the knots were derived. Our results and conclusions are as follows:

1. Based on the knot velocities, the morphology, and published data on protostars in the region, we interpreted our data to show at least three different jet flows in the field we monitored, where two are bipolar. The three driving sources are suggested to be MM53 (Ser-emb-1), a low luminosity Class 0 source, Ser-emb-11, a binary Class I, and Ser-emb-17, another Class I source, all deeply embedded and previously studied from mid-IR to longer wavelengths.

2. The ten-year baseline proper motions give typical velocities around 50 km s\(^{-1}\) and a maximum velocity of 190 km s\(^{-1}\). While these can be viewed as the average velocities over ten years, the shorter baselines show evidence of time-variable velocities.

3. The knot fluxes over the four measured epochs are relatively stable, and flux variability is detected for 45% of the knots, but only a few vary by as much as 30% or more in their flux. We find no correlation between flux variability and velocity variability, or between flux variability and speed.

4. There was a burst in brightness around MHO 2235-A1 in 2011 as compared to 2009 with a disappearance again in 2013, a clear piece of evidence of the episodic nature of the jets.

5. The deduced dynamical ages are all <5000 yr, and the youngest features <100 yr.

6. Time-variable velocities have been found in the ejecta from a Class 0 source for the first time. We also found that the Class 0 jet has higher measured velocities than the two Class I jets.

7. From the \(K\)-band spectra obtained for a subset of the brighter knots, we estimated the excitation temperatures, the average value being \(T_{\text{ex}} = 2200 \pm 350\ \text{K}\), which is typical of ro-vibrational states of \(H_2\) in post-shock layers. Towards these knots we have also made a rough estimate of the extinction using the \(H_2\) lines, and found values as high as \(A_V = 58\) mag.

8. We find that \(M_{\text{out}}\) for the Class 0 jet is about two orders of magnitude higher than \(M_{\text{out}}\) for the two Class I jets while the \(L_{\text{bol}}\) of the driving sources are all practically the same.
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